

Journal of Extracellular Vesicles

Taylor & Francis

ISSN: (Print) 2001-3078 (Online) Journal homepage:<https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/zjev20>

Minimal information for studies of extracellular vesicles 2018 (MISEV2018): a position statement of the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles and update of the MISEV2014 guidelines

Clotilde Théry, Kenneth W Witwer, Elena Aikawa, Maria Jose Alcaraz, Johnathon D Anderson, Ramaroson Andriantsitohaina, Anna Antoniou, Tanina Arab, Fabienne Archer, Georgia K Atkin-Smith, D Craig Ayre, Jean-Marie Bach, Daniel Bachurski, Hossein Baharvand, Leonora Balaj, Shawn Baldacchino, Natalie N Bauer, Amy A Baxter, Mary Bebawy, Carla Beckham, Apolonija Bedina Zavec, Abderrahim Benmoussa, Anna C Berardi, Paolo Bergese, Ewa Bielska, Cherie Blenkiron, Sylwia Bobis-Wozowicz, Eric Boilard, Wilfrid Boireau, Antonella Bongiovanni, Francesc E Borràs, Steffi Bosch, Chantal M Boulanger, Xandra Breakefield, Andrew M Breglio, Meadhbh Á Brennan, David R Brigstock, Alain Brisson, Marike LD Broekman, Jacqueline F Bromberg, Paulina Bryl-Górecka, Shilpa Buch, Amy H Buck, Dylan Burger, Sara Busatto, Dominik Buschmann, Benedetta Bussolati, Edit I Buzás, James Bryan Byrd, Giovanni Camussi, David RF Carter, Sarah Caruso, Lawrence W Chamley, Yu-Ting Chang, Chihchen Chen, Shuai Chen, Lesley Cheng, Andrew R Chin, Aled Clayton, Stefano P Clerici, Alex Cocks, Emanuele Cocucci, Robert J Coffey, Anabela Cordeiro-da-Silva, Yvonne Couch, Frank AW Coumans, Beth Coyle, Rossella Crescitelli, Miria Ferreira Criado, Crislyn D'Souza-Schorey, Saumya Das, Amrita Datta Chaudhuri, Paola de Candia, Eliezer F De Santana Junior, Olivier De Wever, Hernando A del Portillo, Tanguy Demaret, Sarah Deville, Andrew Devitt, Bert Dhondt, Dolores Di Vizio, Lothar C Dieterich, Vincenza Dolo, Ana Paula Dominguez Rubio, Massimo Dominici, Mauricio R Dourado, Tom AP Driedonks, Filipe V Duarte, Heather M Duncan, Ramon M Eichenberger, Karin Ekström, Samir EL Andaloussi, Celine Elie-Caille, Uta Erdbrügger, Juan M Falcón-Pérez, Farah Fatima, Jason E Fish, Miguel Flores-Bellver, András Försönits, Annie Frelet-Barrand, Fabia Fricke, Gregor Fuhrmann, Susanne Gabrielsson, Ana Gámez-Valero, Chris Gardiner, Kathrin Gärtner, Raphael Gaudin, Yong Song Gho, Bernd Giebel, Caroline Gilbert, Mario Gimona, Ilaria Giusti, Deborah CI Goberdhan, André Görgens, Sharon M Gorski, David W Greening, Julia Christina Gross, Alice Gualerzi, Gopal N Gupta, Dakota Gustafson, Aase Handberg, Reka A Haraszti, Paul Harrison, Hargita Hegyesi, An Hendrix, Andrew F Hill, Fred H Hochberg, Karl F Hoffmann, Beth Holder, Harry Holthofer, Baharak Hosseinkhani, Guoku Hu, Yiyao Huang, Veronica Huber, Stuart Hunt, Ahmed Gamal-Eldin Ibrahim, Tsuneya Ikezu, Jameel M Inal, Mustafa Isin, Alena Ivanova, Hannah K Jackson, Soren Jacobsen, Steven M Jay, Muthuvel Jayachandran, Guido Jenster, Lanzhou Jiang, Suzanne M Johnson, Jennifer C Jones, Ambrose Jong, Tijana Jovanovic-Talisman, Stephanie Jung, Raghu Kalluri, Shin-ichi Kano, Sukhbir Kaur, Yumi Kawamura, Evan T Keller,

Delaram Khamari, Elena Khomyakova, Anastasia Khvorova, Peter Kierulf, Kwang Pyo Kim, Thomas Kislinger, Mikael Klingeborn, David J Klinke II, Miroslaw Kornek, Maja M Kosanović, Árpád Ferenc Kovács, Eva-Maria Krämer-Albers, Susanne Krasemann, Mirja Krause, Igor V Kurochkin, Gina D Kusuma, Sören Kuypers, Saara Laitinen, Scott M Langevin, Lucia R Languino, Joanne Lannigan, Cecilia Lässer, Louise C Laurent, Gregory Lavieu, Elisa Lázaro-Ibáñez, Soazig Le Lay, Myung-Shin Lee, Yi Xin Fiona Lee, Debora S Lemos, Metka Lenassi, Aleksandra Leszczynska, Isaac TS Li, Ke Liao, Sten F Libregts, Erzsebet Ligeti, Rebecca Lim, Sai Kiang Lim, Aija Linē, Karen Linnemannstöns, Alicia Llorente, Catherine A Lombard, Magdalena J Lorenowicz, Ákos M Lörincz, Jan Lötvall, Jason Lovett, Michelle C Lowry, Xavier Loyer, Quan Lu, Barbara Lukomska, Taral R Lunavat, Sybren LN Maas, Harmeet Malhi, Antonio Marcilla, Jacopo Mariani, Javier Mariscal, Elena S Martens-Uzunova, Lorena Martin-Jaular, M Carmen Martinez, Vilma Regina Martins, Mathilde Mathieu, Suresh Mathivanan, Marco Maugeri, Lynda K McGinnis, Mark J McVey, David G Meckes Jr, Katie L Meehan, Inge Mertens, Valentina R Minciacchi, Andreas Möller, Malene Møller Jørgensen, Aizea Morales-Kastresana, Jess Morhayim, François Mullier, Maurizio Muraca, Luca Musante, Veronika Mussack, Dillon C Muth, Kathryn H Myburgh, Tanbir Najrana, Muhammad Nawaz, Irina Nazarenko, Peter Nejsum, Christian Neri, Tommaso Neri, Rienk Nieuwland, Leonardo Nimrichter, John P Nolan, Esther NM Nolte-'t Hoen, Nicole Noren Hooten, Lorraine O'Driscoll, Tina O'Grady, Ana O'Loghlen, Takahiro Ochiya, Martin Olivier, Alberto Ortiz, Luis A Ortiz, Xabier Osteikoetxea, Ole Østergaard, Matias Ostrowski, Jaesung Park, D. Michiel Pegtel, Hector Peinado, Francesca Perut, Michael W Pfaffl, Donald G Phinney, Bartijn CH Pieters, Ryan C Pink, David S Pisetsky, Elke Pogge von Strandmann, Iva Polakovicova, Ivan KH Poon, Bonita H Powell, Ilaria Prada, Lynn Pulliam, Peter Quesenberry, Annalisa Radeghieri, Robert L Raffai, Stefania Raimondo, Janusz Rak, Marcel I Ramirez, Graça Raposo, Morsi S Rayyan, Neta Regev-Rudzki, Franz L Ricklefs, Paul D Robbins, David D Roberts, Silvia C Rodrigues, Eva Rohde, Sophie Rome, Kasper MA Rouschop, Aurelia Rughetti, Ashley E Russell, Paula Saá, Susmita Sahoo, Edison Salas-Huenuleo, Catherine Sánchez, Julie A Saugstad, Meike J Saul, Raymond M Schiffelers, Raphael Schneider, Tine Hiorth Schøyen, Aaron Scott, Eriomina Shahaj, Shivani Sharma, Olga Shatnyeva, Faezeh Shekari, Ganesh Vilas Shelke, Ashok K Shetty, Kiyotaka Shiba, Pia R-M Siljander, Andreia M Silva, Agata Skowronek, Orman L Snyder II, Rodrigo Pedro Soares, Barbara W Sódar, Carolina Soekmadji, Javier Sotillo, Philip D Stahl, Willem Stoorvogel, Shannon L Stott, Erwin F Strasser, Simon Swift, Hidetoshi Tahara, Muneesh Tewari, Kate Timms, Swasti Tiwari, Rochelle Tixeira, Mercedes Tkach, Wei Seong Toh, Richard Tomasini, Ana Claudia Torrecilhas, Juan Pablo Tosar, Vasilis Toxavidis, Lorena Urbanelli, Pieter Vader, Bas WM van Balkom, Susanne G van der Grein, Jan Van Deun, Martijn JC van Herwijnen, Kendall Van Keuren-Jensen, Guillaume van Niel, Martin E van Royen, Andre J van Wijnen, M Helena Vasconcelos, Ivan J Vechetti Jr, Tiago D Veit, Laura J Vella, Émilie Velot, Frederik J Verweij, Beate Vestad, Jose L Viñas, Tamás Visnovitz, Krisztina V Vukman, Jessica Wahlgren, Dionysios C Watson, Marca HM Wauben, Alissa Weaver, Jason P Webber, Viktoria Weber, Ann M Wehman, Daniel J Weiss, Joshua A Welsh, Sebastian Wendt, Asa M Wheelock, Zoltán Wiener, Leonie Witte, Joy Wolfram, Angeliki Xagorari, Patricia Xander, Jing Xu, Xiaomei Yan, María Yáñez-Mó, Hang Yin, Yuana Yuana, Valentina Zappulli, Jana Zarubova, Vytautas Žėkas, Jian-ye Zhang, Zezhou Zhao, Lei Zheng, Alexander R Zheutlin, Antje M Zickler, Pascale Zimmermann, Angela M Zivkovic, Davide Zocco & Ewa K Zuba-Surma

To cite this article: Clotilde Théry, Kenneth W Witwer, Elena Aikawa, Maria Jose Alcaraz, Johnathon D Anderson, Ramaroson Andriantsitohaina, Anna Antoniou, Tanina Arab, Fabienne Archer, Georgia K Atkin-Smith, D Craig Ayre, Jean-Marie Bach, Daniel Bachurski, Hossein Baharvand, Leonora Balaj, Shawn Baldacchino, Natalie N Bauer, Amy A Baxter, Mary Bebawy, Carla Beckham, Apolonija Bedina Zavec, Abderrahim Benmoussa, Anna C Berardi, Paolo Bergese, Ewa Bielska, Cherie Blenkiron, Sylwia Bobis-Wozowicz, Eric Boilard, Wilfrid Boireau, Antonella Bongiovanni, Francesc E Borràs, Steffi Bosch, Chantal M Boulanger, Xandra Breakefield, Andrew M Breglio, Meadhbh Á Brennan, David R Brigstock, Alain Brisson, Marike LD Broekman, Jacqueline F Bromberg, Paulina Bryl-Górecka, Shilpa Buch, Amy H Buck, Dylan Burger, Sara Busatto, Dominik Buschmann, Benedetta Bussolati, Edit I Buzás, James Bryan Byrd, Giovanni Camussi, David RF Carter, Sarah Caruso, Lawrence W Chamley, Yu-Ting Chang, Chihchen Chen, Shuai Chen, Lesley Cheng, Andrew R Chin, Aled Clayton, Stefano P Clerici, Alex Cocks, Emanuele Cocucci, Robert J Coffey, Anabela Cordeiro-da-Silva, Yvonne Couch, Frank AW Coumans, Beth Coyle, Rossella Crescitelli, Miria Ferreira Criado, Crislyn D'Souza-Schorey, Saumya Das, Amrita Datta Chaudhuri, Paola de Candia, Eliezer F De Santana Junior, Olivier De Wever, Hernando A del Portillo, Tanguy Demaret, Sarah Deville, Andrew Devitt, Bert Dhondt, Dolores Di Vizio, Lothar C Dieterich, Vincenza Dolo, Ana Paula Dominguez Rubio, Massimo Dominici, Mauricio R Dourado, Tom AP Driedonks, Filipe V Duarte, Heather M Duncan, Ramon M Eichenberger, Karin Ekström, Samir EL Andaloussi, Celine Elie-Caille, Uta Erdbrügger, Juan M Falcón-Pérez, Farah Fatima, Jason E Fish, Miguel Flores-Bellver, András Försönits, Annie Frelet-Barrand, Fabia Fricke, Gregor Fuhrmann, Susanne Gabrielsson, Ana Gámez-Valero, Chris Gardiner, Kathrin Gärtner, Raphael Gaudin, Yong Song Gho, Bernd Giebel, Caroline Gilbert, Mario Gimona, Ilaria Giusti, Deborah CI Goberdhan, André Görgens, Sharon M Gorski, David W Greening, Julia Christina Gross, Alice Gualerzi, Gopal N Gupta, Dakota Gustafson, Aase Handberg, Reka A Haraszti, Paul Harrison, Hargita Hegyesi, An Hendrix, Andrew F Hill, Fred H Hochberg, Karl F Hoffmann, Beth Holder, Harry Holthofer, Baharak Hosseinkhani, Guoku Hu, Yiyao Huang, Veronica Huber, Stuart Hunt, Ahmed Gamal-Eldin Ibrahim, Tsuneya Ikezu, Jameel M Inal, Mustafa Isin, Alena Ivanova, Hannah K Jackson, Soren Jacobsen, Steven M Jay, Muthuvel Jayachandran, Guido Jenster, Lanzhou Jiang, Suzanne M Johnson, Jennifer C Jones, Ambrose Jong, Tijana Jovanovic-Talisman, Stephanie Jung, Raghu Kalluri, Shin-ichi Kano, Sukhbir Kaur, Yumi Kawamura, Evan T Keller, Delaram Khamari, Elena Khomyakova, Anastasia Khvorova, Peter Kierulf, Kwang Pyo Kim, Thomas Kislinger, Mikael Klingeborn, David J Klinke II, Miroslaw Kornek, Maja M Kosanović, Árpád Ferenc Kovács, Eva-Maria Krämer-Albers, Susanne Krasemann, Mirja Krause, Igor V Kurochkin, Gina D Kusuma, Sören Kuypers, Saara Laitinen, Scott M Langevin, Lucia R Languino, Joanne Lannigan, Cecilia Lässer, Louise C Laurent, Gregory Lavieu, Elisa Lázaro-Ibáñez, Soazig Le Lay, Myung-Shin Lee, Yi Xin Fiona Lee, Debora S Lemos, Metka Lenassi, Aleksandra Leszczynska, Isaac TS Li, Ke Liao, Sten F Libregts, Erzsebet Ligeti, Rebecca Lim, Sai Kiang Lim, Aija Linē, Karen Linnemannstöns, Alicia Llorente, Catherine A Lombard, Magdalena J Lorenowicz, Ákos M Lörincz, Jan Lötvall, Jason Lovett, Michelle C Lowry, Xavier Loyer, Quan Lu, Barbara Lukomska, Taral R Lunavat, Sybren LN Maas, Harmeet Malhi, Antonio Marcilla, Jacopo Mariani, Javier Mariscal, Elena S Martens-Uzunova, Lorena Martin-Jaular, M Carmen Martinez, Vilma Regina Martins, Mathilde Mathieu, Suresh Mathivanan, Marco Maugeri, Lynda K McGinnis, Mark J McVey, David G Meckes Jr, Katie L Meehan, Inge Mertens, Valentina R Minciacchi, Andreas Möller, Malene Møller Jørgensen, Aizea Morales-Kastresana, Jess Morhayim, François Mullier, Maurizio Muraca, Luca Musante, Veronika Mussack, Dillon C Muth, Kathryn H Myburgh, Tanbir Najrana, Muhammad Nawaz, Irina Nazarenko, Peter Nejsum, Christian Neri, Tommaso Neri, Rienk Nieuwland, Leonardo Nimrichter, John P Nolan, Esther NM Nolte-'t Hoen, Nicole Noren Hooten, Lorraine O'Driscoll, Tina O'Grady, Ana O'Loghlen, Takahiro Ochiya, Martin Olivier, Alberto Ortiz, Luis A Ortiz, Xabier Osteikoetxea, Ole Østergaard, Matias Ostrowski, Jaesung Park, D. Michiel Pegtel, Hector Peinado, Francesca Perut, Michael W Pfaffl, Donald G Phinney, Bartijn CH Pieters, Ryan C Pink, David S Pisetsky, Elke Pogge von Strandmann, Iva Polakovicova, Ivan KH Poon, Bonita H Powell, Ilaria Prada, Lynn Pulliam, Peter Quesenberry, Annalisa Radeghieri, Robert L Raffai, Stefania Raimondo, Janusz Rak, Marcel I Ramirez, Graça Raposo, Morsi S Rayyan, Neta Regev-Rudzki, Franz L Ricklefs, Paul D Robbins, David D Roberts, Silvia C Rodrigues, Eva Rohde, Sophie Rome, Kasper MA Rouschop, Aurelia Rughetti, Ashley E Russell, Paula Saá, Susmita Sahoo, Edison Salas-Huenuleo, Catherine Sánchez, Julie A Saugstad, Meike J Saul, Raymond M Schiffelers, Raphael Schneider, Tine Hiorth Schøyen, Aaron Scott, Eriomina Shahaj, Shivani Sharma, Olga Shatnyeva, Faezeh Shekari, Ganesh Vilas Shelke, Ashok K Shetty, Kiyotaka Shiba, Pia R-M Siljander, Andreia M Silva, Agata Skowronek, Orman L Snyder II, Rodrigo Pedro Soares, Barbara W Sódar, Carolina Soekmadji, Javier Sotillo, Philip D Stahl, Willem Stoorvogel, Shannon L Stott, Erwin F Strasser, Simon Swift, Hidetoshi Tahara, Muneesh Tewari, Kate Timms, Swasti Tiwari, Rochelle Tixeira, Mercedes Tkach, Wei Seong Toh, Richard Tomasini, Ana Claudia Torrecilhas, Juan Pablo Tosar, Vasilis Toxavidis, Lorena Urbanelli, Pieter Vader, Bas WM van Balkom, Susanne G van der Grein, Jan Van Deun, Martijn JC van Herwijnen, Kendall Van Keuren-Jensen, Guillaume van Niel, Martin

E van Royen, Andre J van Wijnen, M Helena Vasconcelos, Ivan J Vechetti Jr, Tiago D Veit, Laura J Vella, Émilie Velot, Frederik J Verweij, Beate Vestad, Jose L Viñas, Tamás Visnovitz, Krisztina V Vukman, Jessica Wahlgren, Dionysios C Watson, Marca HM Wauben, Alissa Weaver, Jason P Webber, Viktoria Weber, Ann M Wehman, Daniel J Weiss, Joshua A Welsh, Sebastian Wendt, Asa M Wheelock, Zoltán Wiener, Leonie Witte, Joy Wolfram, Angeliki Xagorari, Patricia Xander, Jing Xu, Xiaomei Yan, María Yáñez-Mó, Hang Yin, Yuana Yuana, Valentina Zappulli, Jana Zarubova, Vytautas Žėkas, Jian-ye Zhang, Zezhou Zhao, Lei Zheng, Alexander R Zheutlin, Antje M Zickler, Pascale Zimmermann, Angela M Zivkovic, Davide Zocco & Ewa K Zuba-Surma (2018) Minimal information for studies of extracellular vesicles 2018 (MISEV2018): a position statement of the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles and update of the MISEV2014 guidelines, Journal of Extracellular Vesicles, 7:1, 1535750, DOI: [10.1080/20013078.2018.1535750](https://www.tandfonline.com/action/showCitFormats?doi=10.1080/20013078.2018.1535750)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/20013078.2018.1535750>

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group on behalf of The International Society for Extracellular Vesicles.

3

Published online: 23 Nov 2018.

 $\overline{\mathscr{L}}$ [Submit your article to this journal](https://www.tandfonline.com/action/authorSubmission?journalCode=zjev20&show=instructions) \mathbb{Z}

III Article views: 19294

[View Crossmark data](http://crossmark.crossref.org/dialog/?doi=10.1080/20013078.2018.1535750&domain=pdf&date_stamp=2018-11-23)

[Citing articles: 100 View citing articles](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/citedby/10.1080/20013078.2018.1535750#tabModule) \mathbb{Z}

a OPEN ACCESS **D** Check for updates

Minimal information for studies of extracellular vesicles 2018 (MISEV2018): a position statement of the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles and update of the MISEV2014 guidelines

Clotilde Thér[y](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8294-6884) $\bullet^{103*^{\text{f}}},$ $\bullet^{103*^{\text{f}}},$ $\bullet^{103*^{\text{f}}},$ $\bullet^{103*^{\text{f}}},$ Kenneth W Witwe[r](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1664-4233) $\bullet^{217,218*^{\text{&f}}},$ $\bullet^{217,218*^{\text{&f}}},$ $\bullet^{217,218*^{\text{&f}}},$ $\bullet^{217,218*^{\text{&f}}},$ $\bullet^{217,218*^{\text{&f}}},$ $\bullet^{217,218*^{\text{&f}}},$ Elena Aikawa $^{19,79^{\text{f}}},$ $^{19,79^{\text{f}}},$ $^{19,79^{\text{f}}},$ Maria Jose Alcaraz $^{112},$ Johnathon D Anderson^{[288](#page-8-0)}, Ramaroson Andriantsitohaina⁹⁷, Anna Antoniou^{[70](#page-6-4),265}, Tanina Arab²⁵⁷, Fabienne Archer³¹⁸, Georgia K Atkin-Smith^{[131](#page-6-5)}, D Craig Ayre^{[15](#page-5-1)[,158](#page-7-2)}, Jean-Marie Bach^{[254](#page-8-4)}, Daniel Bachurski³⁰¹, Hossein Baharvand^{[195](#page-7-3)[,353](#page-9-0)}, Leonora Balaj¹⁴³, Shawn Baldacchino^{[321](#page-8-6)}, Natalie N Bauer^{[354](#page-9-1)}, Amy A Baxter¹³¹, Mary Bebawy^{[357](#page-9-2)}, Carla Beckham^{[350](#page-9-3)}, Apolonija Bedina Zavec¹⁶⁵, Abderrahim Benmoussa^{[260](#page-8-7)}, Anna C Berardi¹⁷⁹, Paolo Bergese^{39[,111](#page-6-7),283}, Ewa Bielska^{[282](#page-8-9)}, Cherie Blenkiron^{277[&](#page-4-2)}, Sylwia Bobis-Wozowicz¹¹⁹, Eric Boilard²⁶⁰, Wilfrid Boireau⁵⁸, Antonella Bongiovanni^{[106](#page-6-10)}, Francesc E Borràs^{72[,73](#page-6-12)[,250](#page-8-11)}, Steffi Bosch^{[254](#page-8-4)}, Chantal M Boulanger^{[100](#page-6-13)[,261](#page-8-12)[£](#page-4-1)}, Xandra Breakefield^{[140](#page-7-7)}, Andrew M Breglio^{[92](#page-6-14)[,169](#page-7-8)}, Meadhbh Á Brennan^{[82](#page-6-15)[,144](#page-7-9)[,258](#page-8-13)}, David R Brigstock^{174,221}, Alain Brisson²³⁸⁵, Marike LD Broekman^{[78](#page-6-16)[,134](#page-7-12)[,142](#page-7-4)}, Jacqueline F Bromberg^{[155](#page-7-13),379}, Paulina Bryl-Górecka^{[136](#page-7-14)}, Shilpa Buch³³⁴, Amy H Buck^{[305](#page-8-15)}, Dylan Burger^{[128](#page-6-17)[,180](#page-7-6)[,337](#page-9-6)}, Sara Busatto^{148,283}, Dominik Buschmann²¹², Benedetta Bussolati^{[360](#page-9-7)}, Edit I Buzás^{160[,201](#page-7-18)[&](#page-4-2)£}, James Bryan Byrd³³⁰, Giovanni Camussi^{[359](#page-9-9)[£](#page-4-1)}, David RF Carter^{[181](#page-7-6)}, Sarah Caruso¹³¹, Lawrence W Chamley^{[279](#page-8-16)}, Yu-Ting Chang¹⁷⁰, Amrita Datta Chaudhuri²¹⁸, Chihchen Chen^{171,172}, Shuai Chen^{[133](#page-7-12)}, Lesley Cheng¹³¹, Andrew R Chin²⁵, Aled Clayton^{[23](#page-5-3)}, Stefano P Clerici²³⁹, Alex Cocks²³, Emanuele Cocucci^{220[,222](#page-7-22)f}, Robert J Coffey^{[373](#page-9-10)}, Anabela Cordeiro-da-Silva³⁴⁶, Yvonne Couch³⁴⁰, Frank AW Coumans^{7[\\$](#page-4-3)}, Beth Coyle²²⁷, Rossella Crescitelli³⁰⁸, Miria Ferreira Criado³⁵², Crislyn D'Souza-Schorey³³⁵, Saumya Das¹⁴¹, Paola de Candia¹¹⁶, Eliezer F De Santana Junior²²⁵, Olivier De Wever^{22[,75](#page-6-19)}, Hernando A del Portillo^{[101](#page-6-20)[,104](#page-6-0)[,117](#page-6-18)}, Tanguy Demaret²⁵⁶, Sarah Deville^{262[,377](#page-9-14)}, Andrew Devitt¹², Bert Dhondt^{[22](#page-5-3)[,74](#page-6-12)[,75](#page-6-19)}, Dolores Di Vizio^{[25](#page-5-2)[&](#page-4-2)£}, Lothar C Dieterich⁴⁹, Vincenza Dolo³¹⁵, Ana Paula Dominguez Rubio^{[243](#page-8-20)}, Massimo Dominici^{[234](#page-8-21)[,333](#page-9-5)[#](#page-4-4)}, Mauricio R Dourado^{[298](#page-8-22)[,338](#page-9-15)}, Tom AP Driedonks³⁶⁹, Filipe V Duarte⁵³, Heather M Duncan^{[150](#page-7-26)[,152](#page-7-27)}, Ramon M Eichenberger¹²⁰, Karin Ekström³⁰⁶, Samir EL Andaloussi^{51[,127](#page-6-24)}, Celine Elie-Caille⁵⁸, Uta Erdbrügger^{366[&](#page-4-2)}, Juan M Falcón-Pérez^{32[,94](#page-6-26)&}, Farah Fatima³⁵¹, Jason E Fish^{233,362}, Miguel Flores-Bellver^{[302](#page-8-23)}, András Försönits²⁰¹, Annie Frelet-Barrand⁵⁸, Fabia Fricke^{[68](#page-6-27),267}, Gregor Fuhrmann^{[86,87](#page-6-28)[,197](#page-7-28)}, Susanne Gabrielsson^{[126](#page-6-24)}, Ana Gámez-Valero^{72[,251](#page-8-25)}, Chris Gardiner^{264[&](#page-4-2)}, Kathrin Gärtner⁸⁵, Raphael Gaudin^{[99](#page-6-13),259}, Yong Song Gho^{187[£](#page-4-1)}, Bernd Giebel^{266[#](#page-4-4)}, Caroline Gilbert^{[260](#page-8-7)}, Mario Gimona¹⁸³, Ilaria Giusti^{[315](#page-8-19)}, Deborah CI Goberdhan^{[339](#page-9-15)}, André Görgens^{51[,123](#page-6-30)[,266](#page-8-1)[\\$](#page-4-3)}, Sharon M Gorski^{[16](#page-5-1)[,204](#page-7-31)}, David W Greening¹³¹, Julia Christina Gross^{[270](#page-8-27),[2](#page-5-6)71}, Alice Gualerzi¹¹⁵, Gopal N Gupta¹³⁵, Dakota Gustafson³⁶², Aase Handberg^{2[,4](#page-5-7)}, Reka A Haraszti³²⁵, Paul Harrison^{[281](#page-8-9)}, Hargita Hegyesi²⁰¹, An Hendrix^{[22](#page-5-3),75}, Andrew F Hill^{131[&](#page-4-2)£}, Fred H Hochberg^{200[,293](#page-8-30)}, Karl F Hoffmann⁶, Beth Holder^{[95](#page-6-26)[,159](#page-7-17)}, Harry Holthofer^{263[£](#page-4-1)}, Baharak Hosseinkhani⁸³, Guoku Hu³³⁴, Yiyao Huang^{[162](#page-7-33)[,217](#page-7-0)}, Veronica Huber⁶¹, Stuart Hunt²²⁹, Ahmed Gamal-Eldin Ibrahim²⁶, Tsuneya Ikezu¹⁸, Jameel M Inal³¹³, Mustafa Isin^{[118](#page-6-8)}, Alena Ivanova⁶⁹, Hannah K Jackson^{[227](#page-7-23)}, Soren Jacobsen^{38[,304](#page-8-32)}, Steven M Jay³²⁴, Muthuvel Jayachandran^{[145](#page-7-35)}, Guido Jenster⁴⁷, Lanzhou Jiang¹³¹, Suzanne M Johnson^{[322](#page-8-6)}, Jennifer C Jones^{[166](#page-7-36)5}, Ambrose Jong^{[30](#page-6-37)[,355](#page-9-19)}, Tijana Jovanovic-Talisman³⁴, Stephanie Jung⁷¹, Raghu Kalluri^{[358](#page-9-9)[&](#page-4-2)}, Shin-ichi Kano^{[219](#page-7-1)}, Sukhbir Kaur^{[167](#page-7-37)}, Yumi Kawamura^{[164](#page-7-5),365}, Evan T Keller^{327,331}, Delaram Khamari²⁰¹,

CONTACT Clotilde Théry @ Clotilde.Thery@curie.fr @ Institut Curie/INSERM U932, 26 rue d'Ulm, 75005 Paris, France; Kenneth W Witwer kwitwer1@jhmi.edu 733 North Broadway, MRB 829 Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

*These authors are co-corresponding authors and contributed equally to this work. All authors, except for the corresponding authors,are listed alphabetically. This article was first drafted begining in late 2017 and finalized in September, 2018 with several rounds of feedback from the ISEV Executive Board and JEV Editorial Board.

 $^{\rm \pm}$ Authors who are members of the joined ISEV-ISAC (International Society for Advancement of Cytometry)-ISTH (International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis) EV Flow Cytometry Working group are: Alain Brisson, Frank AW Coumans, André Görgens, Jennifer C Jones, Joanne Lannigan, Sten Libregts, François Mullier, Rienk Nieuwland, John P Nolan, Marca HM Wauben, Joshua A Welsh.

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group on behalf of The International Society for Extracellular Vesicles. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

 8 The following authors are members of the 2016-2018 and/or 2018-2020 ISEV Board of Directors: Cherie Blenkiron, Edit I Buzas, Dolores Di Vizio, Uta Erdbrügger, Juan M Falcón-Pérez, Chris Gardiner, Andrew F Hill (current President), Raghu Kalluri, Jan Lötvall (past President), Malene Møller Jørgensen, Rienk Nieuwland, Lorraine O'Driscoll, Susmita Sahoo, Carolina Soekmadji, Hidetoshi Tahara, Ana Claudia Torrecilhas, Marca HM Wauben, Alissa Weaver, Kenneth W Witwer, Hang (Hubert) Yin, Lei Zheng.

EThe following authors are Editors of the Journal of Extracellular Vesicles: Editors-in-Chief: Clotilde Théry, Peter Quesenberry, Yong Song Gho; Associate Editors: Elena Aikawa, Chantal Boulanger, Edit I Buzas, Giovanni Camussi, Emanuele Cocucci, Dolores Di Vizio, Andrew F Hill, Harry Holthofer, Kwang Pyo Kim, Eva-Maria Krämer-Albers, Saara Laitinen, Cecilia Lässer, Suresh Mathivanan, Esther Nolte-'t Hoen, Takahiro Ochiya, Hector Peinado, Janusz Rak, Neta Regev-Rudzki, Raymond Schiffelers, Marca HM Wauben, Kenneth W Witwer.

[#] Authors who are members of the International Society for Cell and Gene Therapy (ISCT) Exosomes Scientific Committee include Sai Kiang Lim (Co-Chair), Bernd Giebel (Co-Chair), Luis A Ortiz, Donald G Phinney and Daniel J Weiss (ISCT Chief Scientific Officer). Massimo Dominici is Past President of ISCT.

Elena Khomyakova^{45[,56](#page-6-40)}, Anastasia Khvorova^{[325](#page-8-29)}, Peter Kierulf^{[178](#page-7-38)}, Kwang Pyo Kim^{[130](#page-6-5)[£](#page-4-1)}, Thomas Kislinger^{188[,363](#page-9-22)}, Mikael Klingeborn⁴³, David J Klinke II^{[381](#page-9-23)[,382](#page-9-24)}, Miroslaw Kornek^{[66](#page-6-42),196}, Maja M Kosanović²⁸⁰, Árpád Ferenc Kovács^{[201](#page-7-18)}, Eva-Maria Krämer-Albers^{320f}, Susanne Krasemann^{[273](#page-8-35)}, Mirja Krause⁹⁰, Igor V Kurochkin²⁸, Gina D Kusuma^{[90,](#page-6-43)156}, Sören Kuypers⁸⁴, Saara Laitinen^{59[£](#page-4-1)}, Scott M Langevin^{33[,300](#page-8-5)}, Lucia R Languino^{[232](#page-8-36)}, Joanne Lannigan^{[367](#page-9-25)[\\$](#page-4-3)}, Cecilia Lässer^{[308](#page-8-17)[£](#page-4-1)}, Louise C Laurent²⁹⁴, Gregory Lavieu^{[103](#page-6-0)}, Elisa Lázaro-Ibáñez¹⁴, Soazig Le Lay⁹⁷, Myung-Shin Lee⁵⁰, Yi Xin Fiona Lee⁶², Debora S Lemos⁵⁷, Metka Lenassi^{[317](#page-8-38)}, Aleksandra Leszczynska^{[295](#page-8-39)}, Isaac TS Li^{[285](#page-8-40)}, Ke Liao^{[334](#page-9-5)}, Sten F Libregts²⁹⁷⁵, Erzsebet Ligeti²⁰², Rebecca Lim^{[90](#page-6-43),156}, Sai Kiang Lim^{[107](#page-6-10)[#](#page-4-4)}, Aija Linē¹³², Karen Linnemannstöns^{[270](#page-8-27)[,271](#page-8-28)}, Alicia Llorente¹⁷⁷, Catherine A Lombard²⁵⁶, Magdalena J Lorenowicz^{[370](#page-9-26)}, Ákos M Lörincz²⁰², Jan Lötvall^{[308](#page-8-17)[&](#page-4-2)}, Jason Lovett^{[210](#page-7-43)}, Michelle C Lowry^{[235](#page-8-21)}, Xavier Loyer^{[100](#page-6-13)[,261](#page-8-12)}, Quan Lu⁸¹, Barbara Lukomska¹⁵⁷, Taral R Lunavat^{[121](#page-6-49)}, Sybren LN Maas^{[371](#page-9-27)[,372](#page-9-28)}, Harmeet Malhi^{[149](#page-7-26)}, Antonio Marcilla^{[252](#page-8-42)[,253](#page-8-43)}, Jacopo Mariani²⁴⁹, Javier Mariscal²⁵, Elena S Martens-Uzunova⁴⁷, Lorena Martin-Jaular¹⁰³, M Carmen Martinez⁹⁷, Vilma Regina Martins^{[1](#page-5-6)}, Mathilde Mathieu¹⁰³, Suresh Mathivanan^{[131](#page-6-5)[£](#page-4-1)}, Marco Maugeri^{[309](#page-8-45)}, Lynda K McGinnis³⁵⁶, Mark J McVey^{[203](#page-7-31)[,361](#page-9-18)}, David G Meckes, Jr⁶⁰, Katie L Meehan^{[223](#page-7-22)}, Inge Mertens^{[276](#page-8-10),377}, Valentina R Minciacchi⁶³, Andreas Möller¹⁸⁹, Malene Møller Jørgensen^{[3](#page-5-7)[,52](#page-6-22)[&](#page-4-2)}, Aizea Morales-Kastresana¹⁶⁶, Jess Morhayim⁴⁸, François Mullier^{161[,255](#page-8-4)[\\$](#page-4-3)}, Maurizio Muraca³⁴², Luca Musante³⁶⁶, Veronika Mussack^{[212](#page-7-16)}, Dillon C Muth²¹⁷, Kathryn H Myburgh²¹⁰, Tanbir Najrana²⁰, Muhammad Nawaz^{[309](#page-8-45)}, Irina Nazarenko^{67[,154](#page-7-45)}, Peter Nejsum^{[5](#page-5-8)}, Christian Neri²⁰⁵, Tommaso Neri³⁴⁵, Rienk Nieuwland^{7[&](#page-4-2)\$}, Leonardo Nimrichter^{[247](#page-8-46)}, John P Nolan^{[200](#page-7-18)[\\$](#page-4-3)}, Esther NM Nolte-'t Hoen^{369f}, Nicole Noren Hooten¹⁶⁸, Lorraine O'Driscoll^{235[&](#page-4-2)}, Tina O'Grady^{[316](#page-8-19)}, Ana O'Loghlen^{[190](#page-7-44)}, Takahiro Ochiya^{163[£](#page-4-1)}, Martin Olivier¹⁵³, Alberto Ortiz^{[93](#page-6-14)[,206](#page-7-49)[,242](#page-8-20)}, Luis A Ortiz^{[76](#page-6-51)[#](#page-4-4)}, Xabier Osteikoetxea¹³, Ole Østergaard^{[209](#page-7-50)[,303](#page-8-47)}, Matias Ostrowski²⁸⁶, Jaesung Park^{[187](#page-7-29)}, D. Michiel Pegtel¹¹, Hector Peinado^{[207](#page-7-49)f}, Francesca Perut¹¹⁴, Michael W Pfaffl^{[212](#page-7-16)}, Donald G Phinney^{224[#](#page-4-4)}, Bartijn CH Pieters¹⁹¹, Ryan C Pink^{[181](#page-7-6)}, David S Pisetsky^{42[,44](#page-6-39)}, Elke Pogge von Strandmann^{[184](#page-7-30)}, Iva Polakovicova^{185[,186](#page-7-29)}, Ivan KH Poon^{[131](#page-6-5)}, Bonita H Powell^{[217](#page-7-0)}, Ilaria Prada³⁷, Lynn Pulliam^{[296](#page-8-39)[,375](#page-9-30)}, Peter Quesenberry^{[231](#page-7-54)}, Annalisa Radeghieri^{[39](#page-6-6),283}, Robert L Raffai^{41,296}, Stefania Raimondo³⁴³, Janusz Rak^{151[,153](#page-7-45)[£](#page-4-1)}, Marcel I Ramirez^{110,245}, Graça Raposo¹⁰², Morsi S Rayyan³²⁶, Neta Regev-Rudzki^{[380](#page-9-4)[£](#page-4-1)}, Franz L Ricklefs²⁷², Paul D Robbins³³², David D Roberts¹⁶⁷, Silvia C Rodrigues^{36,53}, Eva Rohde^{182[,183](#page-7-30)[,208](#page-7-56)}, Sophie Rome³¹⁹, Kasper MA Rouschop¹³⁷, Aurelia Rughetti^{[199](#page-7-18)}, Ashley E Russell^{[383](#page-9-24)}, Paula Saá¹⁰, Susmita Sahoo^{91[&](#page-4-2)}, Edison Salas-Huenuleo^{[8](#page-5-12),299}, Catherine Sánchez³⁵, Julie A Saugstad¹⁷⁵, Meike J Saul^{[213](#page-7-57)}, Raymond M Schiffelers^{[275](#page-8-51)[£](#page-4-1)}, Raphael Schneider^{[362](#page-9-18),364}, Tine Hiorth Schøyen^{[217](#page-7-0)}, Aaron Scott²⁸⁴, Eriomina Shahaj⁶¹, Shivani Sharma^{289[,291](#page-8-52)[,292](#page-8-30)}, Olga Shatnyeva¹⁴, Faezeh Shekari^{[195](#page-7-3)}, Ganesh Vilas Shelke^{[307](#page-8-53)[,308](#page-8-17)}, Ashok K Shetty^{[194](#page-7-3)[,214](#page-7-58)}, Kiyotaka Shiba²¹, Pia R-M Siljander^{311,312}, Andreia M Silva^{96[,348](#page-9-31),349}, Agata Skowronek^{[138](#page-7-59)}, Orman L Snyder II¹²², Rodrigo Pedro Soares^{[193](#page-7-60)}, Barbara W Sódar^{[201](#page-7-18)}, Carolina Soekmadji^{[189](#page-7-44)[,228](#page-7-34)[&](#page-4-2)}, Javier Sotillo¹²⁰, Philip D Stahl^{[378](#page-9-14)}, Willem Stoorvogel^{[369](#page-9-16)}, Shannon L Stott^{80[,139](#page-7-7)}, Erwin F Strasser^{[55](#page-6-57)}, Simon Swift²⁷⁸, Hidetoshi Tahara^{88[&](#page-4-2)}, Muneesh Tewari^{[327](#page-9-20)[,328](#page-9-33),329}, Kate Timms^{[323](#page-8-33)}, Swasti Tiwari^{[65](#page-6-42),198}, Rochelle Tixeira^{[131](#page-6-5)}, Mercedes Tkach^{[103](#page-6-0)}, Wei Seong Toh^{[173](#page-7-10)}, Richard Tomasini⁹⁸, Ana Claudia Torrecilhas^{[240](#page-8-14)[&](#page-4-2)}, Juan Pablo Tosar^{[105](#page-6-59),244}, Vasilis Toxavidis¹⁷, Lorena Urbanelli³⁴⁴, Pieter Vader^{[275](#page-8-51)}, Bas WM van Balkom²⁷⁴, Susanne G van der Grein³⁶⁹, Jan Van Deu[n22](#page-5-3)[,75,](#page-6-19) Martijn JC van Herwijne[n369](#page-9-16), Kendall Van Keuren-Jense[n215,](#page-7-62) Guillaume van Nie[l27](#page-6-33), Martin E van Royen⁴⁶, Andre J van Wijnen¹⁴⁶, M Helena Vasconcelos^{113[,347,348](#page-9-31)}, Ivan J Vechetti Jr³¹⁴, Tiago D Veit²⁴⁸, Laura J Vella^{[216](#page-7-62),226}, Émilie Velot²³⁷, Frederik J Verweij²⁷, Beate Vestad^{176[,192](#page-7-60)[,336](#page-9-6)}, Jose L Viñas^{128[,180](#page-7-6)[,337](#page-9-6)}, Tamás Visnovitz²⁰¹, Krisztina V Vukman²⁰¹, Jessica Wahlgren³¹⁰, Dionysios C Watson^{24,269}, Marca HM Wauben^{[369](#page-9-16)[&](#page-4-2)[£](#page-4-1)[\\$](#page-4-3)}, Alissa Weaver^{[374](#page-9-30)&}, Jason P Webber²³, Viktoria Weber⁴⁰, Ann M Wehman^{[368](#page-9-16)}, Daniel J Weiss^{[230](#page-7-34)[#](#page-4-4)}, Joshua A Welsh^{166[\\$](#page-4-3)}, Sebastian Wendt^{[268](#page-8-61)}, Asa M Wheelock^{[125](#page-6-62)}, Zoltán Wiener^{[201](#page-7-18)}, Leonie Witte^{[270](#page-8-27),271}, Joy Wolfram^{[31](#page-6-37)[,89](#page-6-43)[,147](#page-7-63)}, Angeliki Xagorari⁶⁴, Patricia Xander^{[246](#page-8-62)}, Jing Xu^{16[,204](#page-7-31)}, Xiaomei Yan³⁸⁴, María Yáñez-Mó^{[29](#page-6-44)[,241](#page-8-63)}, Hang Yin^{236[&](#page-4-2)}, Yuana Yuana²¹¹, Valentina Zappulli³⁴¹, Jana Zarubova^{[108](#page-6-64)[,109](#page-6-65),290}, Vytautas Žėkas³⁷⁶, Jian-ye Zhang⁷⁷, Zezhou Zhao^{[217](#page-7-0)}, Lei Zheng^{162[&](#page-4-2)}, Alexander R Zheutlin³²⁶, Antje M Zickler¹²⁴, Pascale Zimmermann^{[9](#page-5-13)[,129](#page-6-17)}, Angela M Zivkovic²⁸⁷, Davide Zocco⁵⁴ and Ewa K Zuba-Surma^{[119](#page-6-8)}

¹A.C.Camargo Cancer Center, São Paulo, Brazil; ²Aalborg University Hospital, Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Aalborg, Denmark; 3 Aalborg University Hospital, Department of Clinical Immunology, Aalborg, Denmark; ⁴ Aalborg University, Clinical Institute, Aalborg, Denmark; ⁵Aarhus University, Department of Clinical Medicine, Aarhus, Denmark; ⁶Aberystwyth University, Institute of Biological, Environmental and Rural Sciences (IBERS), Aberystwyth, United Kingdom; ⁷Academic Medical Centre of the University of Amsterdam, Department of Clinical Chemistry and Vesicle Observation Centre, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; ⁸Advanced Center for Chronic Diseases, Santiago, Chile; ⁹Aix-Marseille Université, Institut Paoli-Calmettes, INSERM U1068, CNRS UMR7258, Centre de Recherche en Cancérologie de Marseille, Marseille, France; 10American Red Cross, Scientific Affairs, Gaithersburg, MD, USA; 11Amsterdam University Medical Centers, Department of Pathology, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; 12Aston University, School of Life & Health Sciences, Birmingham, UK; ¹³AstraZeneca, Discovery Sciences, IMED Biotech Unit, Cambridge, UK; ¹⁴AstraZeneca, Discovery Sciences, IMED Biotech Unit, Gothenburg, Sweden; 15Atlantic Cancer Research Institute, Moncton, Canada; 16BC Cancer, Canada's Michael Smith Genome Sciences Centre, Vancouver, Canada; ¹⁷Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA; ¹⁸Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA; ¹⁹Brigham and Women's Hospital, Center for Interdisciplinary Cardiovascular Sciences, Boston, MA, USA; ²⁰Brown University, Women and Infants Hospital, Providence, RI, USA; ²¹Cancer Institute of JFCR, Tokyo, Japan; ²²Cancer Research Institute Ghent, Ghent, Belgium; ²³Cardiff University, School of Medicine, Cardiff, UK; ²⁴Case Western Reserve University, Department of Medicine, Cleveland, OH, USA; ²⁵Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los

Angeles, CA, USA; ²⁶Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Smidt Heart Institute, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ²⁷Center for Psychiatry and Neuroscience, INSERM U894, Paris, France; 28Central Research Laboratories, Sysmex Co., Kobe, Japan; 29Centro de Biología Molecular Severo Ochoa, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria la Princesa (IIS-IP), Madrid, Spain; ³⁰Children's Hospital of Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ³¹Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wenzhou Institute of Biomaterials and Engineering, Wenzhou, China; 32CIC bioGUNE, CIBERehd, Exosomes Laboratory & Metabolomics Platform, Derio, Spain; 33Cincinnati Cancer Center, Cincinnati, OH, USA; 34City of Hope Comprehensive Cancer Center, Beckman Research Institute, Department of Molecular Medicine, Duarte, CA, USA; ³⁵Clínica las Condes, Extracellular Vesicles in Personalized Medicine Group, Santiago, Chile; ³⁶CNC, Coimbra, Portugal; ³⁷CNR Institute of Neuroscience, Milan, Italy; ³⁸Copenhagen Lupus and Vasculitis Clinic, Section 4242 - Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark; 39CSGI - Research Center for Colloids and Nanoscience, Florence, Italy; 40Danube University Krems, Department for Biomedical Research and Christian Doppler Laboratory for Innovative Therapy Approaches in Sepsis, Krems an der Donau, Austria; 41Department of Veterans Affairs, San Francisco, CA, USA; 42Duke University Medical Center, Departments of Medicine and Immunology, Durham, NC, USA; 43Duke University, Department of Ophthalmology, Durham, NC, USA; 44Durham VAMC, Medical Research Service, Durham, NC, USA; 45École normale supérieure, Paris, France; 46Department of Pathology, Erasmus MC, Erasmus Optical Imaging Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands; 47Department of Urology, Rotterdam, The Netherlands; 48Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands; 49ETH Zurich, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Zurich, Switzerland; 50Eulji University, School of Medicine, Daejeon, South Korea; 51Evox Therapeutics Limited, Oxford, UK; 52EVSEARCH.DK, Denmark; 53Exogenus Therapeutics, Cantanhede, Portugal; 54Exosomics Siena SpA, Siena, Italy; 55FAU Erlangen-Nuremberg, Transfusion and Haemostaseology Department, Erlangen, Germany; 56Federal Research and Clinical Center of Physical-Chemical Medicine, Moscow, Russia; 57Federal University of Paraná, Department of Genetics, Human Molecular Genetics Laboratory, Curitiba, Brazil; 58FEMTO-ST Institute, UBFC, CNRS, ENSMM, UTBM, Besançon, France; ⁵⁹Finnish Red Cross Blood Service, Research and Development, Helsinki, Finland; ⁶⁰Florida State University College of Medicine, Department of Biomedical Sciences, Tallahassee, FL, USA; 61Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Unit of Immunotherapy of Human Tumors, Milan, Italy; ⁶²Genome Institute of Singapore, A*STAR, Singapore; ⁶³Georg-Speyer-Haus Institute for Tumor Biology and Experimental Therapy, Frankfurt, Germany; 64George Papanicolaou Hospital, Public Cord Blood Bank, Department of Haematology - BMT Unit, Thessaloniki, Greece; ⁶⁵Georgetown University, Department of Medicine, Washington, DC, USA; ⁶⁶German Armed Forces Central Hospital, Department of General, Visceral and Thoracic Surgery, Koblenz, Germany; ⁶⁷German Cancer Consortium (DKTK), Partner Site Freiburg and German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany; ⁶⁸German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Clinical Cooperation Unit Applied Tumor Biology, Heidelberg, Germany; 69German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Division Signaling and Functional Genomics, Heidelberg, Germany; 70German Centre for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Bonn, Germany; 71German Research Center for Environmental Health, Institute for Virology, Munich, Germany; 72Germans Trias i Pujol Research Institute (IGTP), Can Ruti Campus, REMAR-IVECAT Group, Badalona, Spain; 73Germans Trias i Pujol University Hospital, Nephrology Service, Badalona, Spain; 74Ghent University Hospital, Department of Urology, Ghent, Belgium; 75Ghent University, Department of Radiation Oncology and Experimental Cancer Research, Laboratory of Experimental Cancer Research, Ghent, Belgium; ⁷⁶Graduate School of Public Health at the University of Pittsburgh, Division of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; 77Guangzhou Medical University, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences & the Fifth Affiliated Hospital, Key Laboratory of Molecular Target & Clinical Pharmacology, Guangzhou, China; ⁷⁸Haaglanden Medical Center, Department of Neurosurgery, The Hague, The Netherlands; 79Harvard Medical School, Cardiovascular Medicine, Boston, MA, USA; 80Harvard Medical School, Department of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA; 81Harvard University, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA; ⁸²Harvard University, School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Cambridge, MA, USA; ⁸³Hasselt University, Biomedical Research Institute (BIOMED), Department of Medicine and Life Sciences, Hasselt, Belgium; ⁸⁴Hasselt University, Biomedical Research Institute (BIOMED), Hasselt, Belgium; 85Helmholtz Center Munich German Research Center for Environmental Health, Research Unit Gene Vectors, Munich, Germany; 86Helmholtz-Centre for Infection Research, Braunschweig, Germany; 87Helmholtz-Institute for Pharmaceutical Research Saarland, Saarbrücken, Germany; 88Hiroshima University, Institute of Biomedical & Health Sciences, Department of Cellular and Molecular Biology, Hiroshima, Japan; ⁸⁹Houston Methodist Research Institute, Department of Nanomedicine, Houston, TX, USA; ⁹⁰Hudson Institute of Medical Research, Melbourne, Australia; 91Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, Department of Medicine, Cardiology, New York City, NY, USA; ⁹²Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York City, NY, USA; ⁹³IIS-Fundacion Jimenez Diaz-UAM, Department of Nephrology and Hypertension, Madrid, Spain; ⁹⁴IKERBASQUE Research Science Foundation, Bilbao, Spain; ⁹⁵Imperial College London, London, UK; ⁹⁶INEB -Instituto de Engenharia Biomédica, Porto, Portugal; ⁹⁷INSERM U1063, Université d'Angers, CHU d'Angers, Angers, France; ⁹⁸INSERM U1068, Aix Marseille University, CNRS UMR7258, Marseille, France; ⁹⁹INSERM U1110, Strasbourg, France; ¹⁰⁰INSERM UMR-S 970, Paris Cardiovascular Research Center, Paris, France; ¹⁰¹Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avancats (ICREA), Barcelona, Spain; ¹⁰²Institut Curie, CNRS UMR144, PSL Research University, Paris, France; ¹⁰³Institut Curie, INSERM U932, PSL Research University, Paris, France; ¹⁰⁴Institut d'Investigació Germans Trias i Pujol (IGTP), PVREX group, Badalona, Spain; ¹⁰⁵Institut Pasteur de Montevideo, Functional Genomics Unit, Montevideo, Uruguay; ¹⁰⁶Institute of Biomedicine and Molecular Immunology (IBIM), National Research Council (CNR) of Italy, Palermo, Italy; ¹⁰⁷Institute of Medical Biology (IMB), Agency for Science and Technology (A*STAR), Singapore; ¹⁰⁸Institute of Physiology CAS, Department of Biomaterials and Tissue Engineering, BIOCEV, Vestec, Czech Republic; 109Institute of Physiology CAS, Department of Biomaterials and Tissue Engineering, Prague, Czech Republic; ¹¹⁰Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; ¹¹¹INSTM - National Interuniversity Consortium of Materials Science and Technology, Florence, Italy; 112Interuniversity Research Institute for Molecular Recognition and Technological Development (IDM), University of Valencia, Polytechnic University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain; 113IPATIMUP, Institute of Molecular Pathology and Immunology of the University of Porto, Porto, Portugal; 114IRCCS - Istituto Ortopedico Rizzoli, Laboratory for Orthopaedic Pathophysiology and Regenerative Medicine, Bologna, Italy; ¹¹⁵IRCCS Fondazione Don Carlo Gnocchi, Laboratory of Nanomedicine and Clinical Biophotonics (LABION), Milan, Italy; 116IRCCS MultiMedica, Milan, Italy; 117ISGlobal, Hospital Clínic - Universitat de Barcelona, PVREX Group, Barcelona, Spain; ¹¹⁸Istanbul University Oncology Institute, Basic Oncology Department, Istanbul, Turkey; ¹¹⁹Jagiellonian University, Faculty of Biochemistry, Biophysics and Biotechnology, Department of Cell Biology, Kraków, Poland; ¹²⁰James Cook University, Australian Institute of Tropical Health and Medicine, Centre for Biodiscovery and Molecular Development of Therapeutics, Cairns, Australia; 121K.G. Jebsen Brain Tumor Research Centre, Department of Biomedicine, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway; 122Kansas State University, College of Veterinary Medicine, Manhattan, KS, USA; 123Karolinska Institute, Clinical Research Center, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Stockholm, Sweden; 124Karolinska Institute, Clinical Research Center, Unit for Molecular Cell and Gene Therapy Science, Stockholm, Sweden; ¹²⁵Karolinska Institute, Department of Medicine and Center for Molecular Medicine, Respiratory Medicine Unit, Stockholm, Sweden; ¹²⁶Karolinska Institute, Department of Medicine Solna, Division for Immunology and Allergy, Stockholm, Sweden; ¹²⁷Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden; 128Kidney Research Centre, Ottawa, Canada; 129KU Leuven (Leuven University), Department of Human Genetics, Leuven, Belgium; ¹³⁰Kyung Hee University, Department of Applied Chemistry, Yongin, Korea; ¹³¹La Trobe University, La Trobe Institute for Molecular

Science, Department of Biochemistry and Genetics, Bundoora, Australia; 132Latvian Biomedical Research and Study Centre, Riga, Latvia; ¹³³Leibniz Institute for Farm Animal Biology (FBN), Institute of Reproductive Biology, Dummerstorf, Germany; ¹³⁴Leiden University Medical Center, Department of Neurosurgery, Leiden, The Netherlands; 135Loyola University Chicago, Department of Urology, Maywood, IL, USA; ¹³⁶Lund University, Department of Cardiology, Lund, Sweden; ¹³⁷Maastricht University, GROW, School for Oncology and Developmental Biology, Maastricht Radiation Oncology (MaastRO) Lab, Maastricht, The Netherlands; 138Maria Sklodowska-Curie Institute - Oncology Center, Gliwice Branch, Gliwice, Poland; 139Massachusetts General Cancer Center, Boston, MA, USA; 140Massachusetts General Hospital and Neuroscience Program, Harvard Medical School, Department of Neurology and Radiology, Boston, MA, USA; 141Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA; 142Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Neurology, Boston, MA, USA; 143Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Neurosurgery, Boston, MA, USA; 144Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Department of Neurology, Boston, MA, USA; 145Mayo Clinic, College of Medicine, Department of Physiology and Biomedical Engineering, Rochester, MN, USA; ¹⁴⁶Mayo Clinic, Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Rochester, MN, USA; ¹⁴⁷Mayo Clinic, Department of Transplantation Medicine/ Department of Physiology and Biomedical Engineering, Jacksonville, FL, USA; 148Mayo Clinic, Department of Transplantation, Jacksonville, FL, USA; 149Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA; 150McGill University, Division of Experimental Medicine, Montreal, Canada; 151McGill University, Montreal, Canada; 152McGill University, The Research Institute of the McGill University Health Centre, Child Health and Human Development Program, Montreal, Canada; ¹⁵³McGill University, The Research Institute of the McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, Canada; ¹⁵⁴Medical Center - University of Freiburg, Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Institute for Infection Prevention and Hospital Epidemiology, Freiburg, Germany; 155Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, Department of Medicine, New York City, NY, USA; 156Monash University, Melbourne, Australia; 157Mossakowski Medical Research Centre, NeuroRepair Department, Warsaw, Poland; 158Mount Allison University, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Sackville, Canada; 159MRC The Gambia, Fajara, The Gambia; 160MTA-SE Immuno-Proteogenomics Research Groups, Budapest, Hungary; ¹⁶¹Namur Thrombosis and Hemostasis Center (NTHC), NARILIS, Namur, Belgium; ¹⁶²Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Department of Clinical Laboratory Medicine, Guangzhou, China; 163National Cancer Center Research Institute, Division of Molecular and Cellular Medicine, Tokyo, Japan; ¹⁶⁴National Cancer Center Research Institute, Tokyo, Japan; ¹⁶⁵National Institute of Chemistry, Department of Molecular Biology and Nanobiotechnology, Ljubljana, Slovenia; 166National Institutes of Health, National Cancer Institute, Center for Cancer Research, Bethesda, MD, USA; 167National Institutes of Health, National Cancer Institute, Center for Cancer Research, Laboratory of Pathology, Bethesda, MD, USA; ¹⁶⁸National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Aging, Baltimore, MD, USA; ¹⁶⁹National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders, Bethesda, MD, USA; ¹⁷⁰National Taiwan University Hospital, Department of Internal Medicine, Taipei, Taiwan; 171National Tsing Hua University, Department of Power Mechanical Engineering, Hsinchu, Taiwan; 172National Tsing Hua University, Institute of Nanoengineering and Microsystems, Hsinchu, Taiwan; ¹⁷³National University of Singapore, Faculty of Dentistry, Singapore; ¹⁷⁴Nationwide Children's Hospital, Columbus, OH, USA; ¹⁷⁵Oregon Health & Science University, Department of Anesthesiology & Perioperative Medicine, Portland, OR, USA; 176Oslo University Hospital Rikshospitalet, Research Institute of Internal Medicine, Oslo, Norway; 177Oslo University Hospital-The Norwegian Radium Hospital, Institute for Cancer Research, Department of Molecular Cell Biology, Oslo, Norway; 178Oslo University Hospital, Department of Medical Biochemistry, Blood Cell Research Group, Oslo, Norway; ¹⁷⁹Ospedale Santo Spirito, Pescara, Italy; ¹⁸⁰Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Ottawa, Canada; ¹⁸¹Oxford Brookes University, Department of Biological and Medical Sciences, Oxford, UK; 182Paracelsus Medical University, Department of Transfusion Medicine, Salzburg, Austria; 183Paracelsus Medical University, GMP Unit, Salzburg, Austria; 184Philipps University Marburg, Experimental Tumor Biology, Marburg, Germany; 185Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Advanced Center for Chronic Diseases (ACCDiS), Santiago, Chile; 186Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Hematology-Oncology, Santiago, Chile; 187POSTECH (Pohang University of Science and Technology), Department of Life Sciences, Pohang, South Korea; 188Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, University Health Network, Toronto, Canada; 189QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Herston, Australia; 190Queen Mary University of London, Blizard Institute, Epigenetics & Cellular Senescence Group, London, UK; ¹⁹¹Radboud University Medical Center, Department of Rheumatology, Nijmegen, The Netherlands; ¹⁹²Regional Research Network on Extracellular Vesicles, RRNEV, Oslo, Norway; ¹⁹³René Rachou Institute/FIOCRUZ, Belo Horizonte, Brazil; 194Research Service, Olin E. Teague Veterans' Medical Center, Temple, TX, USA; 195Royan Institute for Stem Cell Biology and Technology, ACECR, Cell Science Research Center, Department of Stem Cells and Developmental Biology, Tehran, Iran; 196Saarland University Medical Center, Department of Medicine II, Homburg, Germany; 197Saarland University, Saarbrücken, Germany; ¹⁹⁸Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Department of Molecular Medicine & Biotechnology, Lucknow, India; ¹⁹⁹Sapienza University of Rome, Department of Experimental Medicine, Rome, Italy; ²⁰⁰Scintillon Institute, La Jolla, CA, USA; ²⁰¹Semmelweis University, Department of Genetics, Cell- and Immunobiology, Budapest, Hungary; ²⁰²Semmelweis University, Department of Physiology, Budapest, Hungary; ²⁰³SickKids Hospital, Department of Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, Toronto, Canada; ²⁰⁴Simon Fraser University, Department of Molecular Biology and Biochemistry, Burnaby, Canada; ²⁰⁵Sorbonne Université, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Research Unit Biology of Adaptation and Aging (B2A), Team Compensation in Neurodegenerative and Aging (Brain-C), Paris, France; 206Spanish Kidney Research Network, REDINREN, Madrid, Spain; ²⁰⁷Spanish National Cancer Research Center (CNIO), Molecular Oncology Programme, Microenvironment and Metastasis Laboratory, Madrid, Spain; ²⁰⁸Spinal Cord Injury & Tissue Regeneration Center Salzburg (SCI-TReCS), Salzburg, Austria; 209Statens Serum Institut, Department of Autoimmunology and Biomarkers, Copenhagen, Denmark; 210Stellenbosch University, Department of Physiological Sciences, Stellenbosch, South Africa; ²¹¹Technical University Eindhoven, Faculty Biomedical Technology, Eindhoven, The Netherlands; ²¹²Technical University of Munich, TUM School of Life Sciences Weihenstephan, Division of Animal Physiology and Immunology, Freising, Germany; 213Technische Universität Darmstadt, Department of Biology, Darmstadt, Germany; 214Texas A&M University College of Medicine, Institute for Regenerative Medicine and Department of Molecular and Cellular Medicine, College Station, TX, USA; ²¹⁵TGen, Neurogenomics Division, Phoenix, AZ, USA; ²¹⁶The Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health, Melbourne, Australia; 217The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Department of Molecular and Comparative Pathobiology, Baltimore, MD, USA; ²¹⁸The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Department of Neurology, Baltimore, MD, USA; ²¹⁹The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Baltimore, MD, USA; ²²⁰The Ohio State University, College of Pharmacy, Division of Pharmaceutics and Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Columbus, OH, USA; 221The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA; ²²²The Ohio State University, Comprehensive Cancer Center, Columbus, OH, USA; ²²³The School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia; 224The Scripps Research Institute-Scripps Florida, Department of Molecular Medicine, Jupiter, FL, USA; ²²⁵The Sociedade Beneficente Israelita Brasileira Albert Einstein, São Paulo, Brazil; ²²⁶The University of Melbourne, The Department of Medicine, Melbourne, Australia; 227The University of Nottingham, School of Medicine, Children's Brain Tumour Research Centre, Nottingham, UK; ²²⁸The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia; ²²⁹The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK; ²³⁰The University of Vermont Medical Center, Department of Medicine, Burlington, VT, USA; 231The Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Department of Medicine,

Providence, RI, USA; 232Thomas Jefferson University, Sidney Kimmel Medical School, Department of Cancer Biology, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ²³³Toronto General Hospital Research Institute, University Health Network, Toronto, Canada; ²³⁴TPM of Mirandola, Mirandola, Italy; ²³⁵Trinity College Dublin, School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Panoz Institute & Trinity Biomedical Sciences Institute, Dublin, Ireland; 236Tsinghua University, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Beijing, China; ²³⁷UMR 7365 CNRS-Université de Lorraine, Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy, France; ²³⁸UMR-CBMN, CNRS-Université de Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France; ²³⁹UNICAMP, Institute of Biology, Campinas, Brazil; ²⁴⁰UNIFESP, Departamento de Ciências Farmacêuticas, Diadema, Brazil; 241Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Departamento de Biología Molecular, Madrid, Spain; 242Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, School of Medicine, Department of Medicine, Madrid, Spain; 243Universidad de Buenos Aires, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Departamento de Química Biológica, Buenos Aires, Argentina; 244Universidad de la República, Faculty of Science, Nuclear Research Center, Analytical Biochemistry Unit, Montevideo, Uruguay; 245Universidade Federal de Paraná, Paraná, Brazil; 246Universidade Federal de São Paulo Campus Diadema, Departamento de Ciências Farmacêuticas, Laboratório de Imunologia Celular e Bioquímica de Fungos e Protozoários, São Paulo, Brazil; 247Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Instituto de Microbiologia, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; 248Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Instituto de Ciências Básicas da Saúde, Departamento de Microbiologia, Imunologia e Parasitologia, Porto Alegre, Brazil; 249Università degli Studi di Milano, Department of Clinical Sciences and Community Health, EPIGET LAB, Milan, Italy; 250Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Department of Cell Biology, Physiology & Immunology, Barcelona, Spain; 251Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Hospital Universitari and Health Sciences Research Institute Germans Trias i Pujol, Department of Pathology, Barcelona, Spain; 252Universitat de València, Departament de Farmàcia i Tecnologia Farmacèutica i Parasitologia, Àrea de Parasitologia, Valencia, Spain; 253Universitat de València, Health Research Institute La Fe, Joint Research Unit on Endocrinology, Nutrition and Clinical Dietetics, Valencia, Spain; 254Université Bretagne Loire, Oniris, INRA, IECM, Nantes, France; 255Université Catholique de Louvain, CHU UCL Namur, Hematology-Hemostasis Laboratory, Yvoir, Belgium; 256Université Catholique de Louvain, Institut de Recherche Expérimentale et Clinique (IREC), Laboratory of Pediatric Hepatology and Cell Therapy, Brussels, Belgium; 257Université de Lille, INSERM, U-1192, Laboratoire Protéomique, Réponse Inflammatoire et Spectrométrie de Masse - PRISM, Lille, France; 258Université de Nantes, INSERM UMR 1238, Bone Sarcoma and Remodeling of Calcified Tissues, PhyOS, Nantes, France; 259Université de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France; 260Université Laval, Centre de Recherche du CHU de Québec, Department of Infectious Diseases and Immunity, Quebec City, Canada; ²⁶¹Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France; ²⁶²Universiteit Hasselt, Diepenbeek, Belgium; ²⁶³University Clinic Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany; 264University College London, London, UK; 265University Hospital Bonn (UKB), Bonn, Germany; 266University Hospital Essen, University Duisburg-Essen, Institute for Transfusion Medicine, Essen, Germany; 267University Hospital Heidelberg, Institute of Pathology, Applied Tumor Biology, Heidelberg, Germany; ²⁶⁸University Hospital RWTH Aachen, Department of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, Aachen, Germany; 269University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, Department of Medicine, Cleveland, OH, USA; 270University Medical Center Göttingen, Developmental Biochemistry, Göttingen, Germany; 271University Medical Center Göttingen, Hematology and Oncology, Göttingen, Germany; 272University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Department of Neurosurgery, Hamburg, Germany; ²⁷³University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Institute of Neuropathology, Hamburg, Germany; ²⁷⁴University Medical Center Utrecht, Department of Nephrology and Hypertension, Utrecht, The Netherlands; ²⁷⁵University Medical Center Utrecht, Laboratory for Clinical Chemistry & Hematology, Utrecht, The Netherlands; 276University of Antwerp, Centre for Proteomics, Antwerp, Belgium; 277University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand; 278University of Auckland, Department of Molecular Medicine and Pathology, Auckland, New Zealand; 279University of Auckland, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Auckland, New Zealand; ²⁸⁰University of Belgrade, Institute for the Application of Nuclear Energy, INEP, Belgrade, Serbia; ²⁸¹University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK; ²⁸²University of Birmingham, Institute of Microbiology and Infection, Birmingham, UK; ²⁸³University of Brescia, Department of Molecular and Translational Medicine, Brescia, Italy; 284University of Bristol, Bristol, UK; 285University of British Columbia Okanagan, Kelowna, Canada; 286University of Buenos Aires, Instituto de Investigaciones Biomédicas en Retrovirus y SIDA (INBIRS), Buenos Aires, Argentina; 287University of California, Davis, Department of Nutrition, Davis, CA, USA; ²⁸⁸University of California, Davis, Department of Otolaryngology, Davis, CA, USA; ²⁸⁹University of California, Los Angeles, California NanoSystems Institute, Los Angeles, CA, USA; 290University of California, Los Angeles, Department of Bioengineering, Los Angeles, CA, USA; 291University of California, Los Angeles, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ²⁹²University of California, Los Angeles, Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ²⁹³University of California, San Diego, Department of Neurosurgery, La Jolla, CA, USA; 294University of California, San Diego, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Sciences, La Jolla, CA, USA; ²⁹⁵University of California, San Diego, Department of Pediatrics, San Diego, CA, USA; ²⁹⁶University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA; 297University of Cambridge School of Clinical Medicine, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Department of Medicine, Cambridge NIHR BRC Cell Phenotyping Hub, Cambridge, UK; 298University of Campinas, Piracicaba Dental School, Department of Oral Diagnosis, Piracicaba, Brazil; ²⁹⁹University of Chile, Faculty of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Science, Laboratory of Nanobiotechnology and Nanotoxicology, Santiago, Chile; ³⁰⁰University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH, USA; ³⁰¹University of Cologne, Department of Internal Medicine I, Cologne, Germany; 302University of Colorado, School of Medicine, Department of Ophthalmology, Cell Sight-Ocular Stem Cell and Regeneration Program, Aurora, CO, USA; ³⁰³University of Copenhagen, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Protein Research, Copenhagen, Denmark; ³⁰⁴University of Copenhagen, Institute of Clinical Medicine, Copenhagen, Denmark; 305University of Edinburgh, Institute of Immunology & Infection Research, Edinburgh, UK; 306University of Gothenburg, Institute of Clinical Sciences at Sahlgrenska Academy, Department of Biomaterials, Gothenburg, Sweden; 307University of Gothenburg, Institute of Clinical Sciences, Department of Surgery, Sahlgrenska Cancer Center, Gothenburg, Sweden; ³⁰⁸University of Gothenburg, Institute of Medicine at Sahlgrenska Academy, Krefting Research Centre, Gothenburg, Sweden; 309University of Gothenburg, Sahlgrenska Academy, Department of Rheumatology and Inflammation Research, Gothenburg, Sweden; 310University of Gothenburg, The Sahlgrenska Academy, Institute of Neuroscience and Physiology, Department of Psychiatry and Neurochemistry, Mölndal, Sweden; 311University of Helsinki, EV Core Facility, Helsinki, Finland; ³¹²University of Helsinki, Faculty of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Molecular and Integrative Biosciences Research Programme, EV group, Helsinki, Finland; 313University of Hertfordshire, School of Life and Medical Sciences, Biosciences Research Group, Hatfield, UK; 314University of Kentucky, College of Medicine, Department of Physiology, Lexington, KY, USA; ³¹⁵University of L'Aquila, Department of Life, Health and Environmental Sciences, L'Aquila, Italy; ³¹⁶University of Liège, GIGA-R(MBD), PSI Laboratory, Liège, Belgium; 317University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Medicine, Institute of Biochemistry, Ljubljana, Slovenia; 318University of Lyon, INRA, EPHE, UMR754 Viral Infections and Comparative Pathology, Lyon, France; 319University of Lyon, Lyon-Sud Faculty of Medicine, CarMeN Laboratory (UMR INSERM 1060-INRA 1397), Pierre-Bénite, France; 320University of Mainz, Institute of Developmental Biology and Neurobiology, Mainz, Germany; ³²¹University of Malta, Department of Pathology, Msida, Malta; ³²²University of Manchester, Division of Cancer Sciences, Manchester Cancer Research Centre, Manchester, UK; 323University of Manchester, Manchester, UK; 324University of Maryland, Fischell Department of Bioengineering, College Park, MD, USA; 325University of Massachusetts Medical School, RNA

Therapeutics Institute, Worcester, MA, USA; ³²⁶University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; ³²⁷University of Michigan, Biointerfaces Institute, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; 328University of Michigan, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; 329University of Michigan, Department of Internal Medicine - Hematology/Oncology Division, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; ³³⁰University of Michigan, Department of Medicine, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; ³³¹University of Michigan, Department of Urology, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; ³³²University of Minnesota Medical School, Institute on the Biology of Aging and Metabolism, Department of Biochemistry, Molecular Biology and Biophysics, Minneapolis, MN, USA; 333University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Division of Oncology, Modena, Italy; 334University of Nebraska Medical Center, Department of Pharmacology and Experimental Neuroscience, Omaha, NE, USA; 335University of Notre Dame, Department of Biological Sciences, Notre Dame, IN, USA; 336University of Oslo, Institute of Clinical Medicine, Oslo, Norway; 337University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada; 338University of Oulu, Faculty of Medicine, Cancer and Translational Medicine Research Unit, Oulu, Finland; 339University of Oxford, Department of Physiology, Anatomy and Genetics, Oxford, UK; 340University of Oxford, Radcliffe Department of Medicine, Acute Stroke Programme - Investigative Medicine, Oxford, UK; 341University of Padova, Department of Comparative Biomedicine and Food Science, Padova, Italy; ³⁴²University of Padova, Department of Women's and Children's Health, Padova, Italy; ³⁴³University of Palermo, Department of Biopathology and Medical Biotechnologies, Palermo, Italy; 344University of Perugia, Department of Chemistry, Biology and Biotechnology, Perugia, Italy; ³⁴⁵University of Pisa, Centro Dipartimentale di Biologia Cellulare Cardio-Respiratoria, Pisa, Italy; ³⁴⁶University of Porto, Faculty of Pharmacy (FFUP), IBMC/I3S, Porto, Portugal; 347University of Porto, Faculty of Pharmacy (FFUP), Porto, Portugal; 348University of Porto, i3S-Instituto de Investigação e Inovação em Saúde, Porto, Portugal; 349University of Porto, ICBAS - Instituto de Ciências Biomédicas Abel Salazar, Porto, Portugal; ³⁵⁰University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA; ³⁵¹University of São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto Medical School, Department of Pathology and Forensic Medicine, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil; 352University of São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto Medical School, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil; 353University of Science and Culture, ACECR, Department of Developmental Biology, Tehran, Iran; ³⁵⁴University of South Alabama, Department of Pharmacology, Center for Lung Biology, Mobile, AL, USA; 355University of Southern California Keck School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA, USA; 356University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA; 357University of Technology Sydney, Discipline of Pharmacy, Graduate School of Health, Sydney, Australia; ³⁵⁸University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Department of Cancer Biology, Metastasis Research Center, Houston, TX, USA; ³⁵⁹University of Torino, Department of Medical Sciences, Torino, Italy; ³⁶⁰University of Torino, Department of Molecular Biotechnology and Health Sciences, Torino, Italy; ³⁶¹University of Toronto, Department of Anesthesia, Toronto, Canada; ³⁶²University of Toronto, Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathobiology, Toronto, Canada; ³⁶³University of Toronto, Department of Medical Biophysics, Toronto, Canada; ³⁶⁴University of Toronto, Department of Medicine, Division of Neurology, Toronto, Canada; ³⁶⁵University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan; ³⁶⁶University of Virginia Health System, Department of Medicine, Division of Nephrology, Charlottesville, VA, USA; ³⁶⁷University of Virginia, Flow Cytometry Core, School of Medicine, Charlottesville, VA, USA; 368University of Würzburg, Rudolf Virchow Center, Würzburg, Germany; ³⁶⁹Utrecht University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Utrecht, The Netherlands; ³⁷⁰Utrecht University, University Medical Center Utrecht, Center for Molecular Medicine & Regenerative Medicine Center, Utrecht, The Netherlands; ³⁷¹Utrecht University, University Medical Center Utrecht, Department of Neurosurgery, Brain Center Rudolf Magnus, Institute of Neurosciences, Utrecht, The Netherlands; 372Utrecht University, University Medical Center Utrecht, Department of Pathology, Utrecht, The Netherlands; ³⁷³Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Epithelial Biology Center, Department of Medicine, Nashville, TN, USA; 374Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, Nashville, TN, USA; 375Veterans Affairs Medical Center, San Francisco, CA, USA; ³⁷⁶Vilnius University, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Department of Physiology, Biochemistry, Microbiology and Laboratory Medicine, Vilnius, Lithuania; 377Vlaamse Instelling voor Technologisch Onderzoek (VITO), Mol, Belgium; 378Washington University, Saint Louis, MO, USA; 379Weill Cornell Medicine, Department of Medicine, New York City, NY, USA; 380Weizmann Institute of Science, Department of Biomolecular Sciences, Rehovot, Israel; 381West Virginia University, Department of Chemical and Biomedical Engineering and WVU Cancer Institute, Morgantown, WV, USA; ³⁸²West Virginia University, Department of Microbiology Immunology and Cell Biology, Morgantown, WV, USA; ³⁸³West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV, USA; 384Xiamen University, Department of Chemical Biology, Xiamen, China

ABSTRACT

The last decade has seen a sharp increase in the number of scientific publications describing physiological and pathological functions of extracellular vesicles (EVs), a collective term covering various subtypes of cell-released, membranous structures, called exosomes, microvesicles, microparticles, ectosomes, oncosomes, apoptotic bodies, and many other names. However, specific issues arise when working with these entities, whose size and amount often make them difficult to obtain as relatively pure preparations, and to characterize properly. The International Society for Extracellular Vesicles (ISEV) proposed Minimal Information for Studies of Extracellular Vesicles ("MISEV") guidelines for the field in 2014. We now update these "MISEV2014" guidelines based on evolution of the collective knowledge in the last four years. An important point to consider is that ascribing a specific function to EVs in general, or to subtypes of EVs, requires reporting of specific information beyond mere description of function in a crude, potentially contaminated, and heterogeneous preparation. For example, claims that exosomes are endowed with exquisite and specific activities remain difficult to support experimentally, given our still limited knowledge of their specific molecular machineries of biogenesis and release, as compared with other biophysically similar EVs. The MISEV2018 guidelines include tables and outlines of suggested protocols and steps to follow to document specific EV-associated functional activities. Finally, a checklist is provided with summaries of key points.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 20 September 2018 Accepted 25 September 2018

KEYWORDS

extracellular vesicles; exosomes; ectosomes; microvesicles; minimal information requirements; guidelines; standardization; microparticles: rigor: reproducibility

Table of Contents

Introduction

In 2014, the ISEV board members published a Position Editorial detailing their recommendations, based on their own established expertise, on the "minimal experimental requirements for definition of extracellular vesicles and their functions" [\[1\]](#page-31-0). A list of minimal information for studies of extracellular vesicles (MISEV or MISEV2014) was provided, covering extracellular vesicle (EV) separation/isolation, characterization, and functional studies. The major goal of these recommendations was to sensitize researchers (especially the rapidly growing numbers of scientists newly interested in EVs), as well as journal editors and reviewers, to experimental and reporting requirements specific to the EV field. The ISEV board highlighted the need to consider these issues when making strong conclusions on the involvement of EVs, or specific populations of EVs (exosomes in particular), in any physiological or pathological situation, or when proposing EVs or their molecular cargo as biological markers. By stimulating improved reliability and reproducibility of published EV results, the MISEV2014 authors hoped to further the promise of EVs as biomarkers or for therapeutic applications even in the face of skepticism by some scientists outside the field.

As evidenced by the increasing number of EV publications in high-profile journals, proposing major roles of EVs in numerous physiological pathways from aging to cancer, infectious diseases to obesity, EV science has now clearly achieved widespread interest and enthusiasm well beyond the EV research community. However, the promotion of rigorous EV science is an ongoing process; as EV experts within the ISEV community, we are still concerned to see that major conclusions in some articles are not sufficiently supported by the experiments performed or the information reported. We therefore aim to revise and renew the MISEV recommendations and to continue to work toward their wider acceptance and implementation. In this "MISEV2018" update, a much larger group of ISEV scientists was involved through a community outreach (the MISEV2018 Survey), striving for consensus on what is absolutely necessary, what should be done if possible, and how to cautiously interpret results if all recommendations for controls cannot be followed.

We strongly believe that most of the MISEV2014 recommendations are still valid; however, discoveries and developments within the field during the past four years necessitate certain amendments. This document explains how the 2014 recommendations evolved into MISEV2018 in [Tables 1](#page-16-0), [2](#page-17-0) and [4](#page-25-0); provides suggestions for protein markers to validate the presence of EVs [\(Table 3](#page-21-0)); and, to highlight the salient points, provides outlines of examplar approaches to address some of the most important experimental issues. Importantly, a 2-page checklist summarizing the major aspects to follow in EV science is provided at the end of this article.

The authors of MISEV2014 were careful to propose feasible experiments and controls for most experimental situations, but also to suggest alternatives for particular situations in which not all guidelines could be strictly followed, such as for limiting sample quantities. However, a recent survey of members of ISEV to lay the groundwork for MISEV2018 [\[2](#page-31-1)] showed that, while respondents agreed almost unanimously on the need for minimal requirements, and a majority supported the MISEV2014 initiative and guidelines as published, almost a quarter of respondents found the guidelines too restrictive or too strong an imposition on the field. MISEV2018 thus provides clearer explanations of the need for each recommendation and highlights the extent of author consensus (or lack thereof) on each section. An initial draft of MISEV2018 was submitted to the entire ISEV membership as a Survey asking for agreement/disagreement and comments on each section. The survey specified that, for agree/disagree questions, > 20% "disagree" responses would prompt acknowledgment of major dissent in the final document, while > 40% "disagree" would prompt a focused survey or discussion of the ISEV board with selected survey respondents to redraft the relevant section. 329 responses were received, in which there was such broad agreement on the MISEV2018 draft that the 40% threshold was not met for any section. Nevertheless, attempts were made to address as many comments as comprehensively as possible, and thus generate a semi-final version of this text. Finally, a last round of review was conducted by all previous contributors as well as ISEV and JEV board members and numerous additional long-standing EV experts. Although not all suggestions, references, and critiques could be included in the final product, we are confident that this document represents the views of EV scientists with broad and deep expertise.

Consensus: > 99% of MISEV2018 Survey respondents agreed with the introduction. It has been modified only slightly since the survey, mostly to convey the survey mechanism and results.

Note on applicability of MISEV2018: species, cells, sample types, and experimental conditions

Does MISEV2018 apply to all EV studies, or only to some? EVs appear to be produced by almost all organisms and cell types studied. Yet EV research to date has focused on mammalian EVs, chiefly those of human or mouse origin, and not all cell types or experimental conditions have been closely investigated. In this document, as in MISEV2014, specific examples of molecular markers (such as the markers of EVs in [Table 3](#page-21-0)) are based on studies of specific species, cells, and experimental conditions. Some may be broadly applicable, others less so. Nevertheless, the general principles of MISEV2018 apply to EVs produced by all organisms and all cells. The need to demonstrate presence (or enrichment) of EV markers and absence (or depletion) of putative contaminants, when contents or function of EVs are described, can be generalized to all species, cells, and conditions. We find ourselves at an exciting scientific frontier; where such markers are not yet available, we encourage their development and publication, using the principles of this document as a guide. Additional specific examples may then be incorporated into future MISEV updates.

Consensus: 93% of MISEV2018 Survey respondents agreed that the examples in this document would be based on mammalian EVs. However, applicability to nonmammalian and non-eukaryotic EVs is now addressed.

Nomenclature

ISEV endorses "extracellular vesicle" (EV) as the generic term for particles naturally released from the cell that are delimited by a lipid bilayer and cannot replicate, i.e. do not contain a functional nucleus. Since consensus has not yet emerged on specific markers of EV subtypes, such as endosome-origin "exosomes" and plasma membrane-derived "ectosomes" (microparticles/microvesicles) [\[3](#page-31-2)[,4\]](#page-32-0) assigning an EV to a particular biogenesis pathway remains extraordinarily difficult unless, e.g. the EV is caught in the act of release by live imaging techniques. Therefore, unless authors can establish specific markers of subcellular origin that are reliable within their experimental system(s), authors are urged to consider use of operational terms for EV subtypes that refer to a) physical characteristics of EVs, such as size ("small EVs" (sEVs) and "medium/large EVs" (m/lEVs), with ranges defined, for instance, respectively, < 100nm or < 200nm [small], or > 200nm [large and/or medium]) or density (low, middle, high, with each range defined); b) biochemical composition (CD63+/CD81+- EVs, Annexin A5-stained EVs, etc.); or c) descriptions of conditions or cell of origin (podocyte EVs, hypoxic EVs, large oncosomes, apoptotic bodies) in the place of terms such as exosome and microvesicle that are historically burdened by both manifold, contradictory definitions and inaccurate expectations of unique biogenesis. If it is deemed unavoidable to use these or newly coined terms, they should be defined clearly and prominently at the beginning of each publication [\[5](#page-32-1)]. If confirmation of EV identity cannot be achieved according to the minimal requirements of this MISEV2018 publication, other terms such as extracellular particle (EP) might be more appropriate.

Consensus: 94% of MISEV2018 Survey respondents endorsed this nomenclature recommendation. The remainder were evenly split between dissent and a preference for no nomenclature recommendation.

Collection and pre-processing: pre-analytical variables

The first step to recover EVs is to harvest an EV-containing matrix, such as fluid from tissue culture or from an organismal compartment. During this pre-analytical phase, an extended constellation of factors, including characteristics of the source, how the source material is manipulated and stored, and experimental conditions, can affect EV recovery. Therefore, it is crucial to plan collection and experimental procedures to maximize the number of known, reportable parameters, and then to report as many preanalytical parameters as are known.

Cell culture conditioned media

For EV isolation/characterization from conditioned media (an ISEV survey found that the majority of responding EV

researchers studied conditioned medium [[6\]](#page-32-2)), basic characterization of the releasing cells and culture and harvesting conditions must be performed and reported. Some precautions, such as regular confirmation of cellular identity (e.g. by short tandem repeat (STR) profiling or other methods) [\[7](#page-32-3)[,8\]](#page-32-4) and identification of cell lineage and provenance including mode of immortalization [\[9\]](#page-32-5), are advisable for all cell studies. Especially important for EV studies is that the percent of dead cells at the time of EV harvest should be indicated, since even a small percentage of cell death could release cell membranes that outnumber true released EVs. Quantifying the percentage of apoptotic and necrotic cells may also be useful. (Note, however, that when cells are treated with high concentrations of EVs, cell-adherent EVs positive for apoptotic markers may skew results [[10](#page-32-6),[11](#page-32-7)]). Other relevant characteristics of the cells, including state of activation, malignancy, and senescence [\[12](#page-32-8)[,13\]](#page-32-9), should be reported where applicable.

Culture and harvesting conditions such as passage number (or days in culture for suspension cells), seeding density [\[14\]](#page-32-10), density/confluence at harvest [[14](#page-32-10)], including any relevant post-confluence characteristics such as development of polarity [\[15](#page-32-11)–[19\]](#page-32-12) (in that case, were EVs collected globally or separately, from the different parts of polarized cells?), culture volume, culture vessel or bioreactor system (if used [\[20](#page-32-13)[,21\]](#page-32-14)), surface coatings, oxygen or other gas tensions (if they differ from standard cell culture) [\[22,](#page-32-15)[23\]](#page-32-16), stimulation and other treatments [\[24](#page-32-17)–[30](#page-33-0)], and frequency and intervals of harvest [\[14](#page-32-10)] should be given to allow replication [\[31,](#page-33-1)[32\]](#page-33-2). Culture conditions prior to treatment- (s), if any, should also be given. Note that EV recovery depends not only on EV release, but also on re-uptake by cells in culture, which may vary based on culture density and other conditions. Regular checks for contamination with Mycoplasma (and possibly other microbes) are needed, not only because of cellular responses to contamination, but also because contaminating species can release EVs [\[33](#page-33-3)–[36](#page-33-4)]. Exact methods of medium collection should be given, as well (e.g. decanting or pipetting from flasks, centrifugation of suspension cell cultures). The suggested parameters are of course non-inclusive, and others may be necessary to report for specific types of cells and experiments, including co-culture systems and organoid cultures [[37](#page-33-5)].

All culture medium composition and preparation details should be provided in methods. This should be customary for cell culture studies, and is doubly important here since supplements like glucose [\[38](#page-33-6)–[40\]](#page-33-7), antibiotics [[41\]](#page-33-8), and growth factors [[42\]](#page-33-9) can affect EV production and/or composition. Of special note are medium components that are likely to contain EVs, such as serum. EVs are ideally obtained from culture medium conditioned by cells in the absence of fetal calf serum (FCS or FBS), serum from other species, or other complex products such as platelet lysate, pituitary extract, bile salts, and more, to avoid co-isolation of exogenous EVs. When use of these supplements is unavoidable, experiments should include a nonconditioned medium control to assess the contribution of the medium itself. However, depending on downstream use, it may not be necessary or desirable to deplete EVs [\[43](#page-33-10),[44\]](#page-33-11). In the case of depletion, since nutrient or EV deprivation of cells that are normally cultured in serum- or lysate-containing medium can change cellular behavior and the nature and composition of released EVs [\[45](#page-33-12),[46\]](#page-33-13), it is important to specify culture history (how and when the switch to serumfree medium occurred, including acclimatization steps). Alternatively, cells can be exposed during the EV release period to medium that has been predepleted of EVs. Here, too, effects on cells and EVs may be expected [\[47\]](#page-33-14), and the methods and outcome of depletion vary greatly and should be reported. Several fairly efficient protocols are available, such as 100,000 x g ultracentrifugation of complete medium (or of serum following at least 1:4 dilution) for at least 18 hours [[48](#page-33-15)], centrifugation at enhanced speeds (e.g. $200,000 \times g$ [[49\]](#page-33-16)) for shorter periods of time, or tangential flow filtration or other forms of ultrafiltration [[50\]](#page-33-17). Ultracentrifugation at around 100k x g for a few hours or without dilution will not eliminate all EVs or EV-associated RNA [\[51](#page-34-0)–[53\]](#page-34-1). Commercial "exosome/ EV-depleted" serum and other supplements are available from an increasing number of vendors. Since the method of depletion is usually not indicated, consequences on cell growth and EV release may not be predictable; the exact source, method, and reference of depleted supplements should be given, and the "exosome-free" nature of the product should be checked carefully before use [[54](#page-34-2)]. Additionally, vendors are encouraged to report and benchmark the methods of depletion utilized in their products, while users should report product and lot numbers as well as any pooling of biologicals. Finally, medium preparation details, including heating (heat inactivation) or filtration steps, should be reported. For example, heat inactivation of additives such as serum leads to formation of protein aggregates that may co-precipitate with EVs and thus also change the growth-supporting properties of the serum.

Biological fluids

Since more than 30 types of biofluids exist in mammals, and lavages of numerous compartments add to this number (despite not being true biofluids), MISEV2018 does not provide an exhaustive review of the literature on preanalytical variables related to all biofluids. Each biological fluid presents specific biophysical and chemical characteristics that makes it different from culture conditioned medium, and this must be taken into account when isolating EVs. For instance, plasma and serum are more viscous than conditioned medium. Plasma and serum contain numerous non-EV lipidic structures (low/very low/high density lipoproteins), milk is replete with fatcontaining vesicles, urine with uromodulin (Tamm-Horsfall protein), bronchoalveolar lavage with surfactant, all of which will be co-isolated to various degrees with EVs. In each case, specific precautions to separate EVs from these components may be required. While detailed biofluid-specific reporting guidelines are beyond the scope of this MISEV, we encourage development of such guidelines under the MISEV umbrella.

For EV isolation/characterization from biofluids such as blood plasma, several previous ISEV position papers [[55,](#page-34-3)[56\]](#page-34-4) and other publications (for just a few of many examples, see [\[57](#page-34-5)–[63](#page-34-6)]) have listed reporting requirements that are important for standardization, and these are still valid today, even if many questions remain about the effects of specific pre-analytical variables on different classes of EVs. Since many of these factors have been covered in these previous publications, we do not review them exhaustively here. To give examples of considerations for blood derivatives such as plasma: donor age, biological sex, current or previous pregnancy, menopause, pre/postprandial status (fasting/nonfasting), time of day of collection (Circadian variations), exercise level and time of last exercise, diet, body mass index, specific infectious and noninfectious diseases, medications, and other factors may affect circulating EVs [[64,](#page-34-7)[65\]](#page-34-8). Similarly, technical factors including fluid collection volume, firsttube discard, type of container(s), time to processing, choice of anticoagulant (for blood plasma) [[66](#page-34-9)–[68](#page-34-10)], mixing or agitation, temperature (storage and processing), description of transport (if any), whether tube remained upright before processing, exact centrifugation or filtration procedures, degree of hemolysis, possible confirmation of platelet and lipoprotein depletion prior to storage [\[69](#page-34-11)–[73](#page-34-12)], and other parameters should be clearly indicated. Overall, except some that are specific of plasma/ serum (such as platelet removal and coagulation), the above listed technical details of collection condition apply to all biofluids and must be reported. Of course, it may be that not all variables have been recorded for archived samples, and this should be acknowledged where applicable.

Tissue

As a special case of pre-analytical issues, a rapidly increasing number of groups have reported isolation of tissue EVs. These studies may involve short-term culture of tissue explants [[74\]](#page-34-13) such as ex vivo tumors [[75\]](#page-34-14), or placenta [\[76,](#page-34-15)[77](#page-35-0)], or extraction from whole tissues [\[78](#page-35-1)–[84\]](#page-35-2). Many of the same considerations that apply for cellular and biofluids studies also apply here, including confirmation of provenance and condition. Especially for EV extraction from tissue, it is challenging to ensure that recovered vesicles are truly from the extracellular space, rather than being intracellular vesicles or artefactual particles released from cells broken during tissue harvest, processing (e.g. mechanical disruption), or storage (including freezing). This may be especially challenging in a tissue like brain, where similar procedures are used to collect synaptosomes [[85\]](#page-35-3). Even apparently pure tissue-derived EVs can contain endosome components, which could correspond to components of intracellular vesicles including unreleased intraluminal vesicles of late endosomes/multivesicular bodies (MVBs) that are released artifactually during tissue processing. The recent awareness of these challenges has led researchers to perform gentle tissue disruption (i.e. with the goal of separating EVs from cells and extracellular matrix, but not disrupting cells) and several steps of further separation (including density gradients), followed by strict characterization of multiple negative markers, leading to more convincing tissue-derived EV preparations [[79\]](#page-35-4). Use of genetically modified models to trace EV release from specific cells [[83\]](#page-35-5) is also a useful approach. More research is clearly needed and encouraged into the isolation, characterization, and function of tissue EVs, as compared with intracellular vesicles and/or non-vesicular extracellular particles (EPs).

Storage

Storage and retrieval conditions of both the matrix (e.g. biofluid, tissue, conditioned media) and isolated EVs may affect EV characteristics, including stability, number of particles, aggregation, and function [[57,](#page-34-5)[62](#page-34-16),[63,](#page-34-6)[71](#page-34-17),[86](#page-35-6)–[96](#page-35-7)]. In particular, highly purified EVs may be lost upon storage by adhering to the surfaces of the storage container. How were biofluids, tissues, or media prepared and stored (type of storage container, temperature, etc.) and for how long? Were isolated EVs analyzed or used for experiments fresh, frozen/thawed, lyophilized and reconstituted, etc.? If frozen, how was freezing and thawing performed? In what buffer(s) were EVs stored? For how long? What, if any, cryoprotectant was used? How many freeze-thaw cycles did

each sample experience? If EVs were processed and stored in some other manner, details should also be provided, along with the procedure to evaluate effects of storage method and time on EV activity and other properties, where applicable.

Consensus: 96% of MISEV2018 Survey respondents endorsed the pre-analytical variables section. Since 44% of respondents also suggested at least one added literature citation or other amendment in more than 200 total comments, the section was revised to reflect as many of these suggestions as possible. However, biofluids-specific considerations and SOPs are beyond the current scope of MISEV; only illustrative examples are provided. The MISEV2018 Survey comments evidenced particular interest in urine and milk, consistent with the "Experts Meet" sessions during ISEV2016 and the results of a previous ISEV survey (which also highlighted cerebrospinal fluid) [[6](#page-32-2)]. Beginning with the blood EV roadmap announced at the ISEV2018 annual meeting [[97](#page-35-8)], development of more specific recommendations for individual biofluids and other matrices is encouraged. The overwhelming response to this section indeed demands additional research into the effects of preanalytical variables on EV studies.

EV separation and concentration: how MISEV2014 evolves in 2018

Absolute purification, or complete isolation of EVs from other entities, is an unrealistic goal (as for many biological products). For this reason, and since the various combinations of EVs and media are colloids [\[98](#page-35-9)], here we use the terms separation and concentration. Separation (colloquially referred to as purification or isolation) of 1) EVs from other non-EV components of the matrix (conditioned medium, biofluid, tissue) and 2) the different types of EVs from each other, are achieved to various degrees by the different techniques available. Concentration is a means to increase numbers of EVs per unit volume, with or without separation. The term "enrichment" can refer to increasing concentration, i.e. EV counts relative to volume, or to increasing EV counts/markers relative to another component. The extent of separation or concentration can be assessed by characterization, which will be detailed in the next section.

How pure should an EV preparation be? The answer depends on the experimental question and EV end use, and often segregates by basic and clinical research. Highly purified EVs are needed to attribute a function or a biomarker to vesicles as compared with other particles. Less pure EVs may be required in other cases, such as when a biomarker is useful without pre-enrichment of EVs, or in certain

therapeutic situations where function is paramount, not the definitive association of function with EVs. Of note, some presumed contaminants may co-isolate with EVs and may even contribute to EV function. Therefore, the choice of separation and concentration method must be informed by factors that may vary between studies such that there is no one-size-fits-all approach. More details on this issue (function and coisolated factors) are given in section 5c-d (p.24).

At the end of 2015, according to a worldwide ISEV survey [[6](#page-32-2)], differential ultracentrifugation was the most commonly used primary EV separation and concentration technique, with various other techniques, such as density gradients, precipitation, filtration, size exclusion chromatography, and immunoisolation, used by 5–20% of respondents each. Relative success of these different methods in terms ofrecovery and specificity to EVs (as compared to non-vesicular components), or to EV subtypes, has been addressed in a previous ISEV Position Paper (see Figure 1 of [\[56](#page-34-4)]), and is summarized in [Table 1](#page-16-0) below. To achieve better specificity of EV or EV subtype separation, most researchers use one or more additional techniques following the primary step, such as washing in EV-free buffer, ultrafiltration, application of density gradients (velocity or flotation), or chromatography [\[6](#page-32-2),[99](#page-35-10)–[102](#page-36-0)].

A variety of additional techniques or combinations of techniques have been or are currently being developed, some of which may become more prominent in the coming years if they achieve better recovery or specificity than legacy methods (and this must be demonstrated as in, e.g. [[103](#page-36-1)]). Such methods include tangential flow filtration and variations thereon [[21,](#page-32-14)[104](#page-36-2)–[110\]](#page-36-3), field-flow fractionation (FFF) [[111\]](#page-36-4), asymmetric flow field-flow fractionation (AFFF, A4F, or AF4) [[112](#page-36-5)–[114](#page-36-6)], field-free viscoelastic flow [[115\]](#page-36-7), alternating current electrophoretics [\[116](#page-36-8),[117\]](#page-36-9), acoustics [[118\]](#page-36-10), variations on size exclusion chromatography (SEC) [[100](#page-35-11)[,119](#page-36-11)–[121](#page-36-12)], ion exchange chromatography [[122](#page-36-13)–[124](#page-36-14)], microfiltration [[125\]](#page-37-0), fluorescenceactivated sorting [\[126](#page-37-1),[127](#page-37-2)] (especially for larger EVs including large apoptotic bodies [[128\]](#page-37-3) and large oncosomes [[129\]](#page-37-4)), deterministic lateral displacement (DLD) arrays [[130](#page-37-5)], novel immunoisolation or other affinity isolation technologies [[131](#page-37-6)–[138](#page-37-7)], including lipid affinity [[139\]](#page-37-8), novel precipitation/combination techniques [[140](#page-37-9)–[142](#page-37-10)], hydrostatic filtration dialysis [\[143\]](#page-37-11), highthroughput/high-pressure methods such as fast protein/high perfomance liquid chromatography (FPLC/ HPLC) that involve some form of chromatography [[144\]](#page-37-12) and a wide variety of microfluidics devices that harness one or more principles, including some of those mentioned above [\[145](#page-37-13)–[153](#page-38-0)]. Of course, combinations of methods will continue to be used and may outperform single-method approaches.

[Table 1](#page-16-0) summarizes the instructions given in MISEV2014 for EV isolation (left column), and their updates in MISEV2018 (right).

Consensus: 93% of MISEV2018 Survey respondents agreed with the original categorization of techniques by recovery and specificity in Section 3 and [Table 1a](#page-16-0); numerous amendments have been made in response to almost 90 comments. 98% agreed that reporting of all methods details should be mandated to allow reproducibility. 97% agreed with the statement of caution on proprietary kits.

EV characterization: how MISEV2014 evolves in 2018

EV characterization by multiple, complementary techniques is important to assess the results of separation methods and to establish the likelihood that biomarkers or functions are associated with EVs and not other coisolated materials. The need for guidelines for characterization was emphasized by a consortium study led by Hendrix and colleagues [\[161\]](#page-38-1). These authors found that only about half of EV-related articles published within a five-year time period included positive markers of EVs, and only a small minority complemented positive with negative markers to track co-isolated non-EV components. ISEV recommends that each preparation of EVs be 1) defined by quantitative measures of the source of EVs (e.g. number of secreting cells, volume of biofluid, mass of tissue); 2) characterized to the extent possible to determine abundance of EVs (total particle number and/or protein or lipid content); 3) tested for presence of components associated with EV subtypes or EVs generically, depending on the specificity one wishes to achieve; and 4) tested for the presence of nonvesicular, co-isolated components.

[Table 2](#page-17-0) summarizes the instructions given in MISEV2014 for EV characterization, and their updates in MISEV2018. These recommendations apply to EVs from all sources, including non-mammalian and noneukaryotic cells and organisms.

Quantification of EVs

Since quantifying EVs themselves remains difficult (see below), as minimal information, the total starting volume of biofluid, or, for conditioned medium, number of cells or mass of tissue at the time of collecting, should be indicated for each experimental use. If the

^aFor ultracentrifugation, the k factor can be determined from the rotor type, tube/adapter, and centrifuge speed: the k factor represents the relative pelleting efficiency of a given centrifuge rotor at maximum rotation speed; for runs with a rotational speed lower than the maximum rotor-speed, the k factor has to be adjusted: k_{adj} = k x (maximum rotor speed/actual rotor speed)²[; we recommend that all possible parameters be reported \[](#page-30-0)[157](#page-38-5),[201](#page-40-0)]. See also a web calculator based on a theoretical model of centrifugation and meant for conversion of protocol parameters between rotors [[158](#page-38-6)]. ^b

^bFor filtration techniques, one must take care to remove cells and other large membranous structures prior to ultrafiltration; otherwise, large structures may disintegrate and re-form as small vesicles after passing through the filter [\[159\]](#page-38-7). Reference numbers of all filters should be specified, as filter type has been found to influence recovery profoundly [\[102\]](#page-36-0).

SEC: the pore size of the matrix should be taken into account. For example, if the pore size excludes EVs > 70 nm in diameter, a population of vesicles may be excluded.

be excluded.
^dBoth size exclusion chromatography (SEC) and density gradients may co-isolate EVs and certain lipoproteins. Sequential techniques may be needed to achieve separation [[160,](#page-38-8)[213](#page-40-1)].

^eNote, however, continuing concerns about the specificity and effects on vesicles of certain precipitation techniques [\[103](#page-36-1)[,278\]](#page-43-0).

This Table does not address several important considerations that are beyond the scope of these guidelines, such as ease of use, cost, and potential for Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) production.

Table 2. Steps of EV characterization.

(should bind) or inner epitopes (should not bind) can be used to probe topology.

latter is not possible, for instance due to culture conditions (such as periodic collection in continuous bioreactor-based cultures [\[162](#page-38-9)]), number of cells at initiation of culture, expected doubling time, and frequency of collection must be indicated. For some biological fluids, like urine, the volume depends strongly on pre-analytical conditions (especially intake of liquid by the donor), thus additional means of normalization should be considered, such as urinary creatinine, as routinely done in the clinic for albumin [[163](#page-38-10)].

EVs have a particulate structure and contain proteins, lipids, nucleic acids, and other biomolecules. Quantification of each of these components can be used as a proxy for quantification of EVs, but none of these values is necessarily perfectly correlated with EV number.

Particle number can be measured by light scattering technologies, such as nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA); by standard flow cytometry for larger EVs [[164](#page-38-11)–[167](#page-38-12)] or high resolution flow cytometry for smaller EVs [\[127](#page-37-2),[168](#page-38-13)–[176](#page-39-0)]; by resistive pulse sensing (RPS) for a wide range of sizes, depending on pore size [[177\]](#page-39-1); by cryo-EM [[174\]](#page-39-2); by a platform combining surface plasmon resonance (SPR) with AFM [[178\]](#page-39-3); or by other techniques with similar capabilities. Accurate quantitation may be possible only within a certain concentration and size range that varies by platform; where possible, this range (or the minimum and maximum diameter measured) should be reported along with concentration. The method of volume determination in flow cytometry should be reported and potential swarming/coincidence artefacts controlled for [[179\]](#page-39-4); a more detailed guideline article on specifics of flow cytometry analysis of EVs is in preparation by members of ISEV, ISCT and ISAC. Some devices for particle quantification have the advantage of providing accurate sizing information amongst a complex mixture of particles (see [Table 2-](#page-17-0)c: single vesicle analysis). This is not the case for dynamic light scattering (DLS), which is accurate only for monodisperse particle populations [[180\]](#page-39-5). Particle counting by light scatter, RPS, and similar techniques typically results in overestimation of EV counts since the techniques are not specific to EVs and also register co-isolated particles including lipoproteins and protein aggregates. Possibly, ongoing development of fluorescence capabilities of NTA devices may ultimately allow EV-specific measurement [[181\]](#page-39-6), although assay sensitivity and the tendency of labeling antibodies and lipid dyes to form particles pose substantial hurdles to such applications [[127](#page-37-2)[,182](#page-39-7)]. Additionally, particle counting technologies may be biased towards certain particle size ranges (especially 50–150 nm [[183,](#page-39-8)[184](#page-39-9)]) because of pore sizes (RPS), size of calibrator used, sensitivity (for example, smaller particles scatter less light), and ability to cope with multidispersity (DLS versus NTA) [\[185](#page-39-10)]. Finally, proprietary software used for analysis of data from each device may apply unknown selection and other processing of data, resulting in differences in absolute values obtained by different software or different versions of the same software (see example in [\[183](#page-39-8)]).

Total protein amount can be measured by various colorimetric assays [Bradford or micro-bicinchonic acid (BCA)] or fluorimetric assays, or by global protein stain on SDS-PAGE. The EV sample concentration must be within the linear range of the reference curve. However, protein quantification can result in overestimation due to co-isolated protein contaminants (such as albumin from culture medium or plasma/serum), especially when the less specific methods of EV separation are used, or conversely can prove not sensitive enough if highly specific methods yield pure EVs. In addition, results may vary depending on the use or not of detergent to disrupt EVs and expose the entire protein content prior to performing the assay; nature and concentration of the detergent must be indicated.

Quantification of total lipids can be achieved, e.g. by sulfophosphovanilin assay [[186\]](#page-39-11), by measuring fluorescence of phospholipid dyes that fluoresce only when incorporated into lipid bilayers, such as DiR [\[187\]](#page-39-12), or by total reflection Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy [[188\]](#page-39-13). However, the latter requires specialized equipment, and the former two types of assays may be insufficiently sensitive for small amount of EVs. In addition, whether these techniques equally detect all EVs independent of their specific lipid composition must still be established.

Quantification of total RNA can be performed by global RNA assays including profiles obtained by capillary electrophoresis instruments (see recommendations in [Table 1](#page-16-0) of [\[56](#page-34-4)]). Such measurements are difficult to recommend at this time for EV quantification or purity assays, though, since exRNAs associate in abundance with other circulating and potentially co-separating entities: chiefly ribonucleoproteins [[189,](#page-39-14)[190](#page-39-15)], but also a range of particles including exomeres [[112\]](#page-36-5) and lipoproteins [[191\]](#page-39-16). RNA measurements remain, however, an important parameter to report in studies of extracellular RNA.

Quantification of specific molecules. Other methods of EV quantification, like ELISA [\[192](#page-39-17)] bead-based flow cytometry [[193](#page-39-18),[194\]](#page-39-19), aptamer- and carbon nanotubebased colorimetric assays [[195](#page-39-20)], and SPR on surfaces such as antibody-coated nanorods [\[178](#page-39-3)[,196](#page-39-21)[,197](#page-39-22)], can be used to quantify the amount of one or more specific molecules in the EV preparation. These are generally proteins (usually the tetraspanins CD9, CD63 and/or CD81, but sometimes tumor-specific proteins or other molecules such as lipids [[139\]](#page-37-8)) and can be used to estimate the amount of EVs containing this particular component, rather than total EVs. These methods provide additional information to the above methods and are in line with characterization recommended in part 4b (p.16).

Single and multiple measures and implications for purity. Quantification methods are the most informative for EVs recovered by separation methods with the highest expected specificity ([Table 1a-](#page-16-0)category 3), and for these preparations, one quantification method may suffice; in contrast, more than one quantification should be used for EVs recovered from low-specificity methods. Importantly, ratios of the different quantification methods may provide useful measures of purity. For example, protein:particle ratio [\[198](#page-40-2),[199\]](#page-40-3), protein: lipid ratio [\[186,](#page-39-11)[188](#page-39-13)[,200\]](#page-40-4) and RNA:particle [\[201](#page-40-0)] have been proposed as possible purity metrics, although their applicability across protein, lipid, RNA and particle quantification methods remains to be established. Techniques that measure multiple parameters at once, such as colloidal nanoplasmonic assays or infrared (IR) spectroscopy [\[188](#page-39-13),[199\]](#page-40-3) may be good optional methods, despite the need for specific sensors or other equipment.

Absolute EV sizing and counting methods are currently imperfect and will require further improvement, aided by appropriate EV reference standards that are now in development [\[202\]](#page-40-5). Nevertheless, current methods can provide a reasonable indication of particles per volume and particle size distributions that are best interpreted when combined with general [\(Table 2b\)](#page-17-0) and single-particle [\(Table 2c](#page-17-0)) characterization.

Characterization of EVs by their protein composition

Selection of proteins for use as EV markers. Since MISEV2014, the growing recognition of the existence of many different types of EVs, of different sizes and cellular origins, has led to publication of several studies comparing the protein composition of at least two subtypes of EVs isolated from the same secreting cells. Some studies compared EVs recovered by medium speed centrifugation (called large oncosomes [[203\]](#page-40-6), ectosomes [\[204\]](#page-40-7), microvesicles [\[205\]](#page-40-8), cell debris [\[206\]](#page-40-9), or large [[207](#page-40-10)] or medium [[208](#page-40-11)] EVs), with those recovered by 100,000 x g ultracentrifugation (called exosomes in the first four studies, small EVs in the last two), and several of these applied additional separation in density gradients. Another study used differential filtration to separate large microvesicles retained by 0.65 micron filters, and small "exosomes" passing through 0.1 micron filters [\[209](#page-40-12)]. Others further separated the high speed pellet to identify subpopulations of small EVs bearing different surface markers such as A33 antigen (GPA33) vs EPCAM [[19](#page-32-12)], lipid moieties binding Cholera Toxin, Annexin-V or Shiga Toxin [\[139\]](#page-37-8), or tetraspanins CD63, CD9, and/or CD81 [[208](#page-40-11)]. EVs were also separated by floating at different densities within a sucrose gradient (defined as high density "HDexo" vs low density "LD-exo") [\[210](#page-40-13)] or eluting at different time points in asymmetric flow field-flow fractionation (AF4) (small "exo-S" vs large "exo-L") [\[112](#page-36-5)]. These studies together provide a rich source of potential EV subtype-specific markers. However, since they were performed with different separation approaches and with different cellular sources of EVs, it is still not possible to propose specific and universal markers of one or the other type of EVs, let alone of MVB-derived "exosomes" as compared with other small EVs.

Consequently, MISEV2018 does not propose molecular markers that could characterize specifically each EV subtype. Of note, although the ISEV board tried in MISEV2014 to propose general rules applying to all EVs, some suggestions of MISEV2014 were still biased by an "exosome–oriented" view of EVs. Specifically, [Table 1](#page-16-0) of MISEV2014 listed, as primary components to analyze in EVs, 2 categories of proteins present or enriched in EVs/exosomes (membrane bound and cytosolic proteins), plus another global category of proteins « not expected in EVs/exosomes » (such as mitochondria, Golgi, or nuclear proteins), and a last category of « contaminants ». In this updated version, MISEV2018, reference to exosomes and the proteins expected or not in them (the previously called "negative controls" of "exosome" preparations) have been deleted, reflecting an evolving understanding of the subtypes of EVs and their associations with other entities. Incorporation of any given component of the cytoplasm or other cellular compartment into an EV is determined by 1) proximity to the budding membrane and size of the EV (passive loading) and 2) specific association with the membrane and any energy-dependent processes (active loading). There are of course interactions between these two domains. Leaving aside the question of active loading, the larger the EV, the more likely any randomly selected molecular or organellar entity in the cell is to be incorporated. Therefore, Golgi, endoplasmic reticulum, mitochondrial, or nuclear components may be excluded from small EVs (< 200 nm) that are presumably formed distant to these locations, or at least

strongly depleted relative to the cell (although we cannot exclude that particular components of such compartments may end up in small EVs, especially in pathologic conditions that could affect incorporation). However, such proteins may be present in larger EVs, and even more so in a very large EV, ultimately the large oncosome [[211](#page-40-14)], which may be as large as some cells and contain by definition any and all components except for a whole, functional nucleus. A single negative control for such large EVs may thus be elusive.

[Table 3](#page-21-0) highlights three categories of markers that must be analysed in all bulk EV preparations to demonstrate the presence of EVs (Categories 1 and 2) and assess their purity from common contaminants (Category 3), but no universal "negative controls" relevant to a particular subtype of EVs are suggested. The three main categories are:

- Category 1: Transmembrane or GPI-anchored proteins localized at the external membrane of prokaryotic cells, and plasma membrane and/ or endosomes of eukaryotic cells represent hallmarks of any type of EVs: their presence demonstrates the lipid-bilayer structure specific of EVs, whether they bud directly off the plasma membrane or after transit through the endosomal pathway;
- Category 2: Presence of cytosolic proteins (eukaryotic cells and Gram-positive bacteria) or periplasmic proteins (Gram-negative bacteria) demonstrates that the analysed preparation displays the structure of lipid bilayers enclosing intracellular material, as expected for any EV. Proteins presumably actively incorporated into EVs are those with ability to bind to membranes or to cytosolic sequences of transmembrane proteins. Others, like cytosolic enzymes or cytoskeletal proteins are more promiscuous EV components;
- Category 3: Some proteins are major constituents of non-EV structures often co-isolated with EVs. Evaluation of their presence helps to assess the degree of purity of the EV preparation. In biofluids like blood plasma, EVs have been reported to co-isolate with other particles, including lipoproteins [\[212\]](#page-40-15) and a variety of non-integral proteins, such as albumin or soluble acetylcholinesterase. We therefore propose apolipoproteins A1/2 and B (APOA1/2, APOB), and albumin (ALB) as the best negative markers to date [[213\]](#page-40-1) for

plasma/serum EVs, and EVs from cells cultured in the presence of bovine serum, or liver cells that secrete lipoproteins, although it cannot be excluded that a fraction of such markers may be specifically associated with some EVs [\[214](#page-40-16)]. As another example, in urine, Tamm-Horsfall protein (uromodulin/UMOD) forms aggregates that co-precipitate with EVs unless the fluid is chemically treated [\[215](#page-40-17)]. Overall, however, since we cannot propose a threshold of abundance of these proteins in EV preparations below which acceptable purity is reached, we stress that it may be more appropriate to assay and report depletion than to expect a binary presence/absence of proposed negative markers.

- Category 4: An additional category 4) of proteins should be evaluated if authors want to claim specificity of their study to the small EV subtype- (s): Proteins localized in/on intracellular compartments of eukaryotic secreting cells other than the plasma membrane and endosomes (i.e. components of the nucleus, mitochondria, endoplasmic reticulum, Golgi apparatus, autophagosomes, peroxisomes) are found in some types of EVs, but a priori not enriched in the smaller EVs (approximately < 200 nm diameter) of plasma membrane or endosomal origin.
- Category 5: Finally, category 5) covers secreted or luminal proteins that can associate with EVs by binding to specific (e.g. growth factor receptors) or to promiscuous (e.g. proteoglycan, lipid) receptors on the EV surface: their identification in EV preparations should be accompanied by exploration of the cognate EV-associated receptor(s).

Methods to assess presence of proteins in EV preparations. Several methods can be used to quantify proteins in or on EVs. Western blotting is the most commonly used, and it should be performed by loading side-by-side EV samples and source material lysates either in specified protein amount or in cellequivalent amounts to determine if the analyzed proteins are enriched in EVs as compared with their producing cells. This comparison, however, can be easily performed only for analysis of EVs from cell culture conditioned medium; it is more difficult for biofluids (in which EVs may originate from cells in the fluid, but also from cells delimiting the fluid canals, and thus are

Table 3. Protein content-based EV characterization. At least one protein of categories 1a or 1b, 2a (optionally 2b), 3a or 3b must be analysed to demonstrate the EV nature and the

Argonaute proteins, chiefly AGO2, the most abundant family member in mammals, have been previously proposed as negative markers of EVs. In blood plasma and perhaps other fluids, most extracellular AGO is indeed found outside of EVs [\[189](#page-39-14)[,190](#page-39-15)[,216](#page-40-22)]. However, the canonical view of biogenesis of microRNAs (miRNAs) and their subsequent protection from degradation depends on AGO proteins. According to this reasoning, if a mature miRNA is detected, whether in cells, in EVs, or elsewhere, it is most likely protected by AGO (although other associations have been reported [\[56](#page-34-4)]). Limits of detection of proteins versus amplified nucleic acids may have contributed to the interpretation that miRNAs are present in EVs without AGO protein. It is in any case now established that AGO can be found in mammalian [\[217](#page-40-23),[218\]](#page-40-24) and non-mammalian [[219\]](#page-40-25) EVs, not just outside EVs.

considering negative markers.

Text Box 2. Example of a possible change from MISEV2018 in the future as markers of non-EV co-isolated components.

The Lyden group recently reported that lipidic structures called "exomeres" contain lipids and a limited set of membrane-bound proteins, but do not feature a lipid bilayer and thus do not qualify as EVs. Exomeres were found to co-isolate with small EVs recovered from many cultured cell lines [\[112](#page-36-5)] but could be separated from EVs by AF4 (which unfortunately is not implementable in some laboratories). It may be necessary to evaluate this potential contaminant in all EV preparations. Proteomic analyses of exomeres identified various proteins in [Table 3](#page-21-0)-category 2, above: heat shock proteins (HSPA8, HSPA1A, HSP90AB1), actin (ACT*), tubulin (TUB*), and GAPDH. Such proteins thus probably do not qualify as EV-specific components. In addition, a few proteins were identified exclusively in exomeres: hemoglobin (HBA1/A2), IDH1, MAT1A, transmembrane FAT4 (protocadherin, transmembrane plasma membrane), and EXT1/2 (exostosin, transmembrane, Golgi). Although this exhaustive study was performed with several cell lines, suggesting that the listed proteins are good candidates as specific markers of exomeres co-isolated with EVs, these results still have to be confirmed by other groups to reach validation and inclusion in the next update of the MISEV guidelines.

difficult to attribute in bulk to any given cell type). Flow cytometry of EVs decorating beads or of bulk EV populations (i.e. not designed to analyse single EVs) can be used, but with care to use appropriate negative controls (antibodies alone, isotype controls, etc) [\[48](#page-33-15),[220\]](#page-40-18). Numerous multiplexing approaches have been developed to analyse simultaneously the presence of a pre-designated set of surface protein markers on EVs. For instance, one platform uses flow cytometry after capture on an array of 37 beads, each bearing a specific antibody [\[100,](#page-35-11)[194](#page-39-19)]. Other approaches use fluorescence scanning [\[221\]](#page-40-19) or surface plasmon resonance [[222](#page-40-20)[,223](#page-40-21)] to quantify EV binding to a surface coated with antibodies to different antigens. These methods are population-level, not single-EV techniques, since the final result is an arbitrary unit of signal for a given protein marker in the global EV population. Finally, mass spectrometry has become increasingly economical and accessible for many

laboratories, allowing fingerprint-type assessment of many proteins at once. For an exhaustive review on the currently available methods of EV analysis, see [\[224\]](#page-41-0). Undoubtedly, new techniques and devices will become available, including commercially, in the near term. Besides the potential of such devices for diagnostic purposes based on amount and type of secreted EVs, one of the challenges associated with the use of such devices is whether they might allow sufficient quantities of specific EVs to be purified so that cargo (RNAs, proteins) content can be analysed on a profiling level.

Non-protein components as markers of EVs. Although proteins are emphasized in the literature and here, phospholipids present in lipid bilayers are also potential positive controls for the presence of EVs [\[225](#page-41-1)[,226\]](#page-41-2), albeit non-specific as other particles (lipoproteins) may also contain these. As an example, albeit one that may not be exposed on all EVs, outer leaflet phosphatidylserine (PS) can be evidenced indirectly by binding of fluorescently-labeled PSbinding proteins, such as Annexin V [\[139,](#page-37-8)[167\]](#page-38-12) or the C1C2 domain of lactadherin/MFGE8 [[186](#page-39-11),[227](#page-41-3)]. Glycosphingolipids are similarly evidenced by binding of GM1 ganglioside [\[139,](#page-37-8)[228\]](#page-41-4). Other lipids including cholesterol, sphingomyelin, ceramide, and phosphatidyl-choline/ethanolamine/inositol can be detected by a variety of methods [\[225\]](#page-41-1) including Raman spectroscopy, which was recently used to analyze lipids in dried EVs [[229\]](#page-41-5). However, in which ratio cholesterol, sphingomyelin, ceramide, and phosphatidyl-choline /ethanolamine/inositol are in EVs, and how this differs from ratios found in lipoproteins is not yet established: additional comparative lipidomic studies of separated EVs and liproprotein subtypes may be informative.

Alternatively, dyes that are activated by intracellular components can be used to label EVs. Calcein and CFSE are examples of cell permeant, non-fluorescent pro-dyes that are cleaved by intracytoplasmic enzymes, resulting in an impermeant fluorescent molecule; labelling therefore theoretically differentiates intact EVs from linearized membrane fragments, provided that the required enzymes are present in EVs [[230,](#page-41-6)[231](#page-41-7)]. Other tools, like a recently reported protein- and lipidbinding dye, di-8-ANEPPS [[231](#page-41-7)], may be worth evaluating for sensitivity and specificity. Additionally, appropriate negative controls are necessary in all studies, such as dye only and dye plus EV-depleted matrix.

Concerning nucleic acids, both DNA and RNA have been detected in EVs. RNA in EV preparations can be detected by dyes [\[232\]](#page-41-8), although some dyes may also detect non-EV-associated RNA. It is possible that some nucleic acid species could serve as negative or positive markers of some EVs. For example, strictly nuclear RNAs might be identified as negative markers in the future, while RNA associated only with cytoplasmic complexes (e.g. ribosomal RNAs or mitochondrial DNA) [\[233,](#page-41-9)[234\]](#page-41-10) may be more likely to be present in certain EVs. However, several nuclear RNAs have been found in EVs, and a variety of data have been presented on specific versus non-specific incorporation of RNAs into EVs or subtypes of EVs [\[56](#page-34-4),[217](#page-40-23),[235](#page-41-11)–[241](#page-41-12)]. More research is thus needed before specific recommendations can be made for using nucleic acids as specific markers of EVs or EV subtypes.

Single vesicle analysis

Quantification and global protein composition apply to bulk EV preparations. However, it is important to provide some information on the individual EVs present in such bulk preparations. Two different approaches provide different types of information:

i. Techniques allowing visualization of single EVs at high resolution may provide information on both the structure and the composition of EVs, when combined with antibody-mediated detection of EV components. However, they may be difficult to exploit in a quantitative manner, with analysis of sufficient number of EVs to reach statistical power.

EVs with diameter larger than the diffraction limit of light $($ \sim 200 nm) can potentially be visualized as single EVs by regular fluorescence microscopy and regular flow cytometry. For EVs smaller than this limit, confocal microscopy can detect fluorescent dots, but these dots can correspond either to very bright vesicles with diameter smaller than 200 nm [\[242](#page-41-13)], or to clusters of small dim vesicles, without the possibility to discriminate between the two [[243\]](#page-41-14).

All EVs can be analyzed by: electron microscopy or by other imaging techniques: SEM [\[244](#page-41-15)], TEM by contrasting and embedding in a mixture of uranyl compounds and methylcellulose to maintain the bilayered morphology, cryo-EM [\[174,](#page-39-2)[245](#page-41-16)[,246\]](#page-41-17); scanning-probe microscopy (SPM) including atomic force microscopy (AFM) [\[247\]](#page-41-18); and super-resolution microscopy [\[248,](#page-42-0)[249](#page-42-1)]. Note that these various techniques are not necessarily interchangeable or capable of providing images of comparable quality. For example, cryo-EM clearly shows the lipid-bilayer, preserves EV size better than the dehydrating conditions used to fix samples for TEM, and may be more quantitative, as all particles in a given volume can be imaged, not just those that adhere to a surface (the grid).

ii. Single particle analysis techniques that do not provide high-resolution images but calculate biophysical parameters of single EVs can be used to quantify a large number of EVs with a higher statistical power than many single-EV techniques. For instance, size can be inferred from particle displacement pattern by nanoparticle tracking analysis [\[184](#page-39-9)[,185](#page-39-10),[250](#page-42-2)]; light scattering and/or fluorescence detection in high resolution flow cytometry [\[251](#page-42-3)–[255](#page-42-4)]; multi-angle light scattering combined with asymmetric flow field-flow fractionation (AF4-MALS) [\[256](#page-42-5)]; displacement of an electrical field in tunable resistive pulse sensing-based devices; or fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS) [\[257](#page-42-6)–[259](#page-42-7)]. Chemical composition can be evaluated by Raman tweezers microscopy [\[251](#page-42-3)–[253](#page-42-8)].

Other recently developed technologies aim to combine the advantages of imaging with analysis of large numbers of events. They are, however, less commonly used in the EV field and require further validation in multiple laboratories. For instance, an imaging flow cytometer that captures images of single cells going through a fluidic channel can be used, with a carefully designed set of controls and settings, to image EVs of all sizes after labeling with fluorescent lipids, proteins, or antibodies [[260](#page-42-9)[,261](#page-42-10)]. Another recently designed device involves capture of biotinylated EVs on a streptavidin surface, followed by successive rounds of staining with fluorescent antibodies, imaging, and quenching followed by additional rounds of labeling [[262\]](#page-42-11). Another example uses singleparticle interferometric reflectance imaging sensing of EVs captured on antibody-coated chips [[263](#page-42-12),[264\]](#page-42-13), which can be accompanied by fluorescence measurements.

Whatever technique is used, all experimental details must be reported. These include the brand and version of the instrument and the software, the settings used for acquisition (diluent buffer, camera, flow rate, threshold…) and, for analysis, the precise process for EM or fluorescence microscopy and how the imaged areas were selected, as well as controls and calibration

information where relevant. For flow cytometry, an ISTH working group has recently issued recommendations [\[265](#page-42-14)]. Given the wide range of techniques and platforms available, many of which remain under development for EV applications, MISEV2018 cannot yet give precise protocol recommendations.

New recommendation: determine the topology of EV-associated components

Importantly, the luminal versus surface topology of various EV-associated components, including nucleic acids, proteins, glycans, etc, is not entirely strictly determined. Theoretically, components localized in the cytosol of EVsecreting cells should be inside EVs, and hence protected from mild degradation by proteases or nucleases. While this protection is usually observed, some studies have unexpectedly found proteins [\[266\]](#page-42-15), RNAs [\[267](#page-42-16)], and DNA [[41](#page-33-8)] on the EV surface and sensitive to digestion. It is not yet clear whether this unexpected topology is due to debris from dead or dying cells, or is instead the outcome of as-yet unknown mechanisms of transport of intracellular compartments across membranes that could occur in some physio- or pathological conditions. Certainly, even a small degree of contamination with intracellular material (with the reverse topology to EVs) would complicate interpretation.

Topology may also be important for function. A luminal active component would require membrane fusion or two membrane transport events to achieve function in a recipient cell, whereas if it is exposed at the surface of the EV, it may affect target cells without EV-cell fusion. As a result, we advise that the actual topology of putative active components be determined by performing mild digestions, permeabilizations, or antibody studies. To give an example, one might adopt and adapt methods developed to assess protein insertion within the endoplasmic reticulum [[268\]](#page-42-17). Various protocols have also already been published in the EV community [[56](#page-34-4),[217](#page-40-23)[,242](#page-41-13)[,266](#page-42-16)[,269](#page-42-18)[,270\]](#page-42-19).

Example of a biochemical approach for assessing surface exposure of EV components (see for instance use in [\[266](#page-42-15),[270\]](#page-42-19)):

for a given preparation of EVs, prepare four samples:

- (1) aliquot 1 is untreated;
- (2) aliquot 2 is treated with a degrading enzyme alone, which should degrade only surfaceexposed components (e.g. proteins);
- (3) aliquot 3 is treated with enzyme and detergent (such as Triton X-100), which will ensure degradation of both surface and internal components (to verify that enzymatic treatment worked).

Note that detergents may also enhance enzymatic digestion of certain molecules independently of permeabilization of membranes; an alternative to detergent is saponin, which permeabilizes membranes.)

(4) aliquot 4 is treated with detergent alone, to make sure that detergent does not affect the downstream analysis.

For each sample, the cargo of interest is then analyzed (after careful neutralization of the enzyme) by appropriate method (SDS-PAGE, RT-PCR, PCR…). Extinction of the signal within the enzymetreated, detergent-untreated aliquot indicates surface exposure of the cargo.

For RNA and DNA analysis, RNAse or DNAse must be used together with proteinase to allow access of nucleases to protein-shielded nucleic acids.

Alternatively, the topology may be determined using flow cytometry and fluorescence microscopy with antibodies directed towards either external or cytoplasmic epitopes on EV membranes. Single vesicle characterization by EM or AFM coupled with immunolabeling could not only provide validation of surface-accessible targets or internal targets (with permeabilization), but also aid in differentiating differences in topology among EVs of different sizes [\[247\]](#page-41-18).

Consensus: 97% of MISEV2018 Survey respondents endorsed the structure of the characterization section. The original version of [Table 3](#page-21-0) included more proposed markers of EV subtypes. However, although the responses ranged from 69% to 93% agreement on discreet categories of markers, some concern was communicated about the universality of subtype-specific markers based on the existing evidence. As a result, the Table was thoroughly revised to focus on classes of markers that can be applied to all EVs, not just those from certain cell types or organisms. These revisions further establish the applicability of MISEV2018 to all EVs and EV sources.

An original section on negative controls received 79% agreement and 56 comments; as a result, the approach to negative controls was substantially amended.

95% of respondents agreed that the current focus on protein markers is justified; however, lipids are now included. Although 13 respondents suggested that RNA markers could be used as generic or subtype EV markers, and several mentioned post-translational modifications, these comments were supported with limited or (usually) no references. Based on the limited endorsement of RNA markers and ongoing uncertainty about enrichment of RNAs in EVs, no specific recommendations are made by MISEV2018. Instead, further research is expected and encouraged.

92% agreed with the topology recommendation (4c).

Functional studies: how MISEV2014 evolves in 2018

[Table 4](#page-25-0) summarizes the previous and updated recommendations on functional analysis of EVs. More detailed justification for these recommendations and proposed protocols follows the Table. The goals of these recommendations are to avoid over-interpretations or classical artefacts when analyzing functions of EVs. It is important to consider several issues when attributing a functional activity to EVs in general, or an EV subtype in particular. We describe here the controls and processes that should be included in all functional studies, unless limited amounts make it impossible to perform them. For clinical applications, for instance, after a first step of pre-clinical validation following these recommendations, systematic analysis may not be possible (see previous Position Paper on clinical applications) [\[95\]](#page-35-12).

Determine the specific versus common functions of different types of EVs

An important point to keep in mind is that, when analyzing exclusively the function of a single type of EV (for instance either small EVs or large EVs that have been called ectosomes, microvesicles or microparticles in different studies), one may miss the most active EV subtype for the particular function studied. Even if a function is found in the concentrated small EV preparation, it could also be present, and even possibly more concentrated, in other EV subtypes that had been eliminated during the small EV isolation process: keeping large EVs (e.g. "microvesicles") and comparing their activity to that of small EVs should be

Text Box 3.

As an aside, although we do not go into great detail on this point, many functional studies presume or investigate EV uptake. Timecourses and environmental determinants of EV uptake have been studied for some time [\[272](#page-42-20)-[274\]](#page-43-1), but challenges exist [\[275](#page-43-2)]. Detection within the cell of signal from an EV-labeling dye or other entity does not necessarily mean that the EV or its cargo has been internalized. Some labeling substances are very long-lived, can exist separate from the presumably labeled entity, and can form EV-mimicking particles that are difficult to separate from EVs. Another potential artifact is that labeling EVs with lipophilic or surface-coating fluorophores may modify physicochemical characteristics of EVs, thus altering detection mode and/or uptake by target cells. Although we cannot yet make firm recommendations, we urge researchers to be aware of these issues and to consider that each specific EV-donor/EV-recipient pair may behave in a different manner.

a first step in all functional studies. In addition, when a function found in EVs may be due to soluble molecules that may or may not associate specifically with EVs, one must consider the possibility that the EVassociated function is only a minor fraction of the non-EV-bound soluble protein. Comparing quantitatively the effects of EV fraction(s), EV-depleted fraction(s), and also the unfractionated initial fluid, will identify the relative contributions of each to total activity.

Ideally, all functional studies of EVs recovered from any source (biofluid, conditioned medium…) could start by a crude separation of broad categories of EVs (e.g. large versus small EVs versus EV-depleted fraction, separated by successive centrifugation, filtration, or chromatography). Importantly, to ascribe function to different categories of EVs, each fraction should be retained for side-by-side activity analysis. However, authors who wish to analyse the function of only one subtype of EVs can justify this choice by presenting

practical or theoretical reasons for selecting specifically this subtype for the particular experimental purpose, and further proceed with functional analyses.

Before performing functional assays, it is advisable to determine whether EVs are detected in the different fractions, in terms of total proteins, or number of particles, or ratio of total nucleic acids (RNA, DNA), or total lipids to particles (i.e. substance x-to-particle ratios): if none of these EV-associated components are detectable, the "empty" fractions may be discarded for further functional tests, once a preliminary experiment has shown lack of activity. However, any change of conditions in generation of the biofluid from which EVs are isolated (i.e. different culture conditions or treatment for the cells, different types of patients for biofluids) should be followed by re-analysis of all EV categories.

Example of an approach to compare activity of broad subcategories of EVs after separation by differential centrifugation (see example of use in [\[220](#page-40-18)])

From a given volume of conditioned medium:

several low speed centrifugations, transferring supernatant into a new tube each time. The pellet contains cells.

supernatant: 1x centrifugation at medium speed, to collect large EVs (if mostly live cells), large apoptotic bodies, etc., with the pellet largely devoid of cells

supernatant: 1x centrifugation at intermediate speed to collect a pellet enriched in medium size EVs and/or aggregates of small EVs (to be checked by EM)

supernatant: 1x centrifugation at high speed to collect a pellet enriched in small EVs.

Each pellet is resuspended once in buffer/medium and re-centrifuged at the same speed (= washed) before resuspension in a given volume of buffer/medium.

How to normalize amount of EVs used for comparative functional studies

The most appropriate normalization strategy to compare quantitatively the functions of different EVs will depend on the scientific question. One can choose to normalize by either characteristics of the isolated EVs, or by the source material, or by co-isolated standards. Characteristics of isolated EVs would include particle counts, total amount of a biomolecule type in the EV sample (e.g. proteins, nucleic acids, or lipids), and content or activity of specific EV-associated molecules. Source characteristics include the amount of matrix from which the EVs were obtained (initial volume of biofluid, initial mass of tissue, initial number of secreting cells, time of conditioning per cell, etc). Co-isolated standards would be traceable materials added into the matrix prior to separation [\[202\]](#page-40-5). Multiple normalization strategies can be pursued [\[120](#page-36-15)], and, as emphasized elsewhere, dose-response studies are recommended regardless of normalization method. Unfortunately, there is no clear recommendation that can be made at this point on which normalization strategy is best. Instead, the choice of normalization must be reported and justified, and relevant details of alternative strategies should be provided. For example, when studying EVs obtained from some biofluids, e.g. blood derivatives, normalization by volume may be appropriate. For other fluids, such as lavage fluids and urine, initial volume is not easily compared between donors, so another strategy might be more appropriate. As another example, for in vitro studies, normalizing by levels of an EV component (proteins, lipids, RNA), or by particle number may be appropriate, but the rationale should be provided, and information on the number of secreting cells should also be recorded and reported.

The ISEV survey comments on this section evidenced broad disagreement about normalization strategy, particularly on the relative merits of protein, nucleic acid, and lipid quantitation. Additional studies of normalization strategy should thus be encouraged.

Demonstrate that the activity is observed in the absence of direct cell-cell contact

Theoretically, an EV-associated function, like a soluble cytokine-dependent function, should be observed between two cells that are not in direct contact with each other. Therefore, it should be obtained when the EV-donor and an EV-recipient cell are cultured in vitro at a distance, through transwell co-culture systems or more sophisticated microfluidics-based culture devices, or by incubating the recipient cells with medium conditioned by the donor cells.

However, the physiological relevance of optimal conditions of these co-cultures, in terms of respective numbers of EV-donor and – recipient cells, cannot be strictly determined. If such assays yield positive results, they prove that transfer of a signal occurs in a cell contact-independent manner, in which case the next necessary step (next section p24), is to distinguish EVs from soluble components. Lack of positive results at this step would suggest that cell-cell-contact is necessary for the exchange of signal, but it could still occur by exchange of membrane–enclosed signals by transfer of plasma membrane-derived vesicles (like trogocytosis), or localized release of multivesicular body-derived vesicles at the cell-cell-contact (e.g. an immune synapse). Thus, a negative result argues against signaling at a distance but does not conclusively disprove local involvement of EVs.

Demonstrate that the activity is predominantly associated with EVs rather than with soluble mediators

Typically, an EV-associated activity is explored by 1) separation and concentration of EVs from a biofluid or cell culture media, 2) application of EVs to a recipient cell or organism, and 3) observation of a readout phenotype. However, to convincingly argue that a detected readout/function is EV-borne, it must be determined that the activity is specifically enriched in EVs (possibly with non-EV components), and not instead due to low amounts of a highly active soluble molecule remaining non-specifically in the EV preparation. This point is particularly important when the proposed or suspected active molecule on EVs is a cytokine/growth factor/ metabolite usually described as secreted in a soluble form. For this step, one must compare quantitatively the activity present in/on the EVs versus in the remaining EV-depleted biofluid, using the same amounts of materials in terms of initial volume of biofluid. When evaluating the relative importance of EVs and soluble mediators, it may be worth remembering that EVs and soluble mediators may have combinatorial (e.g. synergistic) effects on cells [[275](#page-43-2)[,276\]](#page-43-3).

Example of an approach to determine the respective contribution of EV-bound and soluble non-EVassociated factors (see example of use in [[200\]](#page-40-4)):

from a given volume of biofluid from which cells have been completely eliminated

split supernatant into 2 equal parts;

keep one at 4°C while processing the other with the preferred protocol allowing extensive concentration of EVs, with separation from non-EV components, but without a need to separate EV subtypes (see [Table 1](#page-16-0)).

Make sure to recover the biofluid from which EVs have been separated.

Compare activity of the total biofluid, the EVcontaining pellet and the EV-depleted biofluid, using material coming from the same initial volume of biofluid.

If the unprocessed supernatant must be concentrated to display activity, the supernatant after centrifugation must be concentrated in the same way, and the pellet can be resuspended in the same volume of concentrated fresh medium as concentrated supernatant, before performing the functional assay.

Demonstrate the specific association of the activity with EVs rather than with co-isolated components

Especially when dealing with concentrated preparations enriched in small EVs, one must keep in mind that such preparations potentially contain non-EV

components (ribonucleoprotein aggregates, lipoproteins, exomeres, etc.). The proportion of such coisolated components differs tremendously with the type of protocol used to separate EVs, with some (like polymer-based concentration) displaying particularly abundant contaminants, and also remnants of the precipitating agent that can affect cell function [[277](#page-43-4)[,278](#page-43-0)]. In the case of cells infected experimentally or unintentionally (e.g. mycoplasma) with microbes, functional microbial factors may also be co-isolated with EVs. Therefore, the functional activity of an EV preparation may be borne by EVs, or by the additional components, or by a combination of both. One must determine which of these three possibilities is the case. If small amounts of working materials do not make it possible to perform these additional investigations, the authors can explain this situation and interpret their data as activity present in EV-enriched preparations, rather than EV-specific activity.

Examples of protocols to demonstrate specific association of the activity with EVs or a given EV subtype:

From a concentrated EV preparation obtained by (ultra)centrifugation, or by centrifugal concentrator:

Option 1) separate contaminants by upward flotation into a density gradient, where only lipid-enclosed structures float upward in the tube: analyze separately activity of each fraction of the gradient in the functional assay; note that density gradient medium may have to be removed, because it can interfere with some functional assays. Alternatively, a control should be performed in which the chemical used for the density gradient is mixed with unseparated EVs.

Option 2) separate soluble contaminants from EVs by SEC column, where EVs elute in the first fractions, whereas proteins and ribonucleoproteins and some lipoproteins are eluted later: analyze separately activity of each fraction (or of pools of fractions containing EVs, intermediate, or free soluble components) in the functional assay;

Option 3) compare activity of intact EVs with that of detergent-treated samples [\[279\]](#page-43-5). Detergent will destroy vesicular structures, without affecting other non-lipidic particles. Of course, this technique also has limitations: 1. the effect of the same amount of detergent alone in the functional assay should be tested (it could affect target cells); 2. there could be a few contaminants that are sensitive to detergents as well (liposomes and some proteins).

Option 4) immuno-isolate from half of the biofluid all EVs bearing a surface marker of interest (for instance an integrin or a tetraspanin used to characterize the EVs, but not expected to be required for the observed activity), and use the specific EVdepleted supernatant in the functional assay, side-byside with the other half of unprocessed biofluid, to determine if activity has been depleted (hence was associated with the EVs of interest) or not. Using the immuno-isolated EVs in the functional assay may complicate interpretation, since the immunoprecipitate will contain antibodies and beads used for isolation that may profoundly affect interaction of EVs with the target cells. Elimination of protein-bearing EVs by immunoisolation must be demonstrated by showing the amount of EV-associated protein in biofluid before (all) and after immuno-isolation (none), and in the immuno-isolated sample (all).

Other options may arise from ongoing evolutions of the field. See section "EV separation and concentration" p11. As exemplified by AF4 [[112](#page-36-5)[,114](#page-36-6)], combinations of ultrafiltration and SEC [\[280\]](#page-43-6), and tangential flow filtration combined with other filtration steps [[106\]](#page-36-16), novel EV separation processes are constantly being developed and published.

Determine whether a function is specific to exosomes, as compared with other small EVs

As highlighted here, it is now clear that different types of EVs can present functional activities that are as important to explore as those elicited by late endosome-derived exosomes. However, in the last decade, many studies have focused exclusively on demonstrating association of a given function with exosomes. This section explains the technical limitations of such studies, and why they are not sufficient to conclude, as is generally done, that exosomes have specific functions compared with other EVs.

In particular, numerous approaches have been taken to inhibit or stimulate exosome secretion in loss- or gain-of-function experiments. For example, in mammalian cells, exosome secretion has been decreased (100% inhibition is almost never achieved) by inhibiting neutral sphingomyelinases and ceramide generation (by shRNA, genetic editing, or drugs such as GW4869, spiroepoxide, cambinol and others) [\[281](#page-43-7)– [284](#page-43-8)]; inducing ISGylation, which promotes lysosomal degradation of MVB proteins [[285](#page-43-8)]; blocking Rab GTPases (by expressing dominant-negative mutants or silencing or knocking out Rab27, Rab11, Rab35, or others) [[286](#page-43-9)–[288](#page-43-10)], other small GTPases (RAL-1 [[289](#page-43-11)]), SNARE proteins (YKT6 [\[290](#page-43-12)]), upstream regulators of protein sorting into MVBs (like SRC [[291\]](#page-43-13)), or cytoskeletal proteins (cortactin [\[292](#page-43-14)], microtubules [[293](#page-43-15)]); or using other drugs (e.g. the sodium channel blocker amiloride [[294\]](#page-43-16)). Conversely, ionophores such as ionomycin (calcium signaling) or monensin (sodium transport) [\[295](#page-43-17),[296\]](#page-43-18), or drugs inhibiting endosomal acidification and/or autophagic degradation (bafilomycin A1 [\[297,](#page-43-19)[298](#page-44-0)]) have been used to stimulate exosome secretion. Of note, drugs inhibiting EV uptake (e.g. heparin [\[299](#page-44-1)]) could lead to enhanced recovery of EVs, which could be misinterpreted as an increase of exosome release.

A few studies have also proposed ways of modulating secretion of plasma membrane-derived EVs in mammals: the ARRDC1 protein uses the ESCRT machinery to induce budding of small EVs at the PM, and its blocking or depletion inhibits secretion of such EVs [[300](#page-44-2)[,301](#page-44-3)]. Over-activation of ARF6 has been shown to increase release of PM-derived large EVs [\[302\]](#page-44-4). Modulation of cytoskeletal remodelers was reported to affect PM-derived EV release [[303](#page-44-5)–[305](#page-44-6)], and depletion of DIAPH3 increased large oncosome release [\[306](#page-44-7)]. In prokaryotes, recent insights into the mechanisms of outer membrane vesicle formation [\[307\]](#page-44-8) could suggest other molecules to test in eukaryotic cells.

These cell treatment approaches have great potential and deserve more development; however, it is important to recognize several caveats.

- (1) Small EV–containing fractions potentially contain EVs originating from late endosomes ("exosomes") and others originating from the cell surface (plasma membrane), with both classes sharing common molecular players, including the ESCRT components TSG101, VPS4, and/or Alix [\[308](#page-44-9)–[310\]](#page-44-10). Therefore consequences of decreasing or increasing global secretion of heterogeneous populations of small EVs should not be interpreted in terms of functional effects of exosomes, but rather of small EVs in general.
- (2) Tools described until now to block or enhance exosome secretion have not been well evaluated for their possible effect on secretion of other EVs. For instance, ionophores, such as ionomycin, are also potent inducers of large EV and microparticle secretion [\[207](#page-40-10),[311\]](#page-44-11). Conversely, in one study, inhibition of neutral sphingomyelinases was shown to enhance secretion of larger plasma membrane-derived EVs while decreasing that of small EVs [[312](#page-44-12)]. Another example is monensin, often used to stimulate EV secretion, being an inhibitor of apoptotic body formation [[167\]](#page-38-12). Therefore, it is likely that putative exosome modulators will have different consequences in different cells and under different conditions, and it is important to carefully

quantify the toxicity of each treatment in each experimental system, to exclude artefactual effects on EV recovery due to increased cell death.

(3) Some EV release modulators affect other major intracellular pathways that might indirectly affect EV secretion and modify cell functions in general (like general intracellular trafficking, secretory, or autophagy pathways). Consequently, not only EV amount, but also EV composition may be changed, together with changes in protein expression and physiology of the secreting cells. As an example, Rab27a inhibition also decreased secretion of some non-EV-bound soluble factors [\[313](#page-44-13),[314\]](#page-44-14). Another caveat to consider is that disrupting the secretion of one EV type may disrupt the production of other EV types, such that the functional EV type may be masked by the over-production of an antagonistic one, leading to an erroneous conclusion that the disrupted EV type is the functional EV. Therefore, demonstrating that only late endosome-derived exosomes bear an analyzed function remains challenging. Some previous studies managed to rescue an observed effect by re-introducing purified exosomes (or rather small EV pellets) into the functional in vitro or in vivo assays [\[292](#page-43-14),[313](#page-44-13)[,314](#page-44-14)]. This approach is indeed recommended, with careful interpretation taking into account the degree of rescue and the required amount of EVs.

Until we achieve unambiguous identification of specific, unique biogenesis machineries affecting only a given subtype of EVs, we are left with trying to isolate EV subtypes after they have left the cell. For example, if multi-tetraspanin-bearing EVs are true exosomes in a particular cell system, an EV preparation could be depleted of such EVs and the activity quantified in comparison with that of an irrelevant IgG- or mockdepleted population.

How to attribute particular effects mediated by EVs to specific EV components

Many publications include knock-out or knock-down of a certain bioactive protein or RNA in the EV donor cell, after which the effects of the modified EV on target cells are compared with the effects of nonmodified EVs. If the native effect of EVs is lost, the authors conclude that EV activity was due to the specifically targeted protein or RNA. However, such a conclusion may or may not be valid in the absence of an extensive characterization of EVs released by the cells depleted for the targeted molecule. Indeed, deletion of the protein/RNA of interest may also lead to major alterations of the secreting cell, resulting in additional changes to the quantity or molecular contents of EVs, which could also explain the changes in EVinduced effects on target cells. While a complete omics analyses of the modified EV population may be beyond the scope of many studies, there should be an awareness that other EV components may have changed as well. At a minimum, a small-scale analysis of EV number or common EV-associated proteins in the modified and WT conditions must be performed. Finally, Direct EVs engineering (e.g. to deplete the particular putative active molecule) may overcome the issue of alterations in the secreting cells. However, possible loss/alteration of EV cargo due to EV manipulation may also occur.

Consider whether an EV-dependent function is specific to a given EV source

Finally, in all cases, one must be careful in claiming a specific function of EVs from a particular source: it is one thing to claim that the EV fraction from Cell X is potent (versus other fractions), another to claim that Cell X EVs are potent versus those from other cells. For example, do my mesenchymal stromal cell (MSC) EVs do something special, or do milk EVs, urine EVs, cancer cell EVs do the same? Of course, it will not be possible to compare EVs from all different sources, thus the final message must reflect this uncertainty.

Consensus: This functional section had broad support (> 94% average for each subsection) from MISEV2018 survey respondents and has been revised only minimally. The components of [Table 4](#page-25-0), on EV-associated and -excluded activities, received an average 96% endorsement. One of the least supported components of the functional section (90% agreement) was the original normalization recommendation (which are found in the function section but can be applied to characterization and other sections as well). This part was substantially revised based on the submitted comments.

General considerations

Reporting

ISEV endorses the EV-TRACK knowledgebase as a facilitating and updatable tool for comprehensive reporting of EV experimental studies [\[161](#page-38-1)]. EV-TRACK invites submission of details on EV isolation and characterization via a multi-step online template, then associates each with a particular study or publication and also returns an "EV-METRIC" as an aggregate

measure of the level of detail provided. ISEV strongly encourages all authors to submit their experimental protocols on EV isolation and characterization to the EV-TRACK website (evtrack.org), and to consider applying additional steps if they or reviewers/editors feel that the calculated metric is low. The important consideration is not obtaining a particular metric, which after all may vary widely between basic and clinical studies; instead, the level of detail required for approved entries in EV-TRACK ensures that the transparency and reproducibility of procedures can be assessed. Furthermore, the knowledgebase can be revised and expanded as technologies and techniques develop, with input and assistance from the community.[1](#page-30-1) Authors are also urged to submit EV profiling data to public databases such as those curated and maintained by the European Bioinformatics Institute, the US National Center for Biotechnology Information, and the Japanese Center for Information Biology. In addition, but not as a substitute, data may be submitted to field-specific databases such as EVpedia [\[315](#page-44-15),[316\]](#page-44-16), Vesiclepedia [\[317](#page-44-17)] (formerly ExoCarta [[318\]](#page-44-18)), and the exRNA Atlas [\[319](#page-44-19)]. 2

Notes

- 1. EV-TRACK submission and EV-METRIC calculation may assist with but do not replace appropriate peer review. Interestingly, respondents to the MISEV2018 Survey were split between advocating mandatory EV-TRACK submission and reporting and recognizing EV-TRACK as a valuable but optional tool. As a result, MISEV2018 strongly encourages but cannot mandate EV-TRACK submission, which most seem to acknowledge as highly valuable.
- 2. The utility of field-specific databases was questioned by some respondents, who felt that data from studies analysing EVs obtained through low-specificity methods renders these databases difficult to interpret in terms of specific association of a given molecule/sequence to EVs or an EV subtype. Submission to field-specific databases is thus important to update these resources, which are of value to identify different studies finding the same molecules, but not to demonstrate the exosomal nor EV nature of the analysed entities. It also does not substitute for deposition with publicly maintained repositories.

Exceptions to compliance with MISEV guidelines

Some situations may arise in which strict adherence to the MISEV guidelines is difficult. Not all biofluids, for example lacrimal fluid, are available in sufficient volume to separate EVs and perform multiple tests with each sample; also, only limited numbers of EVs may be harvested from small numbers of patientderived cells, small organoids, and more. In such cases, multiple samples might be pooled to establish the reliability of the separation method(s) and characterize EVs before further characterization or functional studies are performed with individuals samples. If even this solution is impractical, authors should indicate the limit of detection of each applied EV characterization technology and demonstrate that the available material falls below this limit. However, applying this "escape clause" means that EVs cannot be rigorously demonstrated, requiring that authors mention (and reviewers insist on) the caveats of alternative interpretations, i.e. that EVs may contribute, but not necessarily exclusively, to an observed phenomenon or molecular signature.

Consensus: Section 6a (reporting requirements) was supported by 89% of MISEV2018 Survey respondents. The comments were split between those who wanted more reliance on EV-TRACK and those who found EV-TRACK submissions to be time-consuming or otherwise cumbersome. 99% of respondents agreed with mentioning exceptions to compliance in 6b.

Conclusions

Major points of MISEV2018 (see MISEV2018 quickreference checklist, p42):

- (1) "Extracellular vesicle" is the preferred generic term for the subject of our investigations, and subtypes should be defined by physical and biochemical characteristics and/or conditions/ sources. When other terms are used, careful definition is required.
- (2) A growing number of separation techniques and combinations thereof are available, variously balancing recovery and specificity. In all cases, the EV separation and/or isolation procedure must be reported in detail, to allow a reliable replication.
- (3) As EV characterization evolves, protein and lipid markers continue to be highly useful to demonstrate presence of the generic structure of EVs. Markers chosen for characterization of EVs may differ based on cells of origin (including mammalian vs non-mammalian vs non-eukaryotic cells), and whether claims are generic to all kinds of EVs, or instead specific to subtypes of EVs (4-b p16, [Table 2,](#page-17-0) [Table 3\)](#page-21-0). Further, newly identified EVassociated components must be validated by 1) showing their specific association with EVs or subtypes and 2) if functionally relevant: topology in/ outside EVs of the functional molecule (4-d p.21).
- (4) Function. Demonstration that a function is associated specifically with EVs released from the cell ([Table 4\)](#page-25-0) requires demonstration that the function occurs without cell-cell contact (5-b p22) and is not present (or is present to a substantially reduced extent) in the soluble, non-EV-associated secreted factors (5-c, d p23-24). Demonstration that a function is specific to exosomes (EVs of endosomal origin), as compared with other types of small EVs, is not recommended as a major point of any EV study due to the issues elaborated in section 5-e p25. However, if authors wish to make this point, the currently proposed exosomespecific tools cannot be taken for granted, and several controls should be performed to evaluate their action on other EVs, on secretion of non-EV products, and on the general physiology of secreting cells. Additional techniques for separation of these vesicles and/or genetic tools specifically affecting their secretion, may become available in the future (5-e p25).
- (5) The EV-TRACK knowledgebase is endorsed by ISEV to showcase and enhance rigor and reproducibility in EV studies, consistent with the MISEV guidelines.
- (6) Finally, there are exceptions to every rule. MISEV2018 is meant to guide and improve the field, not stifle it. If MISEV recommendations and requirements cannot be met, authors will then need to explain their unique situation and describe their attempts to meet the guidelines and the reason for failure. These guidelines will also continue to evolve.

Consensus: 89% of ISEV2018 Survey respondents had no major changes; 29 comments were taken into account in revisions of this section.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to all respondents to the various surveys that supported the MISEV2018 effort, including those who only partially completed the surveys or completed the surveys but declined authorship. They also thank all community members who offered feedback.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors. In addition, they declare that they took responsibility for avoiding any introduction of possible conflicting material into the text. For example all names of companies and trademarks were avoided in the main text to reduce the likelihood of preferential treatment to specific services or products of for-profit entities.

Funding

Funding is listed only for the corresponding authors. CT's laboratory is funded by INSERM, Institut Curie, Ministry of Education, and grants from INCa (INCA-11548), French National Research Agency (ANR-10-IDEX-0001-02 PSL* and ANR-11-LABX-0043), SIDACTION (17-1-AAE-1138), Fondation ARC (PGA1 RF20180206962, PJA 20171206453). KWW and CT receive support from NIDA (DA040385). KWW is also supported in part by NIA AG057430, NIDA DA047807 and NIMH MH118164.

Authors' contributions

CT and KWW led the manuscript drafting team, prepared the online surveys, analyzed survey results and other input, communicated with authors and prospective authors, and prepared all revisions. All other authors contributed as specified in the text and in footnotes to the author affiliations. All authors reviewed and approved the final draft of the manuscript.

ORCID

Clotilde Théry http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8294-6884 Kenneth W Witwer **b** http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1664-4233

References

- References, especially those provided to illustrate methods and approaches, are representative only, and are not meant to be a comprehensive review of the literature. Most references were derived from suggestions provided in the MISEV2018 Survey results. Each reference was checked by multiple authors. Citation implies deemed relevance of scientific content and not an endorsement by the authors or ISEV of any particular journal or editorial practice.
	- [1] Lotvall J, Hill AF, Hochberg F, et al. Minimal experimental requirements for definition of extracellular vesicles and their functions: a position statement from the international society for extracellular vesicles. J Extracell Vesicles. [2014](#page-10-0);3:26913. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25536934>
	- [2] Witwer KW, Soekmadji C, Hill AF, et al. Updating the MISEV minimal requirements for extracellular vesicle studies: building bridges to reproducibility. J Extracell Vesicles. [2017](#page-11-0);6(1):1396823. Available from: [https://](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1396823) [www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1396823) [1396823](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1396823)
	- [3] Stein JM, Luzio JP Ectocytosis caused by sublytic autologous complement attack on human neutrophils. The sorting of endogenous plasma-membrane proteins and lipids into shed vesicles. Biochem J. [1991;](#page-12-0)274

(Pt 2):381–386. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1848755) [nih.gov/pubmed/1848755](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1848755)

- [4] Cocucci E, Meldolesi J Ectosomes and exosomes: shedding the confusion between extracellular vesicles. Trends Cell Biol. [2015;](#page-12-0)25(6):364–372. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25683921>
- [5] Gould SJ, Raposo G As we wait: coping with an imperfect nomenclature for extracellular vesicles. J Extracell Vesicles. [2013;](#page-12-1)2. Available from: [http://](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24009890) www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24009890
- [6] Gardiner C, Di Vizio D, Sahoo S, et al. Techniques used for the isolation and characterization of extracellular vesicles: results of a worldwide survey. J Extracell Vesicles. [2016](#page-12-2);5:32945. Available from: [http://www.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27802845) [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27802845](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27802845)
- [7] Rojas A The imperative authentication of cell lines. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. [2017;](#page-12-3)61(11):e01823– 17. Available from: [http://aac.asm.org/lookup/doi/10.](http://aac.asm.org/lookup/doi/10.1128/AAC.01823-17) [1128/AAC.01823-17](http://aac.asm.org/lookup/doi/10.1128/AAC.01823-17)
- [8] Reid Y, Storts D, Riss T, et al. Authentication of human cell lines by STR DNA profiling analysis [Internet]. Assay Guidance Manual. [2004.](#page-12-3) Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23805434>
- [9] Chen TS, Arslan F, Yin Y, et al. Enabling a robust scalable manufacturing process for therapeutic exosomes through oncogenic immortalization of human ESC-derived MSCs. J Transl Med. [2011](#page-12-4);9(1):47. Available from: [http://translational-medicine.biomed](http://translational-medicine.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1479-5876-9-47) [central.com/articles/10.1186/1479-5876-9-47](http://translational-medicine.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1479-5876-9-47)
- [10] Lima LG, Chammas R, Monteiro RQ, et al. Tumorderived microvesicles modulate the establishment of metastatic melanoma in a phosphatidylserine-dependent manner. Cancer Lett. [2009](#page-12-5);283(2):168–175. Available from: [http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0304383509002420) [S0304383509002420](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0304383509002420)
- [11] Frey B, Gaipl US The immune functions of phosphatidylserine in membranes of dying cells and microvesicles. Semin Immunopathol. [2011;](#page-12-5)33(5):497–516. Available from: [http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00281-010-](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00281-010-0228-6) [0228-6](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00281-010-0228-6)
- [12] Roseblade A, Luk F, Ung A, et al. Targeting microparticle biogenesis: a novel approach to the circumvention of cancer multidrug resistance. Curr Cancer Drug Targets. [2015;](#page-12-6)15(3):205–214. Available from: [http://](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25714701) www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25714701
- [13] Takasugi M Emerging roles of extracellular vesicles in cellular senescence and aging. Aging Cell. [2018](#page-12-6);17(2): e12734.
- [14] Patel DB, Gray KM, Santharam Y, et al. Impact of cell culture parameters on production and vascularization bioactivity of mesenchymal stem cell-derived extracellular vesicles. Bioeng Transl Med. [2017](#page-12-7);2(2):170–179.
- [15] Dang VD, Jella KK, Ragheb RRT, et al. Lipidomic and proteomic analysis of exosomes from mouse cortical collecting duct cells. FASEB J. [2017](#page-12-8);31(12):5399–5408. Available from: [http://www.fasebj.org/doi/10.1096/fj.](http://www.fasebj.org/doi/10.1096/fj.201700417R) [201700417R](http://www.fasebj.org/doi/10.1096/fj.201700417R)
- [16] Klingeborn M, Dismuke WM, Skiba NP, et al. Directional exosome proteomes reflect polarity-specific functions in retinal pigmented epithelium monolayers. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):4901. Available from: [http://www.nat](http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-017-05102-9) [ure.com/articles/s41598-017-05102-9](http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-017-05102-9)
- [17] Mittelbrunn M, Vicente-Manzanares M, Sánchez-Madrid F Organizing polarized delivery of exosomes at synapses. Traffic. 2015;16(4):327–337. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25614958>
- [18] van Niel G, Raposo G, Candalh C, et al. Intestinal epithelial cells secrete exosome-like vesicles.
Gastroenterology. 2001;121(2):337-349. Available 2001;121(2):337–349. from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11487543>
- [19] Tauro BJ, Greening DW, Mathias RA, et al. Two distinct populations of exosomes are released from LIM1863 colon carcinoma cell-derived organoids. Mol Cell Proteomics. [2013](#page-12-8);12(3):587–598. Available from: [http://www.mcponline.org/lookup/doi/10.1074/](http://www.mcponline.org/lookup/doi/10.1074/mcp.M112.021303) [mcp.M112.021303](http://www.mcponline.org/lookup/doi/10.1074/mcp.M112.021303)
- [20] Yan IK, Shukla N, Borrelli DA, et al. Use of a hollow fiber bioreactor to collect extracellular vesicles from cells in culture. Methods Mol Biol. [2018;](#page-12-9)1740:35–41. Available from: [http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4939-7652-2_4) [1-4939-7652-2_4](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4939-7652-2_4)
- [21] Watson DC, Yung BC, Bergamaschi C, et al. Scalable, cGMP-compatible purification of extracellular vesicles carrying bioactive human heterodimeric IL-15/lactadherin complexes. J Extracell Vesicles. [2018;](#page-12-9)7 (1):1442088. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29535850) [gov/pubmed/29535850](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29535850)
- [22] Lowry MC, O'Driscoll L Can hi-jacking hypoxia inhibit extracellular vesicles in cancer? Drug Discov Today. [2018](#page-12-10);23(6):1267–1273. Available from: [https://linkin](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1359644617303252) [ghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1359644617303252](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1359644617303252)
- [23] Mitchell MD, Peiris HN, Kobayashi M, et al. Placental exosomes in normal and complicated pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol. [2015;](#page-12-10)213(4Suppl): S173–81. Available from: [http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0002937815007176) [retrieve/pii/S0002937815007176](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0002937815007176)
- [24] de Jong OG, Verhaar MC, Chen Y, et al. Cellular stress conditions are reflected in the protein and RNA content of endothelial cell-derived exosomes. J Extracell Vesicles. [2012](#page-12-11);1(1):18396. Available from: [https://www.](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v1i0.18396) [tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v1i0.18396](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v1i0.18396)
- [25] Stratton D, Moore C, Antwi-Baffour S, et al. Microvesicles released constitutively from prostate cancer cells differ biochemically and functionally to stimulated microvesicles released through sublytic C5b-9. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2015;460 (3):589–595. Available from: [http://linkinghub.else](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0006291X15005203) [vier.com/retrieve/pii/S0006291X15005203](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0006291X15005203)
- [26] Dozio V, Sanchez J-C Characterisation of extracellular vesicle-subsets derived from brain endothelial cells and analysis of their protein cargo modulation after TNF exposure. J Extracell Vesicles. 2017;6(1):1302705. Available from: [https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1302705) [10.1080/20013078.2017.1302705](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1302705)
- [27] Taylor J, Jaiswal R, Bebawy M Calcium-calpain dependent pathways regulate vesiculation in malignant breast cells. Curr Cancer Drug Targets. 2017;17 (5):486–494. Available from: [http://www.eurekaselect.](http://www.eurekaselect.com/node/146745/article) [com/node/146745/article](http://www.eurekaselect.com/node/146745/article)
- [28] Mostefai HA, Agouni A, Carusio N, et al. Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase and xanthine oxidase regulate nitric oxide and reactive oxygen species productions by apoptotic lymphocyte microparticles in endothelial cells. J Immunol. 2008;180(7):5028–5035.

Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18354228) [18354228](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18354228)

- [29] Agouni A, Mostefai HA, Porro C, et al. Sonic hedgehog carried by microparticles corrects endothelial injury through nitric oxide release. FASEB J. 2007;21 (11):2735–2741. Available from: [http://www.fasebj.org/](http://www.fasebj.org/doi/10.1096/fj.07-8079com) [doi/10.1096/fj.07-8079com](http://www.fasebj.org/doi/10.1096/fj.07-8079com)
- [30] Soekmadji C, Riches JD, Russell PJ, et al. Modulation of paracrine signaling by CD9 positive small extracellular vesicles mediates cellular growth of androgen deprived prostate cancer. Oncotarget. [2017;](#page-12-11)8 (32):52237–52255. Available from: [http://www.oncotar](http://www.oncotarget.com/fulltext/11111) [get.com/fulltext/11111](http://www.oncotarget.com/fulltext/11111)
- [31] Saari H, Lázaro-Ibáñez E, Viitala T, et al. Microvesicleand exosome-mediated drug delivery enhances the cytotoxicity of paclitaxel in autologous prostate cancer cells. J Control Release. [2015;](#page-12-12)220(PtB):727–737. Available from: [http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0168365915301322) [retrieve/pii/S0168365915301322](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0168365915301322)
- [32] Lázaro-Ibáñez E, Neuvonen M, Takatalo M, et al. Metastatic state of parent cells influences the uptake and functionality of prostate cancer cell-derived extracellular vesicles. J Extracell Vesicles. [2017;](#page-12-12)6 (1):1354645. Available from: [https://www.tandfonline.](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1354645) [com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1354645](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1354645)
- [33] Chernov VM, Mouzykantov AA, Baranova NB, et al. Extracellular membrane vesicles secreted by mycoplasma acholeplasma laidlawii PG8 are enriched in virulence proteins. J Proteomics. [2014](#page-12-13);110:117–128. Available from: [http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1874391914003819) [retrieve/pii/S1874391914003819](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1874391914003819)
- [34] Corral-Vázquez C, Aguilar-quesada R, Catalina P, et al. Cell lines authentication and mycoplasma detection as minimun quality control of cell lines in biobanking. Cell Tissue Bank. 2017;18(2):271–280. Available from: [http://link.springer.com/10.1007/](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10561-017-9617-6) [s10561-017-9617-6](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10561-017-9617-6)
- [35] Yang C, Chalasani G, Ng Y-H, et al. Exosomes released from mycoplasma infected tumor cells activate inhibitory B cells. PLoS One. 2012;7(4):e36138. Available from: <http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036138>
- [36] Quah BJC, O'Neill HC Mycoplasma contaminants present in exosome preparations induce polyclonal B cell responses. J Leukoc Biol. [2007](#page-12-13);82(5):1070–1082.
- [37] Mathivanan S, Lim JW, Tauro BJ, et al. Proteomics analysis of A33 immunoaffinity-purified exosomes released from the human colon tumor cell line LIM1215 reveals a tissue-specific protein signature. Mol Cell Proteomics. [2010;](#page-12-14)9(2):197–208. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19837982>
- [38] Burger D, Turner M, Xiao F, et al. High glucose increases the formation and pro-oxidative activity of endothelial microparticles. Diabetologia. [2017](#page-12-15);60 (9):1791–1800. Available from: [http://link.springer.](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00125-017-4331-2) [com/10.1007/s00125-017-4331-2](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00125-017-4331-2)
- [39] Thom SR, Bhopale VM, Yu K, et al. Neutrophil microparticle production and inflammasome activation by hyperglycemia due to cytoskeletal instability. J Biol Chem. 2017;292(44):18312–18324. Available from: [http://www.jbc.org/lookup/doi/10.1074/jbc.](http://www.jbc.org/lookup/doi/10.1074/jbc.M117.802629) [M117.802629](http://www.jbc.org/lookup/doi/10.1074/jbc.M117.802629)
- [40] Rice GE, Scholz-Romero K, Sweeney E, et al. The effect of glucose on the release and bioactivity of exosomes from first trimester trophoblast cells. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. [2015;](#page-12-15)100(10):E1280–8. Available from: [https://](https://academic.oup.com/jcem/article-lookup/doi/10.1210/jc.2015-2270) [academic.oup.com/jcem/article-lookup/doi/10.1210/jc.](https://academic.oup.com/jcem/article-lookup/doi/10.1210/jc.2015-2270) [2015-2270](https://academic.oup.com/jcem/article-lookup/doi/10.1210/jc.2015-2270)
- [41] Németh A, Orgovan N, Sódar BW, et al. Antibioticinduced release of small extracellular vesicles (exosomes) with surface-associated DNA. Sci Rep. [2017;](#page-12-16)7 (1):8202. Available from: [http://www.nature.com/arti](http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-017-08392-1) [cles/s41598-017-08392-1](http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-017-08392-1)
- [42] Zhou X, Zhang W, Yao O, et al. Exosome production and its regulation of EGFR during wound healing in renal tubular cells. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. [2017;](#page-12-16)312(6):F963–70. Available from: [http://www.phy](http://www.physiology.org/doi/10.1152/ajprenal.00078.2017) [siology.org/doi/10.1152/ajprenal.00078.2017](http://www.physiology.org/doi/10.1152/ajprenal.00078.2017)
- [43] Pachler K, Lener T, Streif D, et al. A good manufacturing practice-grade standard protocol for exclusively human mesenchymal stromal cell-derived extracellular vesicles. Cytotherapy. [2017;](#page-13-0)19(4):458–472. Available from: [http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1465324917300038) [S1465324917300038](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1465324917300038)
- [44] Saury C, Lardenois A, Schleder C, et al. Human serum and platelet lysate are appropriate xeno-free alternatives for clinical-grade production of human MuStem cell batches. Stem Cell Res Ther. [2018;](#page-13-0)9(1):128. Available from: [https://stemcellres.biomedcentral.](https://stemcellres.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13287-018-0852-y) [com/articles/10.1186/s13287-018-0852-y](https://stemcellres.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13287-018-0852-y)
- [45] Li J, Lee Y, Johansson HJ, et al. Serum-free culture alters the quantity and protein composition of neuroblastoma-derived extracellular vesicles. J Extracell Vesicles. [2015](#page-13-1);4(1):26883. Available from: [https://www.](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v4.26883) [tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v4.26883](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v4.26883)
- [46] Beninson LA, Fleshner M Exosomes in fetal bovine serum dampen primary macrophage IL-1β response to lipopolysaccharide (LPS) challenge. Immunol Lett. [2015;](#page-13-1)163(2):187–192. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25455591) [nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25455591](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25455591)
- [47] Eitan E, Zhang S, Witwer KW, et al. Extracellular vesicle-depleted fetal bovine and human sera have reduced capacity to support cell growth. J Extracell Vesicles. [2015](#page-13-2);4:26373. Available from: [http://www.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25819213) [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25819213](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25819213)
- [48] Théry C, Amigorena S, Raposo G, et al. Isolation and characterization of exosomes from cell culture supernatants and biological fluids. In: Current protocols in cell biology. Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; [2006.](#page-13-3) p. Unit 3.22. Available from: [http://www.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18228490) [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18228490](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18228490)
- [49] van Balkom BWM, de Jong OG, Smits M, et al. Endothelial cells require miR-214 to secrete exosomes that suppress senescence and induce angiogenesis in human and mouse endothelial cells. Blood. [2013;](#page-13-4)121 (19):3997–4006. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23532734) [nih.gov/pubmed/23532734](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23532734)
- [50] Kornilov R, Puhka M, Mannerström B, et al. Efficient ultrafiltration-based protocol to deplete extracellular vesicles from fetal bovine serum. J Extracell Vesicles. [2018](#page-13-5);7(1):1422674. Available from: [https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1422674) [1080/20013078.2017.1422674](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1422674)
- [51] Wei Z, Batagov AO, Carter DRF, et al. Fetal bovine serum RNA interferes with the cell culture derived extracellular RNA. Sci Rep. [2016](#page-13-6);6:31175. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27503761) [27503761](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27503761)
- [52] Shelke GV, Lässer C, Gho YS, et al. Importance of exosome depletion protocols to eliminate functional and RNA-containing extracellular vesicles from fetal bovine serum. J Extracell Vesicles. 2014;3:24783. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25317276) [pubmed/25317276](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25317276)
- [53] Tosar JP, Cayota A, Eitan E, et al. Ribonucleic artefacts: are some extracellular RNA discoveries driven by cell culture medium components? J Extracell Vesicles. [2017;](#page-13-6)6(1):1272832. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28326168) [nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28326168](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28326168)
- [54] Kaur S, Singh SP, Elkahloun AG, et al. CD47 dependent immunomodulatory and angiogenic activities of extracellular vesicles produced by T cells. Matrix Biol. [2014;](#page-13-7)37:49–59. Available from: [http://lin](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0945053X14000924) [kinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0945053X14000924](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0945053X14000924)
- [55] Witwer KW, Buzas EI, Bemis LT, et al. Standardization of sample collection, isolation and analysis methods in extracellular vesicle research: an ISEV position paper. J Extracell Vesicles. [2013;](#page-13-8)2:20360.
- [56] Mateescu B, Kowal EJK, van Balkom BWM, Bartel S, Bhattacharyya SN, Buzàs EI, et al. Obstacles and opportunities in the functional analysis of extracellular vesicle RNA- An ISEV Position Paper. J Extracell Vesicles. [2017](#page-13-8);6:1286095.
- [57] Bæk R, Søndergaard EKL, Varming K, et al. The impact of various preanalytical treatments on the phenotype of small extracellular vesicles in blood analyzed by protein microarray. J Immunol Meth. [2016](#page-13-9);438:11–20. Available from: [http://linkinghub.else](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022175916301624) [vier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022175916301624](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022175916301624)
- [58] Barteneva NS, Fasler-Kan E, Bernimoulin M, et al. Circulating microparticles: square the circle. BMC Cell Biol. 2013;14(1):23. Available from: [http://bmccell](http://bmccellbiol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2121-14-23) [biol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2121-](http://bmccellbiol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2121-14-23) [14-23](http://bmccellbiol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2121-14-23)
- [59] Mullier F, Bailly N, Chatelain C, et al. Pre-analytical issues in the measurement of circulating microparticles: current recommendations and pending questions. J Thromb Haemost. 2013. Available from: [http://www.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23410207) [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23410207](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23410207)
- [60] Lacroix R, Judicone C, Poncelet P, et al. Impact of pre-analytical parameters on the measurement of circulating microparticles: towards standardization of protocol. J Thromb Haemost. 2012;10(3):437–446. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22212198) [pubmed/22212198](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22212198)
- [61] Coumans FAW, Brisson AR, Buzas EI, et al. Methodological guidelines to study extracellular vesicles. Circ Res. 2017;120(10):1632–1648. Available from: [http://circres.ahajournals.org/lookup/doi/10.](http://circres.ahajournals.org/lookup/doi/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.309417) [1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.309417](http://circres.ahajournals.org/lookup/doi/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.309417)
- [62] Yuana Y, Bertina RM, Osanto S Pre-analytical and analytical issues in the analysis of blood microparticles. Thromb Haemost. [2011;](#page-14-0)105 (3):396–408. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21174005) [gov/pubmed/21174005](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21174005)
- [63] Yuana Y, Böing AN, Grootemaat AE, et al. Handling and storage of human body fluids for analysis of extracellular vesicles. J Extracell Vesicles. [2015;](#page-13-9)4:29260.
- [64] Robbins PD Extracellular vesicles and aging. Stem Cell Investig. [2017;](#page-13-10)4(12):98. Available from: [http://sci.](http://sci.amegroups.com/article/view/17758/18069) [amegroups.com/article/view/17758/18069](http://sci.amegroups.com/article/view/17758/18069)
- [65] Danielson KM, Estanislau J, Tigges J, et al. Diurnal variations of circulating extracellular vesicles measured by nano flow cytometry. PLoS One. [2016;](#page-13-10)11(1): e0144678. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26745887) [gov/pubmed/26745887](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26745887)
- [66] Fendl B, Weiss R, Fischer MB, et al. Characterization of extracellular vesicles in whole blood: influence of pre-analytical parameters and visualization of vesicle-cell interactions using imaging flow cytometry. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. [2016;](#page-13-11)478(1):168–173. Available from: [http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0006291X16311950) [retrieve/pii/S0006291X16311950](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0006291X16311950)
- [67] Wisgrill L, Lamm C, Hartmann J, et al. Peripheral blood microvesicles secretion is influenced by storage time, temperature, and anticoagulants. Cytometry A. 2016;89(7):663–672.
- [68] György B, Pálóczi K, Kovács A, et al. Improved circulating microparticle analysis in acid-citrate dextrose (ACD) anticoagulant tube. Thromb Res. [2014;](#page-13-11)133 (2):285–292. Available from: [http://linkinghub.else](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S004938481300546X) [vier.com/retrieve/pii/S004938481300546X](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S004938481300546X)
- [69] Mitchell AJ, Gray WD, Hayek SS, et al. Platelets confound the measurement of extracellular miRNA in archived plasma. Sci Rep. [2016](#page-13-12);6(1):32651. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27623086>
- [70] Cheng HH, Yi HS, Kim Y, et al. Plasma processing conditions substantially influence circulating microRNA biomarker levels. PLoS One. 2013;8(6): e64795. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23762257) [pubmed/23762257](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23762257)
- [71] Muller L, Hong C-S, Stolz DB, et al. Isolation of biologically-active exosomes from human plasma. J Immunol Meth. [2014](#page-14-0);411:55–65.
- [72] Ayers L, Kohler M, Harrison P, et al. Measurement of circulating cell-derived microparticles by flow cytometry: sources of variability within the assay. Thromb Res. 2011;127(4):370–377. Available from: [http://](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21257195) www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21257195
- [73] Heijnen HF, Schiel AE, Fijnheer R, et al. Activated platelets release two types of membrane vesicles: microvesicles by surface shedding and exosomes derived from exocytosis of multivesicular bodies and alpha-granules. Blood. [1999;](#page-13-12)94(11):3791–3799.
- [74] Mincheva-Nilsson L, Baranov V, Nagaeva O, et al. Isolation and characterization of exosomes from cultures of tissue explants and cell lines. Curr Protoc Immunol. [2016;](#page-14-1)115:14.42.1–14.42.21.
- [75] Lunavat TR, Cheng L, Einarsdottir BO, et al. BRAFV600 inhibition alters the microRNA cargo in the vesicular secretome of malignant melanoma cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. [2017](#page-14-2);114(29):E5930–9. Available from: [http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1705206114) [1073/pnas.1705206114](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1705206114)
- [76] Gupta AK, Rusterholz C, Huppertz B, et al. A comparative study of the effect of three different syncytiotrophoblast micro-particles preparations on

endothelial cells. Placenta. [2005](#page-14-2);26(1):59–66. Available from: [http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0143400404001080) [S0143400404001080](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0143400404001080)

- [77] Holder BS, Tower CL, Forbes K, et al. Immune cell activation by trophoblast-derived microvesicles is mediated by syncytin 1. Immunology. [2012;](#page-14-2)136 (2):184–191.
- [78] Perez-Gonzalez R, Gauthier SA, Kumar A, et al. The exosome secretory pathway transports amyloid precursor protein carboxyl-terminal fragments from the cell into the brain extracellular space. J Biol Chem. [2012](#page-14-3);287(51):43108–43115. Available from: [http://](http://www.jbc.org/lookup/doi/10.1074/jbc.M112.404467) www.jbc.org/lookup/doi/10.1074/jbc.M112.404467
- [79] Vella LJ, Scicluna BJ, Cheng L, et al. A rigorous method to enrich for exosomes from brain tissue. J Extracell Vesicles. [2017](#page-14-4);6(1):1348885. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28804598>
- [80] Deng ZB, Poliakov A, Hardy RW, et al. Adipose tissue exosome-like vesicles mediate activation of macrophage-induced insulin resistance. Diabetes. 2009;58(11):2498–2505. Available from: [http://www.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19675137) [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19675137](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19675137)
- [81] Wang GJ, Liu Y, Qin A, et al. Thymus exosomes-like particles induce regulatory T cells. J Immunol. 2008;181(8):5242–5248. Available from: [http://www.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18832678) [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18832678](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18832678)
- [82] Kranendonk MEG, Visseren FLJ, van Balkom BWM, et al. Human adipocyte extracellular vesicles in reciprocal signaling between adipocytes and macrophages. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2014;22(5):1296–1308.
- [83] Loyer X, Zlatanova I, Devue C, et al. Intra-cardiac release of extracellular vesicles shapes inflammation following myocardial infarction. Circ Res. [2018;](#page-14-5)123 (1):100–106. Available from: [http://circres.ahajour](http://circres.ahajournals.org/lookup/doi/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.311326) [nals.org/lookup/doi/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.](http://circres.ahajournals.org/lookup/doi/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.311326) [311326](http://circres.ahajournals.org/lookup/doi/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.311326)
- [84] Leroyer AS, Ebrahimian TG, Cochain C, et al. Microparticles from ischemic muscle promotes postnatal vasculogenesis. Circulation. [2009;](#page-14-3)119 (21):2808–2817. Available from: [http://circ.ahajour](http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.816710) [nals.org/cgi/doi/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.](http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.816710) [816710](http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.816710)
- [85] Michaelis ML, Jiang L, Michaelis EK Isolation of synaptosomes, synaptic plasma membranes, and synaptic junctional complexes. In: Methods in molecular biology. Clifton, NJ. [2017.](#page-14-6) p. 107–119. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27943187>
- [86] Zhou H, Yuen PS, Pisitkun T, et al. Collection, storage, preservation, and normalization of human urinary exosomes for biomarker discovery. Kidney Int. [2006](#page-14-0);69(8):1471–1476. Available from: [http://www.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16501490) [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16501490](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16501490)
- [87] Vila-Liante V, Sánchez-López V, Martínez-Sales V, et al. Impact of sample processing on the measurement of circulating microparticles: storage and centrifugation parameters. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2016;54 (11):1759–1767. Available from: [https://www.degruy](https://www.degruyter.com/view/j/cclm.2016.54.issue-11/cclm-2016-0036/cclm-2016-0036.xml) [ter.com/view/j/cclm.2016.54.issue-11/cclm-2016-0036/](https://www.degruyter.com/view/j/cclm.2016.54.issue-11/cclm-2016-0036/cclm-2016-0036.xml) [cclm-2016-0036.xml](https://www.degruyter.com/view/j/cclm.2016.54.issue-11/cclm-2016-0036/cclm-2016-0036.xml)
- [88] Kriebardis AG, Antonelou MH, Georgatzakou HT, et al. Microparticles variability in fresh frozen plasma: preparation protocol and storage time effects. Blood

Transfus. 2016;14(2):228–237. Available from: [http://](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27136430) www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27136430

- [89] Lőrincz ÁM, Timár CI, Marosvári KA, et al. Effect of storage on physical and functional properties of extracellular vesicles derived from neutrophilic granulocytes. J Extracell Vesicles. 2014;3(1):25465. Available from: [https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v3.25465) [10.3402/jev.v3.25465](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v3.25465)
- [90] Bosch S, de Beaurepaire L, Allard M, et al. Trehalose prevents aggregation of exosomes and cryodamage. Sci Rep. 2016;6(1):36162. Available from: [http://www.nat](http://www.nature.com/articles/srep36162) [ure.com/articles/srep36162](http://www.nature.com/articles/srep36162)
- [91] Maroto R, Zhao Y, Jamaluddin M, et al. Effects of storage temperature on airway exosome integrity for diagnostic and functional analyses. J Extracell Vesicles. 2017;6(1):1359478. Available from: [https://www.tand](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1359478) [fonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1359478](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1359478)
- [92] Jin Y, Chen K, Wang Z, et al. DNA in serum extracellular vesicles is stable under different storage conditions. BMC Cancer. 2016;16(1):753. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27662833) [27662833](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27662833)
- [93] Jeyaram A, Jay SM. Preservation and storage stability of extracellular vesicles for therapeutic applications. Aaps J. 2017;20(1):1. Available from: [http://link.](http://link.springer.com/10.1208/s12248-017-0160-y) [springer.com/10.1208/s12248-017-0160-y](http://link.springer.com/10.1208/s12248-017-0160-y)
- [94] Trummer A, De Rop C, Tiede A, et al. Recovery and composition of microparticles after snap-freezing depends on thawing temperature. Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis. 2009;20(1):52–56. Available from: [https://insights.ovid.](https://insights.ovid.com/crossref?an=00001721-200901000-00010) [com/crossref?an=00001721-200901000-00010](https://insights.ovid.com/crossref?an=00001721-200901000-00010)
- [95] Lener T, Gimona M, Aigner L, et al. Applying extracellular vesicles based therapeutics in clinical trials - an ISEV position paper. J Extracell Vesicles. [2015;](#page-25-1)4:30087. Available from: [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4698466%26tool=pmcentrez%26rendertype=abstract) [articlerender.fcgi?artid=4698466&tool=pmcentrez&ren](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4698466%26tool=pmcentrez%26rendertype=abstract) [dertype=abstract](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4698466%26tool=pmcentrez%26rendertype=abstract)
- [96] Reiner AT, Witwer KW, Van Balkom BWM, et al. Concise review: developing best-practice models for the therapeutic use of extracellular vesicles. Stem Cells Transl Med. [2017](#page-14-0);6(8).
- [97] Clayton A, Buschmann D, Byrd JB, et al. Summary of the ISEV workshop on extracellular vesicles as disease biomarkers, held in Birmingham, UK, during December 2017. J Extracell Vesicles. [2018;](#page-14-7)7 (1):1473707. Available from: [https://www.tandfonline.](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2018.1473707) [com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2018.1473707](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2018.1473707)
- [98] Montis C, Zendrini A, Valle F, et al. Size distribution of extracellular vesicles by optical correlation
techniques. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces. techniques. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces. [2017](#page-14-8);158:331–338. Available from: [http://linkinghub.](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S092777651730406X) [elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S092777651730406X](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S092777651730406X)
- [99] Morales-Kastresana A, Telford B, Musich TA, et al. Labeling extracellular vesicles for nanoscale flow cytometry. Sci Rep. [2017](#page-15-0);7(1):1878. Available from: <http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-017-01731-2>
- [100] Corso G, Mäger I, Lee Y, et al. Reproducible and scalable purification of extracellular vesicles using combined bind-elute and size exclusion chromatography. Sci Rep. [2017](#page-15-1);7(1):11561. Available from: [http://www.nature.com/articles/](http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-017-10646-x) [s41598-017-10646-x](http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-017-10646-x)
- [101] Welton JL, Webber JP, Botos L-A, et al. Ready-made chromatography columns for extracellular vesicle isolation from plasma. J Extracell Vesicles. 2015;4:27269. Available from: [http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/](http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v4.27269) [10.3402/jev.v4.27269](http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v4.27269)
- [102] Vergauwen G, Dhondt B, Van Deun J, et al. Confounding factors of ultrafiltration and protein analysis in extracellular vesicle research. Sci Rep. [2017](#page-15-0);7 (1):2704. Available from: [http://www.nature.com/arti](http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-017-02599-y) [cles/s41598-017-02599-y](http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-017-02599-y)
- [103] Lobb RJ, Becker M, Wen SW, et al. Optimized exosome isolation protocol for cell culture supernatant and human plasma. J Extracell Vesicles. [2015;](#page-15-2)4:27031. Available from: [https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v4.27031) [10.3402/jev.v4.27031](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v4.27031)
- [104] Tan CY, Lai RC, Wong W, et al. Mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes promote hepatic regeneration in drug-induced liver injury models. Stem Cell Res Ther. [2014;](#page-15-3)5(3):76. Available from: [http://stemcellres.com/](http://stemcellres.com/content/5/3/76) [content/5/3/76](http://stemcellres.com/content/5/3/76)
- [105] Jong AY, Wu C-H, Li J, et al. Large-scale isolation and cytotoxicity of extracellular vesicles derived from activated human natural killer cells. J Extracell Vesicles. 2017;6(1):1294368. Available from: [https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1294368) [20013078.2017.1294368](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1294368)
- [106] Heinemann ML, Ilmer M, Silva LP, et al. Benchtop isolation and characterization of functional exosomes by sequential filtration. J Chromatogr A. [2014](#page-28-0);1371:125–135. Available from: [http://linkinghub.](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0021967314015908) [elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0021967314015908](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0021967314015908)
- [107] Heinemann ML, Vykoukal J Sequential filtration: A gentle method for the isolation of functional extracellular vesicles. In: Methods in molecular biology. Clifton, NJ. 2017. p. 33–41. Available from: [http://](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28828646) www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28828646
- [108] Wei Z, Batagov AO, Schinelli S, et al. Coding and noncoding landscape of extracellular RNA released by human glioma stem cells. Nat Commun. 2017;8 (1):1145. Available from: [http://www.nature.com/arti](http://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-017-01196-x) [cles/s41467-017-01196-x](http://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-017-01196-x)
- [109] Lamparski HG, Metha-Damani A, Yao JY, et al. Production and characterization of clinical grade exosomes derived from dendritic cells. J Immunol Meth. 2002;270(2):211–226. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12379326) [nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12379326](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12379326)
- [110] Escudier B, Dorval T, Chaput N, et al. Vaccination of metastatic melanoma patients with autologous dendritic cell (DC) derived-exosomes: results of thefirst phase I clinical trial. J Transl Med. [2005](#page-15-3);3(1):10. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15740633>
- [111] Roda B, Zattoni A, Reschiglian P, et al. Field-flow fractionation in bioanalysis: A review of recent trends. Anal Chim Acta. [2009](#page-15-3);635(2):132–143. Available from: [http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0003267009000865) [retrieve/pii/S0003267009000865](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0003267009000865)
- [112] Zhang H, Freitas D, Kim HS, et al. Identification of distinct nanoparticles and subsets of extracellular vesicles by asymmetric flow field-flow fractionation. Nat Cell Biol. [2018](#page-15-4);20(3):332–343. Available from: [http://](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29459780) www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29459780
- [113] Yang JS, Lee JC, Byeon SK, et al. Size dependent lipidomic analysis of urinary exosomes from patients with prostate cancer by flow field-flow fractionation and nanoflow liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Anal Chem. 2017;89(4):2488–2496. Available from: [http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.ana](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.analchem.6b04634) [lchem.6b04634](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.analchem.6b04634)
- [114] Agarwal K, Saji M, Lazaroff SM, et al. Analysis of exosome release as a cellular response to MAPK pathway inhibition. Langmuir. [2015;](#page-15-4)31(19):5440–5448. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25915504) [pubmed/25915504](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25915504)
- [115] Liu C, Guo J, Tian F, et al. Field-free isolation of exosomes from extracellular vesicles by microfluidic viscoelastic flows. ACS Nano. [2017](#page-15-4);11(7):6968–6976. Available from: [http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsnano.7b02277) [nano.7b02277](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsnano.7b02277)
- [116] Ibsen SD, Wright J, Lewis JM, et al. Rapid isolation and detection of exosomes and associated biomarkers from plasma. ACS Nano. [2017](#page-15-5);11(7):6641–6651. Available from: [http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsnano.7b00549) [nano.7b00549](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsnano.7b00549)
- [117] Lewis JM, Vyas AD, Qiu Y, et al. Integrated analysis of exosomal protein biomarkers on alternating current electrokinetic chips enables rapid detection of pancreatic cancer in patient blood. ACS Nano. [2018](#page-15-5);12 (4):3311–3320. Available from: [http://pubs.acs.org/](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsnano.7b08199) [doi/10.1021/acsnano.7b08199](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsnano.7b08199)
- [118] Lee K, Shao H, Weissleder R, et al. Acoustic purification of extracellular microvesicles. ACS Nano. [2015;](#page-15-6)9 (3):2321–2327. Available from: [http://pubs.acs.org/doi/](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/nn506538f) [10.1021/nn506538f](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/nn506538f)
- [119] Satzer P, Wellhoefer M, Jungbauer A. Continuous separation of protein loaded nanoparticles by simulated moving bed chromatography. J Chromatogr A. [2014](#page-15-1);1349:44–49. Available from: [http://linkinghub.](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0021967314006979) [elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0021967314006979](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0021967314006979)
- [120] Mol EA, Goumans M-J, Doevendans PA, et al. Higher functionality of extracellular vesicles isolated using size-exclusion chromatography compared to ultracentrifugation. Nanomedicine. [2017](#page-26-0);13 (6):2061–2065. Available from: [http://linkinghub.else](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1549963417300540) [vier.com/retrieve/pii/S1549963417300540](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1549963417300540)
- [121] de Menezes-Neto A, Sáez MJF, Lozano-Ramos I, et al. Size-exclusion chromatography as a stand-alone methodology identifies novel markers in mass spectrometry analyses of plasma-derived vesicles from healthy individuals. J Extracell Vesicles. [2015](#page-15-1);4:27378. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26154623>
- [122] Kosanović M, Milutinović B, Goč S, et al. Ionexchange chromatography purification of extracellular vesicles. Biotechniques. [2017;](#page-15-7)63(2):65–71. Available from: [https://www.future-science.com/doi/10.2144/](https://www.future-science.com/doi/10.2144/000114575) [000114575](https://www.future-science.com/doi/10.2144/000114575)
- [123] Heath N, Grant L, De Oliveira TM, et al. Rapid isolation and enrichment of extracellular vesicle preparations using anion exchange chromatography. Sci Rep. 2018;8 (1):5730. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29636530) [pubmed/29636530](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29636530)
- [124] Kim D, Nishida H, An SY, et al. Chromatographically isolated CD63 ⁺ CD81 ⁺ extracellular vesicles from

mesenchymal stromal cells rescue cognitive impairments after TBI. Proc Natl Acad Sci. [2016;](#page-15-7)113 (1):170–175. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26699510) [gov/pubmed/26699510](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26699510)

- [125] Merchant ML, Powell DW, Wilkey DW, et al. Microfiltration isolation of human urinary exosomes for characterization by MS. PROTEOMICS - Clin Appl. [2010](#page-15-7);4(1):84–96. Available from: [http://www.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21137018) [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21137018](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21137018)
- [126] Higginbotham JN, Zhang Q, Jeppesen DK, et al. Identification and characterization of EGF receptor in individual exosomes by fluorescence-activated vesicle sorting. J Extracell Vesicles. [2016](#page-15-8);5:29254. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27345057>
- [127] Groot Kormelink T, Arkesteijn GJA, Nauwelaers FA, et al. Prerequisites for the analysis and sorting of extracellular vesicle subpopulations by high-resolution flow cytometry. Cytometry A. [2016](#page-15-8);89(2):135–147. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25688721>
- [128] Atkin-Smith GK, Paone S, Zanker DJ, et al. Isolation of cell type-specific apoptotic bodies by fluorescence-activated cell sorting. Sci Rep. [2017;](#page-15-9)7:39846. Available from: [http://](http://www.nature.com/articles/srep39846) www.nature.com/articles/srep39846
- [129] Minciacchi VR, Spinelli C, Reis-Sobreiro M, et al. MYC mediates large oncosome-induced fibroblast reprogramming in prostate cancer. Cancer Res. [2017;](#page-15-10)77(9):2306–2317. Available from: [http://can](http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/lookup/doi/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-2942) [cerres.aacrjournals.org/lookup/doi/10.1158/0008-5472.](http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/lookup/doi/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-2942) [CAN-16-2942](http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/lookup/doi/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-2942)
- [130] Wunsch BH, Smith JT, Gifford SM, et al. Nanoscale lateral displacement arrays for the separation of exosomes and colloids down to 20 nm. Nat Nanotechnol. [2016](#page-15-11);11(11):936–940. Available from: [http://www.nat](http://www.nature.com/articles/nnano.2016.134) [ure.com/articles/nnano.2016.134](http://www.nature.com/articles/nnano.2016.134)
- [131] Echevarria J, Royo F, Pazos R, et al. Microarray-based identification of lectins for the purification of human urinary extracellular vesicles directly from urine samples. Chembiochem. [2014;](#page-15-12)15(11):1621–1626.
- [132] Ghosh A, Davey M, Chute IC, et al. Rapid isolation of extracellular vesicles from cell culture and biological fluids using a synthetic peptide with specific affinity for heat shock proteins. PLoS One. 2014;9(10): e110443. Available from: [http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/](http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0110443) [journal.pone.0110443](http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0110443)
- [133] Balaj L, Atai NA, Chen W, et al. Heparin affinity purification of extracellular vesicles. Sci Rep. 2015;5:10266. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25988257) [nih.gov/pubmed/25988257](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25988257)
- [134] Fang X, Duan Y, Adkins GB, et al. Highly efficient exosome isolation and protein analysis by an integrated nanomaterial-based platform. Anal Chem. 2018;90(4):2787–2795. Available from: [http://pubs.acs.](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b04861) [org/doi/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b04861](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b04861)
- [135] Sharma P, Ludwig S, Muller L, et al. Immunoaffinitybased isolation of melanoma cell-derived exosomes from plasma of patients with melanoma. J Extracell Vesicles. 2018;7(1):1435138. Available from: [https://](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2018.1435138) [www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2018.](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2018.1435138) [1435138](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2018.1435138)
- [136] Brett SI, Lucien F, Guo C, et al. Immunoaffinity based methods are superior to kits for purification of

prostate derived extracellular vesicles from plasma samples. Prostate. 2017;77(13):1335–1343.

- [137] Nakai W, Yoshida T, Diez D, et al. A novel affinity-based method for the isolation of highly purified extracellular vesicles. Sci Rep. 2016;6(1):33935. Available from: [http://www.nature.com/articles/](http://www.nature.com/articles/srep33935) [srep33935](http://www.nature.com/articles/srep33935)
- [138] Welton JL, Loveless S, Stone T, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid extracellular vesicle enrichment for protein biomarker discovery in neurological disease; multiple sclerosis. J Extracell Vesicles. [2017](#page-15-12);6(1):1369805. Available from: [https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1369805) [10.1080/20013078.2017.1369805](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1369805)
- [139] Lai RC, Tan SS, Yeo RWY, et al. MSC secretes at least 3 EV types each with a unique permutation of membrane lipid, protein and RNA. J Extracell Vesicles. [2016;](#page-15-13)5(1):29828. Available from: [https://www.tandfon](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v5.29828) [line.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v5.29828](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v5.29828)
- [140] Gallart-Palau X, Serra A, Wong ASW, et al. Extracellular vesicles are rapidly purified from human plasma by PRotein Organic Solvent PRecipitation (PROSPR). Sci Rep. [2015](#page-15-14);5(1):14664. Available from: <http://www.nature.com/articles/srep14664>
- [141] Shin H, Han C, Labuz JM, et al. High-yield isolation of extracellular vesicles using aqueous two-phase system. Sci Rep. 2015;5(1):13103. Available from: [http://www.](http://www.nature.com/articles/srep13103) [nature.com/articles/srep13103](http://www.nature.com/articles/srep13103)
- [142] Hurwitz SN, Nkosi D, Conlon MM, et al. CD63 regulates epstein-barr virus LMP1 exosomal packaging, enhancement of vesicle production, and noncanonical NF-κB signaling. J Virol. [2017;](#page-15-14)91(5):e02251–16. Available from: [http://jvi.asm.org/lookup/doi/10.1128/](http://jvi.asm.org/lookup/doi/10.1128/JVI.02251-16) [JVI.02251-16](http://jvi.asm.org/lookup/doi/10.1128/JVI.02251-16)
- [143] Musante L, Tataruch D, Gu D, et al. A simplified method to recover urinary vesicles for clinical applications, and sample banking. Sci Rep. [2014](#page-15-14);4(1):7532. Available from: [http://www.nature.com/articles/](http://www.nature.com/articles/srep07532) [srep07532](http://www.nature.com/articles/srep07532)
- [144] Sedykh SE, Purvinish LV, Monogarov AS, et al. Purified horse milk exosomes contain an unpredictable small number of major proteins. Biochim Open. [2017](#page-15-15);4:61–72. Available from: [http://linkinghub.else](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2214008517300056) [vier.com/retrieve/pii/S2214008517300056](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2214008517300056)
- [145] Contreras-Naranjo JC, Wu H-J, Ugaz VM Microfluidics for exosome isolation and analysis: enabling liquid biopsy for personalized medicine. Lab Chip. [2017](#page-15-16);17(21):3558–3577.
- [146] Wu M, Ouyang Y, Wang Z, et al. Isolation of exosomes from whole blood by integrating acoustics and microfluidics. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017;114 (40):10584–10589. Available from: [http://www.pnas.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1709210114) [org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1709210114](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1709210114)
- [147] Chen C, Skog J, Hsu CH, et al. Microfluidic isolation and transcriptome analysis of serum microvesicles. Lab Chip. 2010/02/04. 2010;10(4):505–511.
- [148] Liang L-G, Kong M-Q, Zhou S, et al. An integrated double-filtration microfluidic device for isolation, enrichment and quantification of urinary extracellular vesicles for detection of bladder cancer. Sci Rep. 2017;7:46224. Available from: [http://www.nature.com/](http://www.nature.com/articles/srep46224) [articles/srep46224](http://www.nature.com/articles/srep46224)
- [149] Shin S, Han D, Park MC, et al. Separation of extracellular nanovesicles and apoptotic bodies from cancer cell culture broth using tunable microfluidic systems. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):9907. Available from: [http://www.](http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-017-08826-w) [nature.com/articles/s41598-017-08826-w](http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-017-08826-w)
- [150] Yasui T, Yanagida T, Ito S, et al. Unveiling massive numbers of cancer-related urinary-microRNA candidates via nanowires. Sci Adv. 2017;3(12):e1701133. Available from: [http://advances.sciencemag.org/](http://advances.sciencemag.org/lookup/doi/10.1126/sciadv.1701133) [lookup/doi/10.1126/sciadv.1701133](http://advances.sciencemag.org/lookup/doi/10.1126/sciadv.1701133)
- [151] Zhao Z, Yang Y, Zeng Y, et al. A microfluidic exosearch chip for multiplexed exosome detection towards blood-based ovarian cancer diagnosis. Lab Chip. 2016;16(3):489–496.
- [152] Wang Z, Wu H, Fine D, et al. Ciliated micropillars for the microfluidic-based isolation of nanoscale lipid vesicles. Lab Chip. 2013;13(15):2879–2882.
- [153] Reátegui E, van der Vos KE, Lai CP, et al. Engineered nanointerfaces for microfluidic isolation and molecular profiling of tumor-specific extracellular vesicles. Nat Commun. [2018;](#page-15-16)9(1):175. Available from: [http://](http://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-017-02261-1) www.nature.com/articles/s41467-017-02261-1
- [154] Böing AN, van der Pol E, Grootemaat AE, et al. Singlestep isolation of extracellular vesicles by size-exclusion chromatography. J Extracell Vesicles. [2014;](#page-16-1)3:23430. Available from: [https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v3.23430) [10.3402/jev.v3.23430](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v3.23430)
- [155] Stranska R, Gysbrechts L, Wouters J, et al. Comparison of membrane affinity-based method with size-exclusion chromatography for isolation of exosome-like vesicles from human plasma. J Transl Med. [2018](#page-16-2);16(1):1. Available from: [https://translational-medicine.biomed](https://translational-medicine.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12967-017-1374-6) [central.com/articles/10.1186/s12967-017-1374-6](https://translational-medicine.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12967-017-1374-6)
- [156] Enderle D, Spiel A, Coticchia CM, et al. Characterization of RNA from exosomes and other extracellular vesicles isolated by a novel spin column-based method. PLoS One. [2015](#page-16-2);10(8): e0136133. Available from: [http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/](http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0136133) [journal.pone.0136133](http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0136133)
- [157] Jeppesen DK, Hvam ML, Primdahl-Bengtson B, et al. Comparative analysis of discrete exosome fractions obtained by differential centrifugation. J Extracell Vesicles. [2014](#page-16-3);3:25011. Available from: [http://www.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25396408) [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25396408](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25396408)
- [158] Livshits MA, Khomyakova E, Evtushenko EG, et al. Isolation of exosomes by differential centrifugation: theoretical analysis of a commonly used protocol. Sci Rep. [2015;](#page-16-4)5(1):17319. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26616523) [nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26616523](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26616523)
- [159] Jang SC, Kim OY, Yoon CM, et al. Bioinspired exosome-mimetic nanovesicles for targeted delivery of chemotherapeutics to malignant tumors. ACS Nano. [2013;](#page-16-5)7(9):7698–7710. Available from: [http://](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/nn402232g) pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/nn402232g
- [160] Li K, Wong DK, Hong KY, et al. Cushioned-density gradient ultracentrifugation (C-DGUC): a refined and high performance method for the isolation, characterization, and use of exosomes. Methods Mol Biol. [2018](#page-16-6);1740:69–83. Available from: [http://link.springer.](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4939-7652-2_7) [com/10.1007/978-1-4939-7652-2_7](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4939-7652-2_7)
- [161] Van Deun J, Mestdagh P, Agostinis P, et al. EV-TRACK: transparent reporting and centralizing

knowledge in extracellular vesicle research. Nat Methods. [2017](#page-15-17);14(3):228–232. Available from: [http://](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28245209) www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28245209

- [162] Mitchell JP, Court J, Mason MD, et al. Increased exosome production from tumour cell cultures using the integra celline culture system. J Immunol Meth. [2008;](#page-18-0)335(1–2):98–105. Available from: [http://](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022175908000926) linkinghub.elsevie[r.com/retrieve/pii/](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022175908000926) [S0022175908000926](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022175908000926)
- [163] Ortiz A, Sanchez-Niño MD, Sanz AB The meaning of urinary creatinine concentration. Kidney Int. [2011](#page-18-1);79 (7):791. Available from: [http://linkinghub.elsevier.](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0085253815548849) [com/retrieve/pii/S0085253815548849](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0085253815548849)
- [164] Cointe S, Judicone C, Robert S, et al. Standardization of microparticle enumeration across different flow cytometry platforms: results of a multicenter collaborative workshop. J Thromb Haemost. [2017](#page-18-2);15 (1):187–193.
- [165] Krishnan SR, Luk F, Brown RD, et al. Isolation of human CD138(+) microparticles from the plasma of patients with multiple myeloma. Neoplasia. 2016;18 (1):25–32. Available from: [http://linkinghub.elsevier.](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1476558615001566) [com/retrieve/pii/S1476558615001566](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1476558615001566)
- [166] McVey MJ, Spring CM, Semple JW, et al. Microparticles as biomarkers of lung disease: enumeration in biological fluids using lipid bilayer microspheres. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 2016;310(9):L802–14. Available from: [http://www.phy](http://www.physiology.org/doi/10.1152/ajplung.00369.2015) [siology.org/doi/10.1152/ajplung.00369.2015](http://www.physiology.org/doi/10.1152/ajplung.00369.2015)
- [167] Atkin-Smith GK, Tixeira R, Paone S, et al. A novel mechanism of generating extracellular vesicles during apoptosis via a beads-on-a-string membrane structure. Nat Commun. [2015;](#page-18-2)6:7439. Available from: [http://](http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/ncomms8439) www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/ncomms8439
- [168] van der Vlist EJ, Nolte-'T Hoen EN, Stoorvogel W, et al. Fluorescent labeling of nano-sized vesicles released by cells and subsequent quantitative and qualitative analysis by high-resolution flow cytometry. Nat Protoc. 2012/06/23. [2012](#page-18-3);7(7):1311–1326. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22722367>
- [169] van der Pol E, van Gemert MJ, Sturk A, et al. Single vs. swarm detection of microparticles and exosomes by flow cytometry. J Thromb Haemost. 2012/03/08. 2012;10(5):919–930. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22394434) [nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22394434](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22394434)
- [170] Pospichalova V, Svoboda J, Dave Z, et al. Simplified protocol for flow cytometry analysis of fluorescently labeled exosomes and microvesicles using dedicated flow cytometer. J Extracell Vesicles. 2015;4(1):25530. Available from: [https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v4.25530) [10.3402/jev.v4.25530](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v4.25530)
- [171] Tian Y, Ma L, Gong M, et al. Protein profiling and sizing of extracellular vesicles from colorectal cancer patients via flow cytometry. ACS Nano. 2018;12 (1):671–680. Available from: [http://pubs.acs.org/doi/](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsnano.7b07782) [10.1021/acsnano.7b07782](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsnano.7b07782)
- [172] McVey MJ, Spring CM, Kuebler WM. Improved resolution in extracellular vesicle populations using 405 instead of 488 nm side scatter. J Extracell Vesicles. 2018;7(1):1454776. Available from: [https://](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2018.1454776) [www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2018.1454776) [2018.1454776](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2018.1454776)
- [173] Nolan JP, Stoner SA. A trigger channel threshold artifact in nanoparticle analysis. Cytometry A. 2013;83 (3):301–305.
- [174] Arraud N, Linares R, Tan S, et al. Extracellular vesicles from blood plasma: determination of their morphology, size, phenotype and concentration. J Thromb Haemost. [2014](#page-18-4);12(5):614–627.
- [175] Arraud N, Gounou C, Linares R, et al. A simple flow cytometry method improves the detection of phosphatidylserine-exposing extracellular vesicles. J Thromb Haemost. 2015;13(2):237–247.
- [176] Maas SLN, de Vrij J, van der Vlist EJ, et al. Possibilities and limitations of current technologies for quantification of biological extracellular vesicles and synthetic mimics. J Control Release. [2015;](#page-18-3)200:87–96. Available from: [http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0168365914008384) [S0168365914008384](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0168365914008384)
- [177] de Vrij J, Maas SL, van Nispen M, et al. Quantification of nanosized extracellular membrane vesicles with scanning ion occlusion sensing. Nanomedicine (Lond). [2013.](#page-18-5) Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23384702) [nih.gov/pubmed/23384702](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23384702)
- [178] Obeid S, Ceroi A, Mourey G, et al. Development of a NanoBioAnalytical platform for on-chip qualification and quantification of platelet-derived microparticles. Biosens Bioelectron. [2017](#page-18-6);93:250–259. Available from: [https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0956566316308569) [S0956566316308569](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0956566316308569)
- [179] Libregts SFWM, Arkesteijn GJA, Németh A, et al. Flow cytometric analysis of extracellular vesicle subsets in plasma: impact of swarm by particles of non-interest. J Thromb Haemost. [2018](#page-18-7);16(7):1423–1436.
- [180] van der Pol E, Hoekstra AG, Sturk A, et al. Optical and non-optical methods for detection and characterization of microparticles and exosomes. J Thromb Haemost. [2010;](#page-18-8)8(12):2596–2607. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20880256>
- [181] Carnell-Morris P, Tannetta D, Siupa A, et al. Analysis of extracellular vesicles using fluorescence nanoparticle tracking analysis. Methods Mol Biol. [2017](#page-18-9);1660:153–173. Available from: [http://link.](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4939-7253-1_13) [springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4939-7253-1_13](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4939-7253-1_13)
- [182] Takov K, Yellon DM, Davidson SM Confounding factors in vesicle uptake studies using fluorescent lipophilic membrane dyes. J Extracell Vesicles. [2017;](#page-18-10)6 (1):1388731. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29184625) [gov/pubmed/29184625](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29184625)
- [183] van der Pol E, Coumans FAW, Grootemaat AE, et al. Particle size distribution of exosomes and microvesicles determined by transmission electron microscopy, flow cytometry, nanoparticle tracking analysis, and resistive pulse sensing. J Thromb Haemost. [2014](#page-18-11);12 (7):1182–1192. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24818656) [nih.gov/pubmed/24818656](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24818656)
- [184] Dragovic RA, Gardiner C, Brooks AS, et al. Sizing and phenotyping of cellular vesicles using nanoparticle tracking analysis. Nanomedicine. [2011](#page-18-12);7(6):780–788. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21601655) [pubmed/21601655](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21601655)
- [185] Gardiner C, Ferreira YJ, Dragovic RA, et al. Extracellular vesicle sizing and enumeration by

nanoparticle tracking analysis. J Extracell Vesicles. [2013;](#page-18-13)2:19671. Available from:

- [186] Osteikoetxea X, Balogh A, Szabó-Taylor K, et al. Improved characterization of EV preparations based on protein to lipid ratio and lipid properties. PLoS One. [2015](#page-18-14);10(3):e0121184. Available from: [http://dx.](http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0121184) [plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0121184](http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0121184)
- [187] Benmoussa A, Ly S, Shan ST, et al. A subset of extracellular vesicles carries the bulk of microRNAs in commercial dairy cow's milk. J Extracell Vesicles. [2017](#page-18-15);6(1):1401897. Available from: [https://www.tand](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1401897) [fonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1401897](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1401897)
- [188] Mihály J, Deák R, Szigyártó IC, et al. Characterization of extracellular vesicles by IR spectroscopy: fast and simple classification based on amide and CH stretching vibrations. Biochim Biophys Acta. [2017](#page-18-16);1859 (3):459–466. Available from: [http://linkinghub.else](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S000527361630390X) [vier.com/retrieve/pii/S000527361630390X](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S000527361630390X)
- [189] Turchinovich A, Weiz L, Langheinz A, et al. Characterization of extracellular circulating microRNA. Nucleic Acids Res. [2011](#page-18-17);39(16):7223–7233. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21609964>
- [190] Arroyo JD, Chevillet JR, Kroh EM, et al. Argonaute2 complexes carry a population of circulating microRNAs independent of vesicles in human plasma. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. [2011;](#page-18-17)108(12):5003–5008. Available from: [http://](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21383194) www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21383194
- [191] Vickers KC, Palmisano BT, Shoucri BM, et al. MicroRNAs are transported in plasma and delivered to recipient cells by high-density lipoproteins. Nat Cell Biol. [2011](#page-18-18);13(4):423–433. Available from: [http://www.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21423178) [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21423178](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21423178)
- [192] Duijvesz D, Versluis CYL, van der Fels CAM, et al. Immuno-based detection of extracellular vesicles in urine as diagnostic marker for prostate cancer. Int J Cancer. [2015](#page-18-19);137(12):2869–2878.
- [193] Suárez H, Gámez-Valero A, Reyes R, et al. A bead-assisted flow cytometry method for the semi-quantitative analysis of extracellular vesicles. Sci Rep. [2017](#page-18-20);7(1):11271. Available from: [http://www.nat](http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-017-11249-2) [ure.com/articles/s41598-017-11249-2](http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-017-11249-2)
- [194] Koliha N, Wiencek Y, Heider U, et al. A novel multiplex bead-based platform highlights the diversity of extracellular vesicles. J Extracell Vesicles. [2016;](#page-18-20)5:29975. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26901056) [nih.gov/pubmed/26901056](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26901056)
- [195] Xia Y, Liu M, Wang L, et al. A visible and colorimetric aptasensor based on DNA-capped single-walled carbon nanotubes for detection of exosomes. Biosens Bioelectron. [2017](#page-18-21);92:8–15. Available from: [http://linkin](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0956566317300635) [ghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0956566317300635](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0956566317300635)
- [196] Liang K, Liu F, Fan J, et al. Nanoplasmonic quantification of tumor-derived extracellular vesicles in plasma microsamples for diagnosis and treatment monitoring. Nat Biomed Eng. [2017](#page-18-6);1(4):0021. Available from: <http://www.nature.com/articles/s41551-016-0021>
- [197] Rupert DLM, Lässer C, Eldh M, et al. Determination of exosome concentration in solution using surface plasmon resonance spectroscopy. Anal Chem. [2014](#page-18-6);86 (12):5929–5936. Available from: [http://pubs.acs.org/](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/ac500931f) [doi/10.1021/ac500931f](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/ac500931f)
- [198] Webber J, Clayton A How pure are your vesicles? J Extracell Vesicles. [2013;](#page-19-0)2:19861. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24009896>
- [199] Maiolo D, Paolini L, Di Noto G, et al. Colorimetric nanoplasmonic assay to determine purity and titrate
extracellular vesicles. Anal Chem. 2015:87 extracellular vesicles. Anal Chem. [2015](#page-19-1);87 (8):4168–4176. Available from: [http://pubs.acs.org/](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ac504861d) [doi/abs/10.1021/ac504861d](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ac504861d)
- [200] Lai RC, Arslan F, Lee MM, et al. Exosome secreted by MSC reduces myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury. Stem Cell Res. [2010;](#page-19-2)4(3):214–222.
- [201] Cvjetkovic A, Lotvall J, Lasser C The influence of rotor type and centrifugation time on the yield and purity of extracellular vesicles. J Extracell Vesicles. [2014](#page-16-3);3:23111. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24678386) [24678386](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24678386)
- [202] Valkonen S, van der Pol E, Böing A, et al. Biological reference materials for extracellular vesicle studies. Eur J Pharm Sci. [2017](#page-19-3);98:4–16. Available from: [http://lin](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0928098716303578) [kinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0928098716303578](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0928098716303578)
- [203] Minciacchi VR, You S, Spinelli C, et al. Large oncosomes contain distinct protein cargo and represent a separate functional class of tumor-derived extracellular vesicles. Oncotarget. [2015](#page-19-4);6(13):11327–11341. Available from: <http://www.oncotarget.com/fulltext/3598>
- [204] Keerthikumar S, Gangoda L, Liem M, et al. Proteogenomic analysis reveals exosomes are more oncogenic than ectosomes. Oncotarget. [2015](#page-19-5);6 (17):15375–15396. Available from: [http://www.oncotar](http://www.oncotarget.com/fulltext/3801) [get.com/fulltext/3801](http://www.oncotarget.com/fulltext/3801)
- [205] Haraszti RA, Didiot M-C, Sapp E, et al. Highresolution proteomic and lipidomic analysis of exosomes and microvesicles from different cell sources. J Extracell Vesicles. [2016](#page-19-5);5(1):32570. Available from: [https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v5.](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v5.32570) [32570](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v5.32570)
- [206] Clark DJ, Fondrie WE, Liao Z, et al. Redefining the breast cancer exosome proteome by tandem mass tag quantitative proteomics and multivariate cluster analysis. Anal Chem. [2015;](#page-19-5)87(20):10462–10469. Available from: [http://](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b02586) pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b02586
- [207] Durcin M, Fleury A, Taillebois E, et al. Characterisation of adipocyte-derived extracellular vesicle subtypes identifies distinct protein and lipid signatures for large and small extracellular vesicles. J Extracell Vesicles. [2017](#page-19-6);6 (1):1305677. Available from: [https://www.tandfonline.](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1305677) [com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1305677](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1305677)
- [208] Kowal J, Arras G, Colombo M, et al. Proteomic comparison defines novel markers to characterize heterogeneous populations of extracellular vesicle subtypes. Proc Natl Acad Sci. [2016](#page-19-7);113(8):E968–77. Available from: [http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1521230113) [1521230113](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1521230113)
- [209] Xu R, Greening DW, Rai A, et al. Highly-purified exosomes and shed microvesicles isolated from the human colon cancer cell line LIM1863 by sequential centrifugal ultrafiltration are biochemically and functionally distinct. Methods. [2015](#page-19-8);87:11–25. Available from: [http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1046202315001541) [S1046202315001541](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1046202315001541)
- [210] Willms E, Johansson HJ, Mäger I, et al. Cells release subpopulations of exosomes with distinct molecular

and biological properties. Sci Rep. [2016](#page-19-9);6(1):22519. Available from: [http://www.nature.com/articles/](http://www.nature.com/articles/srep22519) [srep22519](http://www.nature.com/articles/srep22519)

- [211] Meehan B, Rak J, Di Vizio D Oncosomes - large and small: what are they, where they came from? J Extracell Vesicles. [2016](#page-20-0);5:33109. Available from: [http://www.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27680302) [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27680302](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27680302)
- [212] Sódar BW, Kittel Á, Pálóczi K, et al. Low-density lipoprotein mimics blood plasma-derived exosomes and microvesicles during isolation and detection. Sci Rep. [2016](#page-20-1);6:24316. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27087061) [nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27087061](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27087061)
- [213] Karimi N, Cvjetkovic A, Jang SC, et al. Detailed analysis of the plasma extracellular vesicle proteome after separation from lipoproteins. Cell Mol Life Sci. [2018;](#page-16-6)75(15):2873–2886. Available from: [http://link.](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00018-018-2773-4) [springer.com/10.1007/s00018-018-2773-4](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00018-018-2773-4)
- [214] Østergaard O, Nielsen CT, Iversen LV, et al. Quantitative proteome profiling of normal human circulating microparticles. J Proteome Res. [2012](#page-20-2);11 (4):2154–2163. Available from: [http://pubs.acs.org/](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/pr200901p) [doi/10.1021/pr200901p](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/pr200901p)
- [215] Musante L, Saraswat M, Duriez E, et al. Biochemical and physical characterisation of urinary nanovesicles following CHAPS treatment. PLoS One. [2012](#page-20-3);7(7): e37279. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22808001) [pubmed/22808001](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22808001)
- [216] Van Deun J, Mestdagh P, Sormunen R, et al. The impact of disparate isolation methods for extracellular vesicles on downstream RNA profiling. J Extracell Vesicles. [2014](#page-22-0);3. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25317274) [nih.gov/pubmed/25317274](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25317274)
- [217] McKenzie AJ, Hoshino D, Hong NH, et al. KRAS-MEK Signaling Controls Ago2 Sorting into Exosomes. Cell Rep. [2016](#page-22-1);15(5):978–987. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27117408>
- [218] Melo SAA, Sugimoto H, O'Connell JT, et al. Cancer exosomes perform cell-independent microRNA biogenesis and promote tumorigenesis. Cancer Cell. [2014;](#page-22-1)26(5):707–721. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25446899) [nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25446899](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25446899)
- [219] Buck AH, Coakley G, Simbari F, et al. Exosomes secreted by nematode parasites transfer small RNAs to mammalian cells and modulate innate immunity. Nat Commun. [2014;](#page-22-1)5(1):5488. Available from: [http://](http://www.nature.com/articles/ncomms6488) www.nature.com/articles/ncomms6488
- [220] Tkach M, Kowal J, Zucchetti AE, et al. Qualitative differences in T-cell activation by dendritic cell-derived extracellular vesicle subtypes. Embo J. [2017;](#page-22-2)36(20):3012–3028. Available from: [http://emboj.](http://emboj.embopress.org/lookup/doi/10.15252/embj.201696003) [embopress.org/lookup/doi/10.15252/embj.201696003](http://emboj.embopress.org/lookup/doi/10.15252/embj.201696003)
- [221] Jorgensen MM, Baek R, Varming K Potentials and capabilities of the Extracellular Vesicle (EV) Array. J Extracell Vesicles. [2015](#page-22-3);4:26048. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25862471>
- [222] Gool EL, Stojanovic I, Schasfoort RBM, et al. Surface plasmon resonance is an analytically sensitive method for antigen profiling of extracellular vesicles. Clin Chem. [2017](#page-22-4);63(10):1633–1641. Available from: [http://www.clin](http://www.clinchem.org/lookup/doi/10.1373/clinchem.2016.271049) [chem.org/lookup/doi/10.1373/clinchem.2016.271049](http://www.clinchem.org/lookup/doi/10.1373/clinchem.2016.271049)
- [223] Zhu L, Wang K, Cui J, et al. Label-free quantitative detection of tumor-derived exosomes through surface

plasmon resonance imaging. Anal Chem. [2014](#page-22-4);86 (17):8857–8864. Available from: [http://pubs.acs.org/](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/ac5023056) [doi/10.1021/ac5023056](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/ac5023056)

- [224] Shao H, Im H, Castro CM, et al. New technologies for analysis of extracellular vesicles. Chem Rev. [2018;](#page-22-5)118 (4):1917–1950. Available from: [http://pubs.acs.org/doi/](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00534) [10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00534](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00534)
- [225] Skotland T, Sandvig K, Llorente A Lipids in exosomes: current knowledge and the way forward. Prog Lipid Res. [2017](#page-22-6);66:30–41. Available from: [http://linkinghub.](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0163782716300492) [elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0163782716300492](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0163782716300492)
- [226] Record M, Carayon K, Poirot M, et al. Exosomes as new vesicular lipid transporters involved in cell-cell communication and various pathophysiologies. Biochim Biophys Acta. [2014](#page-22-7);1841(1):108–120. Available from: [http://linkin](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1388198113002199) [ghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1388198113002199](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1388198113002199)
- [227] Nielsen MH, Beck-Nielsen H, Andersen MN, et al. A flow cytometric method for characterization of circulating cell-derived microparticles in plasma. J Extracell Vesicles. [2014](#page-22-8);3(1):20795. Available from: [https://www.](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v3.20795) [tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v3.20795](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v3.20795)
- [228] de Gassart A, Geminard C, Fevrier B, et al. Lipid raft-associated protein sorting in exosomes. Blood. [2003](#page-22-9);102(13):4336–4344. Available from: [http://www.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12881314) [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12881314](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12881314)
- [229] Gualerzi A, Niada S, Giannasi C, et al. Raman spectroscopy uncovers biochemical tissue-related features of extracellular vesicles from mesenchymal stromal cells. Sci Rep. [2017](#page-22-10);7(1):9820. Available from: [http://www.](http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-017-10448-1) [nature.com/articles/s41598-017-10448-1](http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-017-10448-1)
- [230] Neri T, Lombardi S, Faìta F, et al. Pirfenidone inhibits p38-mediated generation of procoagulant microparticles by human alveolar epithelial cells. Pulm Pharmacol Ther. [2016;](#page-22-11)39:1–6. Available from: [http://](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27237042) www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27237042
- [231] de Rond L, van der Pol E, Hau CM, et al. Comparison of generic fluorescent markers for detection of extracellular vesicles by flow cytometry. Clin Chem. [2018](#page-22-12);64 (4):680–689. Available from: [http://www.clinchem.org/](http://www.clinchem.org/lookup/doi/10.1373/clinchem.2017.278978) [lookup/doi/10.1373/clinchem.2017.278978](http://www.clinchem.org/lookup/doi/10.1373/clinchem.2017.278978)
- [232] Ullal AJ, Pisetsky DS, Reich CF. Use of SYTO 13, a fluorescent dye binding nucleic acids, for the detection of microparticles in in vitro systems. Cytometry A. [2010](#page-23-0);77(3):294–301.
- [233] Sansone P, Savini C, Kurelac I, et al. Packaging and transfer of mitochondrial DNA via exosomes regulate escape from dormancy in hormonal therapy-resistant breast cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. [2017](#page-23-1);114(43): E9066–75. Available from: [http://www.pnas.org/](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1704862114) [lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1704862114](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1704862114)
- [234] Crescitelli R, Lässer C, Szabó TG, et al. Distinct RNA profiles in subpopulations of extracellular vesicles: apoptotic bodies, microvesicles and exosomes. J Extracell Vesicles. [2013](#page-23-1);2(1):20677. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24223256>
- [235] Nolte-'t Hoen EN, Buermans HP, Waasdorp M, et al. Deep sequencing of RNA from immune cell-derived vesicles uncovers the selective incorporation of small non-coding RNA biotypes with potential regulatory functions. Nucleic Acids Res. [2012.](#page-23-2) Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22821563) [pubmed/22821563](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22821563)
- [236] Villarroya-Beltri C, Gutierrez-Vazquez C, Sanchez-Cabo F, et al. Sumoylated hnRNPA2B1 controls the sorting of miRNAs into exosomes through binding to specific motifs. Nat Commun. 2013;4:2980. [2013/12/ 21]. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24356509) [pubmed/24356509](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24356509)
- [237] Vojtech L, Woo S, Hughes S, et al. Exosomes in human semen carry a distinctive repertoire of small non-coding RNAs with potential regulatory functions. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42(11):7290–7304. Available from: [https://academic.oup.com/nar/article](https://academic.oup.com/nar/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/nar/gku347)[lookup/doi/10.1093/nar/gku347](https://academic.oup.com/nar/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/nar/gku347)
- [238] Tosar JP, Gambaro F, Sanguinetti J, et al. Assessment of small RNA sorting into different extracellular fractions revealed by high-throughput sequencing of breast cell lines. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43 (11):5601–5616. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25940616) [nih.gov/pubmed/25940616](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25940616)
- [239] van Balkom BWM, Eisele AS, Pegtel DM, et al. Quantitative and qualitative analysis of small RNAs in human endothelial cells and exosomes provides insights into localized RNA processing, degradation and sorting. J Extracell Vesicles. 2015;4(1):26760. Available from: [https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v4.26760) [10.3402/jev.v4.26760](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v4.26760)
- [240] Li K, Rodosthenous RS, Kashanchi F, et al. Advances, challenges, and opportunities in extracellular RNA biology: insights from the NIH exRNA strategic workshop. JCI Insight. 2018;3(7). Available from: <https://insight.jci.org/articles/view/98942>
- [241] Chen M, Xu R, Ji H, et al. Transcriptome and long noncoding RNA sequencing of three extracellular vesicle subtypes released from the human colon cancer LIM1863 cell line. Sci Rep. [2016;](#page-23-2)6(1):38397. Available from: <http://www.nature.com/articles/srep38397>
- [242] Lai CP, Kim EY, Badr CE., et al. Visualization and tracking of tumour extracellular vesicle delivery and RNA translation using multiplexed reporters. Nat Commun. [2015](#page-23-3);6(May):7029.
- [243] Ter-Ovanesyan D, Kowal EJK, Regev A, et al. Imaging of isolated extracellular vesicles using fluorescence microscopy. Methods Mol Biol. [2017](#page-23-4);1660:233–241. Available from: [http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4939-7253-1_19) [1-4939-7253-1_19](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4939-7253-1_19)
- [244] Wu Y, Deng W, Klinke DJ. Exosomes: improved methods to characterize their morphology, RNA content, and surface protein biomarkers. Analyst. [2015](#page-23-5);140(19):6631–6642. Available from: [http://www.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26332016) [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26332016](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26332016)
- [245] Linares R, Tan S, Gounou C, et al. High-speed centrifugation induces aggregation of extracellular vesicles. J Extracell Vesicles. [2015;](#page-23-6)4(0):29509. Available from: [http://www.journalofextracellularvesicles.net/index.](http://www.journalofextracellularvesicles.net/index.php/jev/article/view/29509) [php/jev/article/view/29509](http://www.journalofextracellularvesicles.net/index.php/jev/article/view/29509)
- [246] Höög JL, Lötvall J Diversity of extracellular vesicles in human ejaculates revealed by cryo-electron microscopy. J Extracell Vesicles. [2015](#page-23-6);4:28680. Available from: [http://](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26563734) www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26563734
- [247] Sharma S, Rasool HI, Palanisamy V, et al. Structuralmechanical characterization of nanoparticle exosomes in human saliva, using correlative AFM, FESEM, and force spectroscopy. ACS Nano. [2010;](#page-23-7)4(4):1921–1926.

Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20218655) [20218655](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20218655)

- [248] Treps L, Perret R, Edmond S, et al. Glioblastoma stem-like cells secrete the pro-angiogenic VEGF-A factor in extracellular vesicles. J Extracell Vesicles. [2017](#page-23-8);6(1):1359479. Available from: [https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1359479) [1080/20013078.2017.1359479](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1359479)
- [249] Chen C, Zong S, Wang Z, et al. Imaging and intracellular tracking of cancer-derived exosomes using single-molecule localization-based super-resolution microscope. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. [2016](#page-23-8);8 (39):25825–25833. Available from: [http://pubs.acs.org/](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsami.6b09442) [doi/10.1021/acsami.6b09442](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsami.6b09442)
- [250] Mehdiani A, Maier A, Pinto A, et al. An innovative method for exosome quantification and size measurement. J Vis Exp. [2015](#page-23-9);95:50974. Available from: [http://www.jove.com/video/50974/an-innovative](http://www.jove.com/video/50974/an-innovative-method-for-exosome-quantification-and-size-measurement) [-method-for-exosome-quantification-and-size](http://www.jove.com/video/50974/an-innovative-method-for-exosome-quantification-and-size-measurement)[measurement](http://www.jove.com/video/50974/an-innovative-method-for-exosome-quantification-and-size-measurement)
- [251] Tatischeff I, Larquet E, Falcón-Pérez JM, et al. Fast characterisation of cell-derived extracellular vesicles by nanoparticles tracking analysis, cryo-electron microscopy, and Raman tweezers microspectroscopy. J Extracell Vesicles. [2012](#page-23-10);1(1):19179. Available from: [https://www.tandfon](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v1i0.19179) [line.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v1i0.19179](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v1i0.19179)
- [252] Carney RP, Hazari S, Colquhoun M, et al. Multispectral optical tweezers for biochemical fingerprinting of CD9-positive exosome subpopulations. Anal Chem. 2017;89(10):5357–5363. Available from: <http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b00017>
- [253] Smith ZJ, Lee C, Rojalin T, et al. Single exosome study reveals subpopulations distributed among cell lines with variability related to membrane content. J Extracell Vesicles. [2015](#page-23-10);4(1):28533. Available from: [https://www.](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v4.28533) [tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v4.28533](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v4.28533)
- [254] Stoner SA, Duggan E, Condello D, et al. High sensitivity flow cytometry of membrane vesicles. Cytom Part A. 2016;89(2):196–206. Available from: [http://](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26484737) www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26484737
- [255] Nolan JP, Jones JC. Detection of platelet vesicles by flow cytometry. Platelets. [2017;](#page-23-11)28(3):256–262. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28277059>
- [256] Sitar S, Kejžar A, Pahovnik D, et al. Size characterization and quantification of exosomes by asymmetrical-flow field-flow fractionation. Anal Chem. [2015;](#page-23-12)87 (18):9225–9233. Available from: [http://pubs.acs.org/doi/](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b01636) [10.1021/acs.analchem.5b01636](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b01636)
- [257] Heusermann W, Hean J, Trojer D, et al. Exosomes surf on filopodia to enter cells at endocytic hot spots, traffic within endosomes, and are targeted to the ER. J Cell Biol. [2016](#page-23-13);213(2):173–184. Available from: [http://www.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27114500) [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27114500](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27114500)
- [258] Wyss R, Grasso L, Wolf C, et al. Molecular and dimensional profiling of highly purified extracellular vesicles by fluorescence fluctuation spectroscopy. Anal Chem. 2014;86(15):7229–7233. Available from: [http://pubs.](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/ac501801m) [acs.org/doi/10.1021/ac501801m](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/ac501801m)
- [259] Baietti MF, Zhang Z, Mortier E, et al. Syndecan–syntenin–ALIX regulates the biogenesis of exosomes. Nat Cell Biol. [2012](#page-23-13);14(7):677–685.
- [260] Erdbrügger U, Rudy CK, Etter ME, et al. Imaging flow cytometry elucidates limitations of microparticle

analysis by conventional flow cytometry. Cytometry A. [2014;](#page-23-14)85(9):756–770.

- [261] Headland SE, Jones HR, Asv D, et al. Cutting-edge analysis of extracellular microparticles using ImageStream(X) imaging flow cytometry. Sci Rep. [2014;](#page-23-14)4(1):5237. Available from: [http://www.nature.](http://www.nature.com/articles/srep05237) [com/articles/srep05237](http://www.nature.com/articles/srep05237)
- [262] Lee K, Fraser K, Ghaddar B, et al. Multiplexed profiling of single extracellular vesicles. ACS Nano. [2018](#page-23-15);12 (1):494–503. Available from: [http://pubs.acs.org/doi/](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsnano.7b07060) [10.1021/acsnano.7b07060](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsnano.7b07060)
- [263] Daaboul GG, Lopez CA, Yurt A, et al. Label-free optical biosensors for virus detection and characterization. IEEE J Sel Top Quantum Electron. [2012;](#page-23-16)18(4):1422–1433.
- [264] Daaboul GG, Freedman DS, Scherr SM, et al. Enhanced light microscopy visualization of virus particles from Zika virus to filamentous ebolaviruses. PLoS One. [2017;](#page-23-16)12(6):e0179728.
- [265] van der Pol E, Sturk A, van Leeuwen T, et al., ISTH-SSC-VB Working group. Standardization of extracellular vesicle measurements by flow cytometry through vesicle diameter approximation. J Thromb Haemost. [2018;](#page-24-0)16(6):1236–1245.
- [266] Cvjetkovic A, Jang SC, Konečná B, et al. Detailed analysis of protein topology of extracellular vesicles-evidence of unconventional membrane protein orientation. Sci Rep. [2016](#page-24-1);6(1):36338. Available from: <http://www.nature.com/articles/srep36338>
- [267] Deregibus MC, Cantaluppi V, Calogero R, et al. Endothelial progenitor cell derived microvesicles activate an angiogenic program in endothelial cells by a horizontal transfer of mRNA. Blood. [2007;](#page-24-2)110 (7):2440–2448. Available from: [http://www.bloodjour](http://www.bloodjournal.org/cgi/doi/10.1182/blood-2007-03-078709) [nal.org/cgi/doi/10.1182/blood-2007-03-078709](http://www.bloodjournal.org/cgi/doi/10.1182/blood-2007-03-078709)
- [268] Sharma A, Mariappan M, Appathurai S, et al. In vitro dissection of protein translocation into the mammalian endoplasmic reticulum. Methods Mol Biol. [2010;](#page-24-3)619:339–363. Available from: [http://link.](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-60327-412-8_20) [springer.com/10.1007/978-1-60327-412-8_20](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-60327-412-8_20)
- [269] Sung BH, Weaver AM. Exosome secretion promotes chemotaxis of cancer cells. Cell Adh Migr. [2017](#page-24-2);11 (2):187–195. Available from: [https://www.tandfonline.](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/19336918.2016.1273307) [com/doi/full/10.1080/19336918.2016.1273307](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/19336918.2016.1273307)
- [270] Osteikoetxea X, Sódar B, Németh A, et al. Differential detergent sensitivity of extracellular vesicle
subpopulations. Org Biomol Chem. 2015:13 subpopulations. Org Biomol Chem. (38):9775–9782. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26264754) [nih.gov/pubmed/26264754](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26264754)
- [271] Parolini I, Federici C, Raggi C, et al. Microenvironmental pH is a key factor for exosome traffic in tumor cells. J Biol Chem. 2009;284 (49):34211–34222. Available from: [http://www.jbc.](http://www.jbc.org/lookup/doi/10.1074/jbc.M109.041152) [org/lookup/doi/10.1074/jbc.M109.041152](http://www.jbc.org/lookup/doi/10.1074/jbc.M109.041152)
- [272] Franzen CA, Simms PE, Van Huis AF, et al. Characterization of uptake and internalization of exosomes by bladder cancer cells. Biomed Res Int. [2014](#page-25-2);2014:619829. Available from: [http://www.hin](http://www.hindawi.com/journals/bmri/2014/619829/) [dawi.com/journals/bmri/2014/619829/](http://www.hindawi.com/journals/bmri/2014/619829/)
- [273] Christianson HC, Svensson KJ, van Kuppevelt TH, et al. Cancer cell exosomes depend on cell-surface heparan sulfate proteoglycans for their internalization

and functional activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110(43):17380–17385. Available from: [http://](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1304266110) www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1304266110

- [274] Mulcahy LA, Pink RC, Carter DRF. Routes and mechanisms of extracellular vesicle uptake. J Extracell Vesicles. [2014](#page-25-2);3:24641. Available from: [https://www.](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v3.24641) [tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v3.24641](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3402/jev.v3.24641)
- [275] Wahlgren J, Karlson TDL, Glader P, et al. Activated human T cells secrete exosomes that participate in IL-2 mediated immune response signaling. PLoS One. [2012](#page-25-2);7 (11):e49723. Available from: [http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/](http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0049723) [journal.pone.0049723](http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0049723)
- [276] Szabó GT, Tarr B, Pálóczi K, et al. Critical role of extracellular vesicles in modulating the cellular effects of cytokines. Cell Mol Life Sci. [2014;](#page-27-0)71(20):4055–4067. Available from: [http://link.springer.com/10.1007/](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00018-014-1618-z) [s00018-014-1618-z](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00018-014-1618-z)
- [277] Gámez-Valero A, Monguió-Tortajada M, Carreras-Planella L, et al. Size-exclusion chromatographybased isolation minimally alters extracellular vesicles' characteristics compared to precipitating agents. Sci Rep. [2016](#page-27-1);6(1):33641. Available from: [http://www.nat](http://www.nature.com/articles/srep33641) [ure.com/articles/srep33641](http://www.nature.com/articles/srep33641)
- [278] Paolini L, Zendrini A, Di Noto G, et al. Residual matrix from different separation techniques impacts exosome biological activity. Sci Rep. [2016;](#page-16-7)6(1):23550. Available from: [http://www.nature.com/articles/](http://www.nature.com/articles/srep23550) [srep23550](http://www.nature.com/articles/srep23550)
- [279] Gyorgy B, Modos K, Pallinger E, et al. Detection and isolation of cell-derived microparticles are compromised by protein complexes resulting from shared biophysical parameters. Blood. [2011](#page-27-2);117(4):e39–48. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21041717) [pubmed/21041717](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21041717)
- [280] Benedikter BJ, Bouwman FG, Vajen T, et al. Ultrafiltration combined with size exclusion chromatography efficiently isolates extracellular vesicles from cell culture media for compositional and functional studies. Sci Rep. [2017](#page-28-1);7(1):15297. Available from: <http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-017-15717-7>
- [281] Trajkovic K, Hsu C, Chiantia S, et al. Ceramide triggers budding of exosome vesicles into multivesicular endosomes. Science. [2008](#page-28-2);319(5867):1244–1247. Available from: [http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/](http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/science.1153124) [10.1126/science.1153124](http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/science.1153124)
- [282] Figuera-Losada M, Stathis M, Dorskind JM, et al. Cambinol, a novel inhibitor of neutral sphingomyelinase 2 shows neuroprotective properties. PLoS One. 2015;10(5):e0124481. Available from: [http://dx.plos.](http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0124481) [org/10.1371/journal.pone.0124481](http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0124481)
- [283] Dinkins MB, Enasko J, Hernandez C, et al. Neutral sphingomyelinase-2 deficiency ameliorates alzheimer's disease pathology and improves cognition in the 5XFAD mouse. J Neurosci. 2016;36(33):8653–8667. Available from: [http://www.jneurosci.org/lookup/doi/](http://www.jneurosci.org/lookup/doi/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1429-16.2016) [10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1429-16.2016](http://www.jneurosci.org/lookup/doi/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1429-16.2016)
- [284] Cruz FF, Borg ZD, Goodwin M, et al. Systemic administration of human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cell extracellular vesicles ameliorates aspergillus hyphal extract-induced allergic airway inflammation in immunocompetent mice. Stem Cells Transl Med. [2015;](#page-28-3)4(11):1302–1316.
- [285] Villarroya-Beltri C, Baixauli F, Mittelbrunn M, et al. ISGylation controls exosome secretion by promoting lysosomal degradation of MVB proteins. Nat Commun. 2016;7:13588. Available from: [http://www.](http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/ncomms13588) [nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/ncomms13588](http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/ncomms13588)
- [286] Savina A, Vidal M, Colombo MI. The exosome pathway in K562 cells is regulated by Rab11. J Cell Sci. [2002;](#page-28-4)115(Pt 12):2505–2515. Available from: [http://](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12045221) www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12045221
- [287] Ostrowski M, Carmo NB, Krumeich S, et al. Rab27a and Rab27b control different steps of the exosome secretion pathway. Nat Cell Biol. 2010;12(1):13–19. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19966785) [pubmed/19966785](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19966785)
- [288] Hsu C, Morohashi Y, Yoshimura S-I, et al. Regulation of exosome secretion by Rab35 and its GTPase-activating proteins TBC1D10A-C. J Cell Biol. [2010;](#page-28-4)189(2):223–232. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20404108) [nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20404108](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20404108)
- [289] Hyenne V, Apaydin A, Rodriguez D, et al. RAL-1 controls multivesicular body biogenesis and exosome secretion. J Cell Biol. [2015](#page-28-4);211(1):27–37. Available from: [http://www.jcb.org/lookup/doi/10.1083/jcb.](http://www.jcb.org/lookup/doi/10.1083/jcb.201504136) [201504136](http://www.jcb.org/lookup/doi/10.1083/jcb.201504136)
- [290] Gross JC, Chaudhary V, Bartscherer K, et al. Active Wnt proteins are secreted on exosomes. Nat Cell Biol. [2012](#page-28-5);14(10):1036–1045. Available from: [http://www.](http://www.nature.com/articles/ncb2574) [nature.com/articles/ncb2574](http://www.nature.com/articles/ncb2574)
- [291] Imjeti NS, Menck K, Egea-Jimenez AL, et al. Syntenin mediates SRC function in exosomal cell-to-cell communication. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. [2017;](#page-28-6)114 (47):12495–12500. Available from: [http://www.pnas.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1713433114) [org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1713433114](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1713433114)
- [292] Sinha S, Hoshino D, Hong NH, et al. Cortactin promotes exosome secretion by controlling branched actin dynamics. J Cell Biol. [2016](#page-28-7);214(2):197–213. Available from: [http://www.jcb.org/lookup/doi/10.1083/jcb.](http://www.jcb.org/lookup/doi/10.1083/jcb.201601025) [201601025](http://www.jcb.org/lookup/doi/10.1083/jcb.201601025)
- [293] Jackson CE, Scruggs BS, Schaffer JE, et al. Effects of inhibiting VPS4 support a general role for ESCRTs in extracellular vesicle biogenesis. Biophys J. [2017;](#page-28-7)113 (6):1342–1352. Available from: [http://linkinghub.else](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0006349517305714) [vier.com/retrieve/pii/S0006349517305714](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0006349517305714)
- [294] Chalmin F, Ladoire S, Mignot G, et al. Membraneassociated Hsp72 from tumor-derived exosomes mediates STAT3-dependent immunosuppressive function of mouse and human myeloid-derived suppressor cells. J Clin Invest. [2010](#page-28-8);120(2):457–471. Available from: <http://www.jci.org/articles/view/40483>
- [295] Montecalvo A, Larregina AT, Shufesky WJ, et al. Mechanism of transfer of functional microRNAs between mouse dendritic cells via exosomes. Blood. [2012](#page-28-9);119(3):756–766. Available from: [http://www.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22031862) [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22031862](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22031862)
- [296] Savina A, Furlán M, Vidal M, et al. Exosome release is regulated by a calcium-dependent mechanism in K562 cells. J Biol Chem. [2003](#page-28-9);278(22):20083–20090. Available from: [http://www.jbc.org/lookup/doi/10.](http://www.jbc.org/lookup/doi/10.1074/jbc.M301642200) [1074/jbc.M301642200](http://www.jbc.org/lookup/doi/10.1074/jbc.M301642200)
- [297] Minakaki G, Menges S, Kittel A, et al. Autophagy inhibition promotes SNCA/alpha-synuclein release and transfer via extracellular vesicles with a hybrid

autophagosome-exosome-like phenotype. Autophagy. [2018](#page-28-10);14(1):98–119. Available from: [https://www.tand](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15548627.2017.1395992) [fonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15548627.2017.1395992](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15548627.2017.1395992)

- [298] Edgar JR, Manna PT, Nishimura S, et al. Tetherin is an exosomal tether. Elife. [2016](#page-28-10);5:17180. Available from: <https://elifesciences.org/articles/17180>
- [299] Atai NA, Balaj L, van Veen H, et al. Heparin blocks transfer of extracellular vesicles between donor and recipient cells. J Neurooncol. [2013.](#page-28-11) Available from: [http://](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24002181) www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24002181
- [300] Wang Q, Lu Q Plasma membrane-derived extracellular microvesicles mediate non-canonical intercellular NOTCH signaling. Nat Commun. [2017;](#page-28-12)8(1):709. Available from: [http://www.nature.com/articles/](http://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-017-00767-2) [s41467-017-00767-2](http://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-017-00767-2)
- [301] Nabhan JF, Hu R, Oh RS, et al. Formation and release of arrestin domain-containing protein 1-mediated microvesicles (ARMMs) at plasma membrane by recruitment of TSG101 protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. [2012](#page-28-12);109(11):4146–4151. Available from: <http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1200448109>
- [302] Muralidharan-Chari V, Clancy J, Plou C, et al. ARF6 regulated shedding of tumor cell-derived plasma membrane microvesicles. Curr Biol. [2009;](#page-28-13)19(22):1875–1885. Available from: [http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0960982209017722) [retrieve/pii/S0960982209017722](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0960982209017722)
- [303] Burger D, Montezano AC, Nishigaki N, et al. Endothelial microparticle formation by angiotensin II is mediated via Ang II receptor type I/NADPH oxidase/Rho kinase pathways targeted to lipid rafts. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. [2011](#page-28-14);31 (8):1898–1907. Available from: [http://atvb.ahajour](http://atvb.ahajournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1161/ATVBAHA.110.222703) [nals.org/cgi/doi/10.1161/ATVBAHA.110.222703](http://atvb.ahajournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1161/ATVBAHA.110.222703)
- [304] Gao C, Li R, Liu Y, et al. Rho-kinase-dependent F-actin rearrangement is involved in the release of endothelial microparticles during IFN-α-induced endothelial cell apoptosis. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2012;73(5):1152–1160. Available from: [http://content.](http://content.wkhealth.com/linkback/openurl?sid=WKPTLP:landingpage%26an=01586154-201211000-00017) [wkhealth.com/linkback/openurl?sid=WKPTLP:landing](http://content.wkhealth.com/linkback/openurl?sid=WKPTLP:landingpage%26an=01586154-201211000-00017) [page&an=01586154-201211000-00017](http://content.wkhealth.com/linkback/openurl?sid=WKPTLP:landingpage%26an=01586154-201211000-00017)
- [305] Yu X, Xu J, Liu W, et al. Bubbles induce endothelial microparticle formation via a calcium-dependent pathway involving flippase inactivation and rho kinase activation. Cell Physiol Biochem. [2018;](#page-28-14)46(3):965–974. Available from: [https://www.karger.com/Article/](https://www.karger.com/Article/FullText/488825) [FullText/488825](https://www.karger.com/Article/FullText/488825)
- [306] Di Vizio D, Kim J, Hager MH, et al. Oncosome formation in prostate cancer: association with a region of frequent chromosomal deletion in metastatic disease. Cancer Res. [2009;](#page-28-15)69(13):5601–5609. Available from: [http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1158/0008-](http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-3860) [5472.CAN-08-3860](http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-3860)
- [307] Schwechheimer C, Kuehn MJ. Outer-membrane vesicles from Gram-negative bacteria: biogenesis and functions. Nat Rev Microbiol [2015](#page-28-16);13(10):605–619. Available from: [http://www.nature.com/articles/](http://www.nature.com/articles/nrmicro3525) [nrmicro3525](http://www.nature.com/articles/nrmicro3525)
- [308] Colombo M, Raposo G, Théry C Biogenesis, secretion, and intercellular interactions of exosomes and other extracellular vesicles. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. [2014](#page-28-17);30 (1):255–289. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25288114) [gov/pubmed/25288114](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25288114)
- [309] Romancino DP, Paterniti G, Campos Y, et al. Identification and characterization of the nano-sized vesicles released by muscle cells. FEBS Lett. 2013;587 (9):1379–1384.
- [310] Booth AM, Fang Y, Fallon JK, et al. Exosomes and HIV Gag bud from endosome-like domains of the T cell plasma membrane. J Cell Biol. [2006;](#page-28-17)172 (6):923–935. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16533950) [gov/pubmed/16533950](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16533950)
- [311] Hoang TQ, Rampon C, Freyssinet J-M, et al. A method to assess the migration properties of cell-derived microparticles within a living tissue. Biochim Biophys Acta. [2011](#page-28-18);1810(9):863–866. Available from: [http://lin](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0304416511001061) [kinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0304416511001061](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0304416511001061)
- [312] Menck K, Sönmezer C, Worst TS, et al. Neutral sphingomyelinases control extracellular vesicles budding from the plasma membrane. J Extracell Vesicles. [2017](#page-28-19);6(1):1378056. Available from: [https://www.tand](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1378056) [fonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1378056](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20013078.2017.1378056)
- [313] Bobrie A, Colombo M, Krumeich S, et al. Diverse subpopulations of vesicles secreted by different intracellular mechanisms are present in exosome preparations obtained by differential ultracentrifugation. J Extracell Vesicles. [2012;](#page-29-0)1:18297.
- [314] Peinado H, Alečković M, Lavotshkin S, et al. Melanoma exosomes educate bone marrow progenitor cells toward a pro-metastatic phenotype through MET. Nat Med. [2012;](#page-29-0)18(6):883–891. Available from: [http://](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22635005) www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22635005
- [315] Kim DK, Kang B, Kim OY, et al. EVpedia: an integrated database of high-throughput data for systemic analyses of extracellular vesicles. J Extracell Vesicles. [2013;](#page-30-2)2. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24009897) [pubmed/24009897](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24009897)
- [316] Kim D-K, Lee J, Kim SR, et al. EVpedia: a community web portal for extracellular vesicles research. Bioinformatics. [2015](#page-30-2);31(6):933–939. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25388151>
- [317] Kalra H, Simpson RJ, Ji H, et al. Vesiclepedia: a compendium for extracellular vesicles with continuous community annotation. PLoS Biol. [2012](#page-30-2);10(12): e1001450.
- [318] Mathivanan S, Simpson RJ. ExoCarta: A compendium of exosomal proteins and RNA. Proteomics. [2009;](#page-30-3)9 (21):4997–5000. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19810033) [nih.gov/pubmed/19810033](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19810033)
- [319] Subramanian SL, Kitchen RR, Alexander R, et al. Integration of extracellular RNA profiling data using metadata, biomedical ontologies and linked data technologies. J Extracell Vesicles. [2015;](#page-30-3)4:27497. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26320941) [pubmed/26320941](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26320941)

MISEV2018 Checklist

Numbers refer to sections listed in the Table of contents from: C. Théry and K.W.Witwer, et al, "Minimal Information for Studies of Extracellular Vesicles 2018 (MISEV2018): a position statement of the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles and update of the MISEV2014 guidelines", J Extracell Vesicles 2018;7:1535750.

+++ Mandatory ++ Mandatory if applicable + Encouraged

1-Nomenclature

Mandatory

+++ Generic term extracellular vesicle (EV): With demonstration of extracellular (no intact cells) and vesicular nature per these characterization (Section 4) and function (Section 5) guidelines OR

+++ Generic term, e.g., extracellular particle (EP): no intact cells but MISEV guidelines not satisfied

Encouraged (choose one)

+ Generic term extracellular vesicle (EV) + specification (size, density, other)

+ Specific term for subcellular origin: e.g., ectosome, microparticle, microvesicle (from plasma membrane), exosome (from endosomes), with demonstration of the subcellular origin

+ Other specific term: with definition of specific criteria

2-Collection and pre-processing

Tissue Culture Conditioned medium (CCM, Section 2-a) +++ General cell characterization (identity, passage, mycoplasma check…)

Medium used before and during collection (additives, +++serum, other)

++ exact protocol for depletion of EVs/EPs from additives in collection medium

+++ Nature and size of culture vessels, and volume of medium during conditioning

++ specific culture conditions (treatment, % O2, coating, polarization…) before and during collection

+++ Number of cells/ml or /surface area and % of live/ dead cells at time of collection (or at time of seeding with estimation at time of collection)

+++ Frequency and interval of CM harvest

Biofluids or Tissues (Sections 2-b and -c)

++ Donor status if available (age, sex, food/water intake, collection time, disease, medication, other)

+++ Volume of biofluid or volume/mass of tissue sample collected per donor

++ Total volume/mass used for EV isolation (if pooled from several donors)

+++ All known collection conditions, including additives, at time of collection

+++ Pre-treatment to separate major fluid-specific contaminants before EV isolation

+++ Temperature and time of biofluid/tissue handling before and during pre-treatment

++ For cultured tissue explants: volume, nature of medium and time of culture before collecting conditioned medium

++ For direct tissue EV extraction: treatment of tissue to release vesicles without disrupting cells

Storage and recovery (Section 2-d)

+++ Storage and recovery (e.g., thawing) of CCM, biofluid, or tissue before EV isolation (storage temperature, vessel, time; method of thawing or other sample preparation)

+++ Storage and recovery of EVs after isolation (temperature, vessel, time, additive(s)…)

3-EV separation and concentration Experimental details of the method

++ Centrifugation: reference number of tube(s), rotor(s), adjusted k factor(s) of each centrifugation step $(=$ time+ speed+ rotor, volume/density of centrifugation conditions), temperature, brake settings

++ Density gradient: nature of matrix, method of generating gradient, reference (and size) of tubes, bottomup (sample at bottom, high density) or top-bottom (sample on top, low density), centrifugation speed and time (with brake specified), method and volume of fraction recovery

++ Chromatography: matrix (nature, pore size,…), loaded sample volume, fraction volume, number

++ Precipitation: reference of polymer, ratio vol/vol or weight/vol polymer/fluid, time/temperature of incubation, time/speed/temperature of centrifugation

++ Filtration: reference of filter type (=nature of membrane, pore size…), time and speed of centrifugation, volume before/after (in case of concentration)

++ Antibody-based : reference of antibodies, mass Ab/ amount of EVs, nature of Ab carrier (bead, surface) and amount of Ab/carrier surface

++ Other…: all necessary details to allow replication

++ Additional step(s) to concentrate, if any

++ Additional step(s) to wash matrix and/or sample, if any

Specify category of the chosen EV separation/concentration method (Table 1):

+ High recovery, low specificity = mixed EVs and non-EV components OR

+ Intermediate recovery, intermediate specificity = mixed EVs with limited non-EV components OR

+ Low recovery, high specificity = subtype(s) of EVs with as little non-EV as possible OR

+ High recovery, high specificity = subtype(s) of EVs with as little non-EV as possible

4-EV characterization

Quantification (Table 2a, Section 4-a)

+++ Volume of fluid, and/or cell number, and/or tissue mass used to isolate EVs

+++ Global quantification by at least 2 methods: protein amount, particle number, lipid amount, expressed per volume of initial fluid or number of producing cells/mass of tissue

+++ Ratio of the 2 quantification figures

Global characterization (Section 4-b, Table 3)

+++ Transmembrane or GPI-anchored protein localized in cells at plasma membrane or endosomes +++ Cytosolic protein with membrane-binding or association capacity

+++ Assessment of presence/absence of expected contaminants

(At least one each of the three categories above)

++ Presence of proteins associated with compartments other than plasma membrane or endosomes

++ Presence of soluble secreted proteins and their likely transmembrane ligands

+ Topology of the relevant functional components (Section 4-d)

Single EV characterization (Section 4-c)

+++ Images of single EVs by wide-field and close-up: e.g. electron microscopy, scanning probe microscopy, super-resolution fluorescence microscopy

+++ Non-image-based method analysing large numbers of single EVs: NTA, TRPS, FCS, high-resolution flow cytometry, multi-angle light-scattering, Raman spectroscopy, etc.

5-Functional studies

+++ Dose-response assessment

+++ Negative control = nonconditioned medium, biofluid/tissue from control donors, as applicable

+++ Quantitative comparison of functional activity of total fluid, vs EV-depleted fluid, vs EVs (after high recovery/low specificity separation)

+++ Quantitative comparison of functional activity of EVs vs other EPs/fractions after low recovery/high specificity separation

+ Quantitative comparison of activity of EV subtypes (if subtype-specific function claimed)

+ Extent of functional activity in the absence of contact between EV donor and EV recipient

6-Reporting

+ Submission of methodologic details to EV-TRACK (evtrack.org) with EV-TRACK number provided (strongly encouraged)

+++ Submission of data (proteomic, sequencing, other) to relevant public, curated databases or open-access repositories

+ Data submission to EV-specific databases (e.g., EVpedia, Vesiclepedia, exRNA atlas)

++ Temper EV-specific claims when MISEV requirements cannot be entirely satisfied (Section 6-b)